

$^{198}\text{Pt } 2\beta^- \text{ decay } 2011\text{Be32}$ 

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong and Kang Mengxiao		NDS 133, 221 (2016)	1-Dec-2015

Parent:  $^{198}\text{Pt}$ :  $E=0.0$ ;  $J^\pi=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}\geq 3.5\times 10^{18}$  y;  $Q(2\beta^-)=1049.2$  21;  $\%2\beta^- \text{ decay}=?$

$^{198}\text{Pt}-T_{1/2}$ : Value given for double  $\beta^-$  decay to the 411.8 keV state of  $^{198}\text{Hg}$  including both two-neutrino and neutrinoless processes based on a fitted peak of 13 counts 10, which excludes 29 counts at 90% C.L.

**2011Be32**: Platinum sample=42.53 g total mass, consisting of two cups and a lid designed for chemistry purposes. With isotopic composition taken into account, the sample contained  $1.84\times 10^{19}$   $^{190}\text{Pt}$  nuclei and  $9.40\times 10^{21}$   $^{198}\text{Pt}$  nuclei. Experiment was performed at the Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso of the INFN using an ultra-low background p-type HP Ge detector over 1815.4 h with the sample and 1045.6 h of background accumulation. Measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ . Deduced lower limit of  $T_{1/2}$  for double beta decay processes. Noted contaminants which contributed peaks to the spectrum included:  $^{192\text{m}}\text{Ir}$ ,  $\alpha$  decay of  $^{190}\text{Pt}$ ,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{60}\text{Co}$  as well as the common nuclides from the  $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$  and  $^{238}\text{U}$  chains. The activities of all contaminants were less than 50 mBq/kg with the exception of  $^{231}\text{Pa}$ ,  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  which had activities less than 66, 68 and 34000 mBq/kg respectively. No peaks in the accumulated spectrum indicate double- $\beta$  activity. Thus the lower limit was set at a 90% confidence level, calculated by the product of the number of nuclei with the detector efficiency, measuring time and  $\lim S$  (the number of events which can be excluded).

 $^{198}\text{Hg}$  Levels

Only levels mentioned as possibly being populated by the double decay are shown here.

<u><math>E(\text{level})^\dagger</math></u>	<u><math>J^\pi^\dagger</math></u>
0.0	$0^+$
411.8	$2^+$

$^\dagger$  From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{198}\text{Hg})$ 

<u><math>E_\gamma^\dagger</math></u>	<u><math>E_i(\text{level})</math></u>	<u><math>J_i^\pi</math></u>	<u><math>E_f</math></u>	<u><math>J_f^\pi</math></u>
411.8	411.8	$2^+$	0.0	$0^+$

$^\dagger$  From Adopted Gammas.

$^{198}\text{Pt } 2\beta^- \text{ decay } 2011\text{Be}32$ Decay Scheme