

$^{197}\text{Hg}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (23.8 h) [1993Ch44,1970PI05](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong, Zhou Chunmei		NDS 104, 283 (2005)	1-Jan-2002

Parent:  $^{197}\text{Hg}$ :  $E=298.93$ ;  $J^\pi=13/2^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=23.8$  h  $I$ ;  $Q(\varepsilon)=600$  3;  $\% \varepsilon$  decay=100.0

$^{197}\text{Hg}$ - $\% \varepsilon$  decay: From [1993Ch44](#). Others: 0.065  $I0$  ([1965Ha15](#)), 0.070 7 from

$(I(\gamma+ce)(202\gamma)+I(\gamma+ce)(279\gamma)+I(\gamma+ce)(409\gamma))/I(\gamma+ce)(134\gamma)$  with  $I\gamma(202\gamma):I\gamma(279\gamma):I\gamma(409\gamma):I\gamma(134\gamma)=0.20$  3:14.4 15:0.021 7:100.

Sources produced by  $^{197}\text{Au}(p,n)$  ([1963Ti02](#)),  $^{197}\text{Au}(d,2n)$  ([1941Sh08,1943Fr01](#)), and  $\alpha$ 's on Pt ([1970PI05](#)).

 $^{197}\text{Au}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	$3/2^+$	stable	
77.349 $I0$	$1/2^+$	1.91 ns $I$	
279.04 7	$5/2^+$	18.6 ps $I5$	Branching: $I\gamma(202\gamma)/I\gamma(279\gamma)=0.0146$ 21 ( <a href="#">1965Ha15</a> ), 0.016 3 ( <a href="#">1966Sm01</a> ), 0.0139 25 ( <a href="#">1970PI05</a> ); see also Coul. ex.
409.17 8	$11/2^-$	7.73 s 6	$\%IT=100$

<sup>†</sup> From decay scheme and  $E\gamma$ 's, using least-squares fit to data.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ <sup>†‡</sup>	Log $ft$	Comments
(490 3)	409.17	8.6 7	6.70 4	$\varepsilon K=0.7681$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.1739$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.05791$ 11 $I\varepsilon$ : other: 7.5 7 ( <a href="#">1965Ha15</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma$  intensity imbalance.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(<sup>197</sup>Au)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: From I(γ+ce)(202γ)+I(γ+ce)(279γ)+I(γ+ce)(409γ)=100.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\&@}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.#	$\delta$	$\alpha^\dagger$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^\&$	Comments
(77.35 1)	0.47 7	77.349	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.35 1	4.24 7	2.00 30	$\alpha(L)= 3.21 6$ ; $\alpha(M)= 0.780 14$ ; $\alpha(N+..)= 0.244 5$ I <sub>γ</sub> : from I(γ+ce) and α. I <sub>(γ+ce)</sub> : from intensity balance at the 77 level. α(K)= 1.027; α(L)= 21.48; α(M)= 5.89; α(N+..)= 1.886 E <sub>γ</sub> : others: 130.2 (1953Mi22,1959Va13), 130.42 7 (1972Wi21). I <sub>γ</sub> : from I(γ+ce) and α. Others: 4.4 3 (1966Sm01, <sup>197</sup> Au IT decay), ≈3.5 (1970PI05). L1:L2:L3=5.6 17:100:61 6 (1970PI05), L2/L3=1.3 1 (1959Va13), K/L=0.11 (1955Jo22). I <sub>(γ+ce)</sub> : from intensity balance at the 279 level. α(K)= 0.1674; α(L)= 0.1500; α(M)= 0.0384; α(N+..)=0.01194 E <sub>γ</sub> : other: 201.6 3 (1971Mc14, Coul. ex.). I <sub>γ</sub> : from 1965Ha15. Others (Coul. ex.): 1.42 (1970Sh12), 1.50 12 (1971Mc14). Mult.: from γ(θ) 1971Mc14, Coul. ex. α(K)= 0.308 7; α(L)= 0.0548 4; α(M)=0.01279 8; α(N+..)=0.00400 E <sub>γ</sub> : other: 279.01 5 (1972Wi21) semi. δ: from γ(θ) 1971Mc14, Coul. ex. Other: 0.45 4 from L1:L2:L3 (1970PI05). L1:L2:L3=100:17.9 27:4.8 7 (1970PI05); K/L=5.3 (1955Jo22), 4.8 5 (1970Sh10). α(K)exp=0.29 3 (1955Jo22). Other: 1965He04. α(K)= 2.413; α(L)= 1.154; α(M)= 0.306; α(N+..)= 0.0982 I <sub>γ</sub> : other: 0.12 3 from ce(K)(409γ,M4)/ce(L)(279γ,M1+E2)=0.054 11. α(K)exp=2.0 8 (1970PI05).
130.2 1	4.47 15	409.17	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	279.04	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	E3		30.3	140 1	
201.8 1	1.46 21	279.04	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	77.349	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.368		
279.0 1	100	279.04	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.40 4	0.380 7		
409.1 1	0.15 5	409.17	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M4)		3.97		

† From 1970PI05, except as noted.

‡ Relative intensity normalized to I<sub>γ</sub>(279γ)=100.

# Based on α(K)exp, K/L, L-subshell ratio data, except as noted.

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.061 5.

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.709 5.

$^{197}\text{Hg}$   $\epsilon$  decay (23.8 h) 1993Ch44,1970P105