

¹⁹⁶Ir β⁻ decay (1.40 h) 1968Ja06

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong	NDS 108, 1093 (2007)	1-Jan-2006

Parent: ¹⁹⁶Ir: E=4.1×10² II; J^π=(10,11⁻); T_{1/2}=1.40 h 2; Q(β⁻)=3209 38; %β⁻ decay=100.0

¹⁹⁶Ir-%β⁻ decay: IT decay<0.3% from absence of 779-keV γ present in decay of 52-s ¹⁹⁶Ir.

Also 1965Bi04, 1967JaZZ.

1968Ja06,1970To14: source prepared by ¹⁹⁸Pt(d,α) and ¹⁹⁶Pt(n,p), enriched, chem, γ, ce; semi.

¹⁹⁶Pt Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	stable	
355.90 20	2 ⁺		
877.2 3	4 ⁺		
1270.7 3	5 ⁻	1.1 ns 2	J ^π : supported by E1 deexcitation to 877 level. J ^π : also log ft from ¹⁹⁶ Au ε decay. T _{1/2} : from delayed coin (1970To14); see also 1968Ja06.
1374.0 4	7 ⁻	4.01 ns 16	J ^π : based upon E2 deexcitation to 5 ⁻ level. T _{1/2} : from delayed coin (1970To14); see also 1968Ja06.
1430.1? 4	(5,6 ⁺)		J ^π : γ's from 2455 to 7 ⁻ and 9 ⁻ , from 1430 to 4 ⁺ , and a connecting 2455 to 1430 γ give J ^π (2455)=7 ⁻ or 8, and J ^π (1430)=5 or 6 ⁺ .
1821.1 4	9 ⁻	<1 ns	J ^π : based upon E2 deexcitation to 7 ⁻ level. T _{1/2} : from γγ(t) (1968Ja06).
2161.9? 5	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)		J ^π : γ's to 9 ⁻ , γ's from (9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻).
2454.6 4	7 ⁻ ,8		J ^π : see 1430 level.
2468.4 4	10 ⁻ ,11 ⁻	<1 ns	J ^π : based upon E2 deexcitation to 9 ⁻ level, and log ft=6.05, no γ's to J ^π <9. T _{1/2} : from βγ(t) measurements (1968Ja06).
2889.3? 5	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)		J ^π : γ's to 11 ⁻ and 9 ⁻ , log ft=6.5 from (10,11 ⁻).
3162.4 5	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)		J ^π : γ's to 11 ⁻ and 9 ⁻ , log ft=5.9 from (10,11 ⁻).
3176.8? 5	(9 ⁻)		J ^π : γ's to 7 ⁻ and 9 ⁻ , log ft=6.7 from (10,11 ⁻).
3215.2? 5	(9 ⁻)		J ^π : γ's to 7 ⁻ and 9 ⁻ , log ft=6.5 from (10,11 ⁻).
3304.0 4	(10,11 ⁻)		J ^π : γ's to 11 ⁻ and 9 ⁻ , log ft=5.1 from (10,11 ⁻).

[†] From least-squares fit to Eγ's.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels. Contributing arguments from this data set are given as comments.

[#] From the Adopted Levels, except as noted.

β⁻ radiations

Evaluation of transition balance at each level gives no evidence of β⁻ feeding below the 2468 level.

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁻ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(3.2×10 ² 12)	3304.0	9.1 4	5.1 7	av Eβ=89 38
(4.0×10 ² 12)	3215.2?	0.82 6	6.5 5	av Eβ=117 39
(4.4×10 ² 12)	3176.8?	0.70 8	6.7 5	av Eβ=130 40
(4.6×10 ² 12)	3162.4	4.5 4	5.9 5	av Eβ=134 40
(7.3×10 ² 12)	2889.3?	5.2 2	6.5 3	av Eβ=230 43
1.16×10 ³ 10	2468.4	80 4	6.03 17	av Eβ=390 47

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{196}\text{Ir} \beta^-$ decay (1.40 h) 1968Ja06 (continued) $\gamma(^{196}\text{Pt})$

I γ normalization: Based upon I(γ +ce)=100 for the 356-keV transition.

E_γ	I_γ ‡ &	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. †	α^a	Comments
103.3 2	19.2 22	1374.0	7 ⁻	1270.7	5 ⁻	E2	4.28 7	$\alpha(K)=0.685$ 10; $\alpha(L)=2.70$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.699$ 12; $\alpha(N+..)=0.197$ 4 Mult.: supported by $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=2.8$ 5, L/M+=3.9 5; I γ : Required for intensity balance at 1271 and 1374 level. Measured I γ : 17 2 (1968Ja06).
^x 333.0 @	<0.25							
340.7 # 4	1.6 2	2161.9?	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)	1821.1	9 ⁻			
355.9 2	98 3	355.90	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.0602	$\alpha(K)=0.0402$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.01516$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.00376$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001079$ 16 Mult.: supported by K/L=2.1 1, L/M+=2.9 7. Mult.: see also $^{196}\text{Au} \epsilon$ decay and ^{196}Pt Coulomb excitation.
393.5 2	101 2	1270.7	5 ⁻	877.2	4 ⁺	E1	0.01394	$\alpha(K)=0.01158$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.00182$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000418$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001219$ 18 Mult.: supported by $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.012$ 2, K/L=5.3 2.
420.9 # 3	2.6 1	2889.3?	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)	2468.4	10 ⁻ ,11 ⁻			
447.1 2	98 2	1821.1	9 ⁻	1374.0	7 ⁻	E2	0.0327	$\alpha(K)=0.0235$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00702$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001717$ 25; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000495$ 7 Mult.: supported by $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.019$ 1, K/L=2.8 6;
521.4 2	100	877.2	4 ⁺	355.90	2 ⁺	E2	0.0224	$\alpha(K)=0.01666$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.00435$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.001054$ 15; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000305$ 5 Mult.: supported by $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.019$ 2, K/L=3.8, L/(M+)=2.7 15;
553.0 # 3	0.66 4	1430.1?	(5,6 ⁺)	877.2	4 ⁺			
^x 566.4 4	0.3 1							
^x 615.9 4	0.44 5							
633.5 3	1.15 5	2454.6	7 ⁻ ,8	1821.1	9 ⁻			
647.3 2	95 3	2468.4	10 ⁻ ,11 ⁻	1821.1	9 ⁻	E2	0.01357	$\alpha(K)=0.01050$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.00235$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000561$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001628$ 23 Mult.: supported by $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0120$ 15, K/L=3.7 9.
^x 659.5 @	<0.08							
^x 673.9 2	0.18 4							
693.9 2	4.4 3	3162.4	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)	2468.4	10 ⁻ ,11 ⁻			
722.0 # 4	0.67 7	3176.8?	(9 ⁻)	2454.6	7 ⁻ ,8			
727.3 # 2	2.7 1	2889.3?	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)	2161.9?	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)			
760.6 # 3	0.78 5	3215.2?	(9 ⁻)	2454.6	7 ⁻ ,8			
^x 779.4 @	<0.04							
835.6 2	6.6 2	3304.0	(10,11 ⁻)	2468.4	10 ⁻ ,11 ⁻			
849.4 3	0.53 5	3304.0	(10,11 ⁻)	2454.6	7 ⁻ ,8			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{196}Ir β^- decay (1.40 h) **1968Ja06** (continued) $\gamma(^{196}\text{Pt})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ ‡&	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. †	α^a	Comments
^x 868.1 3	0.48 4							
^x 887.0 5	0.11 2							
^x 893.0 5	0.20 2							
^x 904.6 5	0.10 2							
914.6 [#] 3	0.30 5	1270.7	5 ⁻	355.90	2 ⁺	[E3]	0.01533	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01145$ 16; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00295$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000716$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000209$ 3 α : E3 $\alpha(\text{theory})$'s mult. By 0.975 10 (Cf. 1990Ne01).
^x 926.0 5	0.07 2							
1024.6 [#] 3	0.26 3	2454.6	7 ⁻ ,8	1430.1?	(5,6 ⁺)			
1068 [#] 2	0.074 18	2889.3?	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)	1821.1	9 ⁻			
1080.5 5	0.12 2	2454.6	7 ⁻ ,8	1374.0	7 ⁻			
^x 1091.5 [@]	<0.05							
^x 1116.7 8	0.073 22							
^x 1281.6 5	0.061 24							
1341.5 5	0.29 3	3162.4	(9 ⁻ ,10,11 ⁻)	1821.1	9 ⁻			
1355.8 [#] 5	0.06 1	3176.8?	(9 ⁻)	1821.1	9 ⁻			
1394.0 [#] 5	0.074 15	3215.2?	(9 ⁻)	1821.1	9 ⁻			
1482.5 4	2.4 2	3304.0	(10,11 ⁻)	1821.1	9 ⁻			

† From the adopted gammas.

‡ γ intensities normalized to $I_\gamma(521)=100$ (1968Ja06).

Placement based primarily on energy sum.

@ Unobserved in spectrum; limit placed on I_γ .

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.96 3.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{196}\text{Ir} \beta^-$ decay (1.40 h) 1968Ja06

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- Coincidence

