

¹⁹⁶Bi ε decay (240 s) 1987Va09,1984Va11,1976Ch30

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong	NDS 108, 1093 (2007)	1-Jan-2006

Parent: ¹⁹⁶Bi: E=271 5; J^π=(10⁻); T_{1/2}=240 s 3; Q(ε)=7352 28; %ε+%β⁺ decay=74.2 25

¹⁹⁶Bi-T_{1/2}: From mean half-life of 372γ, 338γ and 138γ (1987Va09).

¹⁹⁶Bi-E: ¹⁹⁶Bi Adopted Levels.

Additional information 1.

1987Va09 present a composite decay scheme for the 308-s and 240-s isomers. The evaluators have attempted to separate the decay schemes on the basis of intensity balance and assuming that the two isomers populate levels with different range of spins. The 308-s isomer populating the low spin levels (J≤4), and 240-s isomer populating the high-spin levels (J≥7). The low-lying levels, however, will be seen in the decay of all activities.

Because of some unplaced γ rays the decay scheme is incomplete.

1987Va09: sources produced in reaction ¹⁶O on nat Re, E(¹⁶O)<210 MeV. Mass separation. Measured Eγ, Iγ, x-rays (Ge detectors, FWHM=2.0 keV at 1332 keV, FWHM=580 eV at 122 keV), E(ce), Ice (Si(Li), FWHM=2.5 keV at 624 keV), γγ coin, ceγ coin, triparameter coin.

1976Ch30: prepared by ¹⁸⁵Re(¹⁶O,5n) and ¹⁸⁷Re(¹⁶O,7n), E(¹⁶O)<210 MeV; and ¹⁸¹Ta(²²Ne,7n), E(²²Ne)=150 MeV.

Others: 1971ChYB, 1971BrZC, 1973KhZY.

¹⁹⁶Pb Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	37 min 3	
1049.23 17	2 ⁺		
1142.87 23	0 ⁺		J ^π : 1143γ is E0; T, coincidence with Pb K x ray and absence of coincidence with 1049γ.
1449.93 18	2 ⁺		J ^π : 1450γ to g.s. is E2.
1738.62 21	4 ⁺		placed In ¹⁹⁶ Bi low spin ε decay also. Iγ deduced from intensity balance and branching ratios At 1739-keV level.
1797.96 24	5 ⁻		
2170.2 3	7 ⁻		
2308.6 4	9 ⁻		
2334.7 4	8 ⁻		J ^π : from systematics of the 8 ⁻ state In ¹⁹² Pb, ¹⁹⁴ Pb and 165γ to 7 ⁻ (1987Va09). from high spin ε-decay, γ to high spin.
2591.8 4	8 ⁻		J ^π : 422γ to 7 ⁻ is E1, 283γ to 9 ⁻ .
2646.1 4	10 ⁺		
3088.1 4	9 ⁻ ,(10 ⁻)		J ^π : (9,10) ⁻ from 496γ M1+(E2) to 8 ⁻ .
3395.1 4	10 ⁻		

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ-ray energies of 1987Va09.

[‡] From ¹⁹⁶Pb Adopted Levels, except as noted.

[#] From 1961Sv01, 1957An53.

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ [†]	Iε [†]	Log ft	I(ε+β ⁺) [†]	Comments
(4.23×10 ³ 3)	3395.1	0.36 11	0.9 3	7.20 14	1.3 4	av Eβ=1444 13; εK=0.582 5; εL=0.1046 8; εM+=0.0341 3
(4.53×10 ³ 3)	3088.1	1.4 3	2.8 5	6.79 9	4.2 8	av Eβ=1582 13; εK=0.537 5; εL=0.0963 8; εM+=0.0313 3
(4.98×10 ³ 3)	2646.1	10.2 6	14.4 9	6.16 3	24.6 15	av Eβ=1783 13; εK=0.473 4; εL=0.0845 8; εM+=0.02749 24
(5.03×10 ³ 3)	2591.8	4.8 6	6.6 8	6.51 5	11.4 13	av Eβ=1807 13; εK=0.465 4; εL=0.0832 8; εM+=0.02703 24
(5.29×10 ³ 3)	2334.7	3.2 6	3.7 6	6.80 8	6.9 12	Log ft: log f ¹⁴ t=7.6 4. av Eβ=1925 13; εK=0.430 4; εL=0.0767 7; εM+=0.02495

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{196}Bi ϵ decay (240 s) 1987Va09,1984Va11,1976Ch30 (continued) ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$I\beta^+$ †</u>	<u>$I\epsilon$ †</u>	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>$I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ †</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(5.31×10^3 3)	2308.6	6.9 10	7.8 12	6.48 7	14.7 22	av $E\beta=1937$ 13; $\epsilon K=0.426$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.0761$ 7; $\epsilon M+=0.02474$ 23
(5.45×10^3 3)	2170.2	5.4 15	5.6 15	6.65 12	11 3	av $E\beta=2000$ 13; $\epsilon K=0.408$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.0728$ 7; $\epsilon M+=0.02367$ 22

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(¹⁹⁶Pb)

I_γ normalization: calculated from ΣI(γ+ce)=100% to g.s., assuming no (ε+β⁺)-feeding to the ¹⁹⁶Pb g.s.

E _γ [‡]	I _γ ^{#@}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [†]	δ	α ^{&}	Comments
59.2 3	31 3	1797.96	5 ⁻	1738.62	4 ⁺	E1		0.376 8	α(L)=0.287 6; α(M)=0.0683 14; α(N+..)=0.0202 4
138.4 2	10.1 4	2308.6	9 ⁻	2170.2	7 ⁻	E2		1.67	α(K)=0.366 6; α(L)=0.971 15; α(M)=0.256 4; α(N+..)=0.0766 12 α(L)exp=1.2 1; α(M)exp=0.28 3 I _γ : imbalance with 337.5γ 15.2 and 283.2γ 1.5.
164.5 2	1.3 2	2334.7	8 ⁻	2170.2	7 ⁻	M1		2.29	α(K)=1.87 3; α(L)=0.322 5; α(M)=0.0754 11; α(N+..)=0.0234 4
283.2 2	1.5 2	2591.8	8 ⁻	2308.6	9 ⁻	M1		0.503	α(K)=0.412 6; α(L)=0.0703 10; α(M)=0.01647 24; α(N+..)=0.00511 8
288.7 2	0.6 2	1738.62	4 ⁺	1449.93	2 ⁺	E2		0.1298	α(K)=0.0712 10; α(L)=0.0439 7; α(M)=0.01130 17; α(N+..)=0.00341 5
306.9 ^a 3	0.04 ^a 1	1449.93	2 ⁺	1142.87	0 ⁺	E2		0.1081	α(K)=0.0617 9; α(L)=0.0348 5; α(M)=0.00892 13; α(N+..)=0.00270 4 α(K)exp=0.18 3; α(L)exp=0.020 3 B(E2;307γ)/B(E2;1450γ)=377 (1984Va11).
306.9 ^a 3	0.3 ^a 1	3395.1	10 ⁻	3088.1	9 ⁻ ,(10 ⁻)	M1		0.404	Mult.: this transition has a doublet structure. α(K)exp=0.18 3; α(L)exp=0.020 3 α(K)=0.330 5; α(L)=0.0564 8; α(M)=0.01320 19; α(N+..)=0.00410 6
337.5 2	15.2 4	2646.1	10 ⁺	2308.6	9 ⁻	E1		0.0225	α: α=0.4176 for M1, α=0.1093 for E2. α(K)=0.0185 3; α(L)=0.00309 5; α(M)=0.000721 11; α(N+..)=0.000220 4
372.2 2	43.3 10	2170.2	7 ⁻	1797.96	5 ⁻	E2		0.0626	α(L)exp=0.004 1 α(K)=0.0396 6; α(L)=0.01724 25; α(M)=0.00437 7; α(N+..)=0.001325 19 α(L)exp=0.017 2; α(M)exp=0.0058 9
400.9 2	0.3 1	1449.93	2 ⁺	1049.23	2 ⁺	E0+M1+E2		0.12 8	I _γ : From intensity balance at 1797.9 level. α(K)exp=0.29 2; α(L)exp=0.068 9; α(M)exp=0.012 3 α(K)=0.10 7; α(L)=0.020 7; α(M)=0.0049 15; α(N+..)=0.0015 5 the conversion coefficient of the 401γ shows the presence of a strong E0 component.
421.7 2	7.7 4	2591.8	8 ⁻	2170.2	7 ⁻	E2		0.0449	α(K)=0.0300 5; α(L)=0.01124 16; α(M)=0.00283 4; α(N+..)=0.000859 13
496.3 2	2.8 4	3088.1	9 ⁻ ,(10 ⁻)	2591.8	8 ⁻	M1+(E2)	0.89	0.0752	α(K)exp=0.019 9 α(K)=0.0601 9; α(L)=0.01150 17; α(M)=0.00273 4; α(N+..)=0.000844 12 α(K)exp=0.062 9; α(L)exp=0.018 4 α(K)exp=0.062 9,α(L)exp=0.018 4. Mult.: From α(K)exp.
689.3 2	41.5 13	1738.62	4 ⁺	1049.23	2 ⁺	E2		0.01422	δ: from α(K)exp and α(L)exp. α: α(K)exp+α(L)exp=0.080 10. α(K)=0.01081 16; α(L)=0.00259 4; α(M)=0.000629 9;

¹⁹⁶Bi ε decay (240 s) [1987Va09](#),[1984Va11](#),[1976Ch30](#) (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁶Pb) (continued)

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{#@}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[†]</u>	<u>α^{&}</u>	<u>I_(γ+ce)[@]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
748.8 2	3.3 4	1797.96	5 ⁻	1049.23	2 ⁺	E3	0.0312		α(N+..)=0.000193 3 α(L)exp=0.0026 4; α(M)exp=0.0009 2 α(K)exp=0.024 3 α(K)=0.0211 3; α(L)=0.00762 11; α(M)=0.00192 3; α(N+..)=0.000589 9 α(K)=0.0208, α(L)=0.00754. α: E3 α(theory)'s mult. By 0.975 10 (Cf. 1990Ne01).
749.0 2	<0.4	3395.1	10 ⁻	2646.1	10 ⁺				
803.1 5	0.2 1	3395.1	10 ⁻	2591.8	8 ⁻	E2	0.01033		α(K)=0.00804 12; α(L)=0.001745 25; α(M)=0.000420 6; α(N+..)=0.0001290 19
1049.4 2	45.7 18	1049.23	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.00608		α(K)=0.00486 7; α(L)=0.000927 13; α(M)=0.000220 3; α(N+..)=6.78×10 ⁻⁵ 10 α(L)exp=0.00093 9; α(M)exp=0.0004 1 ΔI _γ : From 665.4γ of 3042 level (evaluators). Mult.: from comparison of γ and ce- spectrum.
1142.7 3		1142.87	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0		0.044 11	E _γ : 1143.4 2 keV from 1984Va11 .
1449.7 3	0.3 1	1449.93	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.00335		α(K)=0.00269 4; α(L)=0.000464 7; α(M)=0.0001089 16; α(N+..)=8.26×10 ⁻⁵ 12 α(K)exp=0.0026 4

[†] From ¹⁹⁶Pb adopted gammas,except as noted.

[‡] From [1987Va09](#). See ¹⁹⁶Bi ε decay (308 s) for unplaced γ's.

[#] Intensities are relative to 100 for 1049.4γ with ¹⁹⁶Bi ε decay (high-spin + low-spin).

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.60 9.

[&] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^a Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

^{196}Bi ϵ decay (240 s) 1987Va09,1984Va11,1976Ch30

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- Coincidence

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
 @ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

