

^{195}Ir β^- decay (2.29 h) 1973Ja10

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong and Kang Mengxiao		NDS 121, 395 (2014)	1-Mar-2014

Parent: ^{195}Ir : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=3/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=2.29$ h 17; $Q(\beta^-)=1102.0$ 21; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

Others: 1968Ho01, 1968Ja06.

1973Ja10: measured E_γ , I_γ , $E(\text{ce})$, and $\beta\gamma$ -coin with $\text{Ge}(\text{Li})$ and $\text{Si}(\text{Li})$.

Sources produced by $^{195}\text{Pt}(\text{n,p})$ (1954Bu02, 1968Ja06), $^{198}\text{Pt}(\text{p},\alpha)$ (1973Ja10), $^{198}\text{Pt}(\text{d},\alpha\text{n})$ (1968Ja06), and $^{192}\text{Os}(\alpha,\text{p})$ (1968Ho01).

Energy balance: total decay energy of 1089 keV 222 deduced (using RADLIST code) from proposed decay scheme is in agreement with the expected value of 1102.0 keV 21 (2012Wa38), suggesting that the decay scheme is reasonably complete.

 ^{195}Pt Levels

All data are shown in the drawing.

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}^\ddagger$
0.0	$1/2^-$	stable
98.830 10	$3/2^-$	
129.71 3	$5/2^-$	
211.30 10	$3/2^-$	

† From decay scheme and E_γ using least-squares fit to data.

‡ From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^-^\dagger^\ddagger$	$\text{Log } f t$	Comments
(890.7 21)	211.30	4.3 11	7.12 12	av $E\beta=289.19$ 80
(972.3 21)	129.71	57 22	6.13 17	av $E\beta=320.23$ 81
				$E(\text{decay}): 980$ 40 (1973Ja10) $\beta(99\gamma)$ -coin; doublet to 99+130 states.
(1003.2 21)	98.830	25 18	6.5 4	av $E\beta=332.07$ 81
(1102.0 21)	0.0	13 9	7.0 3	av $E\beta=370.50$ 83
				$E(\text{decay}): 1150$ 100 (1973Ja10); 1160 100 (1968Ja06) mixed $^{195}\text{Ir}+^{196}\text{Ir}$ β 's.
				$I\beta^-$: $I\beta(1150\beta)/I\beta(980\beta)=0.15$ 10 (1973Ja10).

† From photon intensity imbalance, except for $I\beta(\text{g.s.})=13$ 9.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{195}\text{Pt})$

I_γ normalization: Assuming $\%I\beta(\text{to g.s.})=13$ 9 (1973Ja10).

For γ -ray properties, see ^{195}Au ε decay. Other possible photons: see unplaced γ 's in 3.67-h ^{195}Ir decay.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger\#\&$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	$\delta^\text{@}$	α^a	Comments
30.88 4	14 4	129.71	$5/2^-$	98.830	$3/2^-$	M1+E2	-0.021 4	37.7	$\alpha(\text{L})=29.0$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=6.73$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=1.98$ 3 I_γ : from $I_\gamma(2.29$ h and 3.67 h components)=33 4 and $I_\gamma(3.67$ h component)=19.3 3.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{195}Ir β^- decay (2.29 h) [1973Ja10](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{195}\text{Pt})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡#&}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [@]	δ [@]	α ^a	Comments
98.83 1	101 11	98.830	3/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.130 4	6.86	$\alpha(\text{K})=5.58$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.983$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.229$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0673$ 10 I $_\gamma$: from I $_\gamma$ (2.29 h and 3.67 h components)=210 10 and I $_\gamma$ (3.67 h component)=109.3 18.
129.71 4	14 3	129.71	5/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	E2		1.732	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.468$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.950$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.245$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0692$ 10 I $_\gamma$: from I $_\gamma$ (2.29 h and 3.67 h components)=31 2 and I $_\gamma$ (3.67 h component)=17.4 15.
211.30 10	25 3	211.30	3/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.38 3	0.738 14	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.596$ 13; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1091$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0255$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00750$ 11 E $_\gamma$: from 1973Ja10 . I $_\gamma$: from I $_\gamma$ (2.29 h and 3.67 h components)=45 2 and I $_\gamma$ (3.67 h component)=20.1 23.

[†] Adopted value in [1973Ja10](#).[‡] I $_\gamma$ (3.67 h component), deduced from 3.67-h ^{195}Ir β^- decay scheme by evaluator.[#] From I $_\gamma$ (2.29 h + 3.67 h) minus I $_\gamma$ (3.67 h). Relative photon intensity normalized to I $_\gamma$ (E $_\gamma$ =98.85)=101.[@] From $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$.[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.099 25.^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{195}\text{Ir} \beta^-$ decay (2.29 h) 1973Ja10

Decay Scheme

 Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$

