

**$^{196}\text{Pt}(\text{p},\text{d}) \quad 1983\text{Ve02}, 1978\text{Be09}, 1977\text{Sm03}$** 

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong and Kang Mengxiao		NDS 121, 395 (2014)	1-Mar-2014

[1983Ve02](#): multi-J supersymmetry model analysis; comparison with experimental results.

[1978Be09](#): E=26 MeV; FWHM=16 keV; measured  $\sigma(E(\text{d}),\theta)$ ; DWBA analysis.

[1977Sm03](#): E=27 MeV; FWHM=13, 30 keV; measured  $\sigma(E(\text{d}),\theta)$ ; DWBA calculations.

 **$^{195}\text{Pt}$  Levels**

All data are from [1977Sm03](#), except as noted.

E(level)	$J^\pi$	L	$C^2 S$	Comments
0.0 <sup>†</sup>	1/2 <sup>-</sup> <i>j</i>	1	0.44	configuration= $J^\pi <\sigma_1, \sigma_2> - (\tau_1, \tau_2) = 1/2 - <7, 0> - (0, 0)$ ( <a href="#">1983Ve02</a> ).
97 <sup>†</sup> 2	3/2 <sup>-</sup> <i>j</i>	1	0.66	configuration= $J^\pi <\sigma_1, \sigma_2> - (\tau_1, \tau_2) = 3/2 - <6, 1> - (1, 0)$ ( <a href="#">1983Ve02</a> ).
130 <sup>†</sup> 2	5/2 <sup>-</sup> <i>j</i>	3	2.00	configuration= $J^\pi <\sigma_1, \sigma_2> - (\tau_1, \tau_2) = 5/2 - <6, 1> - (1, 0)$ ( <a href="#">1983Ve02</a> ).
199.5 <sup>d</sup> 25	(3/2) <sup>+</sup> # <i>j</i>	1 <i>d</i>	0.70	configuration= $J^\pi <\sigma_1, \sigma_2> - (\tau_1, \tau_2) = 3/2 - <6, 1> - (1, 1)$ ( <a href="#">1983Ve02</a> ).
211 <sup>d</sup> 3	3/2 <sup>-</sup> <i>j</i>	1 <i>d</i>	0.71	configuration= $J^\pi <\sigma_1, \sigma_2> - (\tau_1, \tau_2) = 3/2 - <6, 1> - (1, 1)$ ( <a href="#">1983Ve02</a> ).
239 <sup>d</sup> 3	5/2 <sup>-</sup> #	3 <i>d</i>	0.44	
256 <sup>†</sup> 3	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	6	4.2	
425 4		4	0.21 <sup>h</sup>	L: (4,5) ( <a href="#">1978Be09</a> ).
450 <sup>†c</sup> 5	&	<i>d</i>		
510 <sup>†</sup> 4		3	0.85 <sup>f</sup>	
550 6		(6)	0.72 <sup>i</sup>	
568 6		&	<0.18 <sup>dh</sup>	
620 <sup>†</sup> 5		3	0.94 <sup>f</sup>	E(level): may correspond to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> state at 612.6 in (d,p),(d,t).
678 <sup>†</sup> 7		3	0.13 <sup>f</sup>	
695 <sup>†</sup> 4		3	0.17 <sup>f</sup>	May correspond to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> in $^{195}\text{Ir}$ decay and (d,t).
749 <sup>†</sup> 4		1	0.11 <sup>e</sup>	
771 <sup>d</sup> 5		3 <i>d</i>	0.26 <sup>g</sup>	
798 <sup>d</sup> 5	(13/2) <sup>+</sup> #	6 <i>d</i>	1.88	
817 <sup>†</sup> 5		5	1.15 <sup>h</sup>	E(level): L=(4,5) ( <a href="#">1978Be09</a> ).
883 <sup>†</sup> 5		3	0.21 <sup>f</sup>	
925 <sup>d</sup> 5		(3) <i>d</i>	0.30 <sup>g</sup>	
930 <sup>†c</sup> 6		1		L: 1 ( <a href="#">1978Be09</a> ), 1+3 for 925+930 doublet ( <a href="#">1977Sm03</a> ).
980 <sup>†</sup> 5		3	0.49 <sup>f</sup>	
1016 <sup>d</sup> 5		(3) <i>d</i>	0.08 <sup>f</sup>	
1058 <sup>†</sup> 5		3	0.38 <sup>f</sup>	
1106 <sup>†</sup> 5		1	0.53 <sup>e</sup>	
1151 6		1	0.073 <sup>e</sup>	E(level): may correspond to 1137.5 ( <a href="#">1978Be09</a> ).
1189 <sup>†</sup> 6		3	0.23 <sup>f</sup>	
1286 6		1	0.11 <sup>e</sup>	
1333 <sup>c</sup> 7		(1+3)		
1384 <sup>c</sup> 8		(1+5)		
1447 <sup>c</sup> 9		(4+1)		
1590 12		&	<i>d</i>	

<sup>†</sup> Seen also in [1978Be09](#).

---

 **$^{196}\text{Pt}(\text{p},\text{d})$     1983Ve02, 1978Be09, 1977Sm03 (continued)**

---

 **$^{195}\text{Pt}$  Levels (continued)**

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels, except as noted.

<sup>#</sup> From S extractions.

<sup>@</sup> From  $\sigma(E(d),\theta)$  DWBA fits.

<sup>&</sup> Angular distribution shows a non-stripping pattern.

<sup>a</sup> From  $\sigma(E(d),\theta)$  DWBA calculations. Results compared with  $2\text{C}^2\text{S}$  from (d,t) ([1976Ya07](#)).

<sup>b</sup> 15% uncertainties.

<sup>c</sup> Unresolved doublet. See [1977Sm03](#) for apportioned  $\text{C}^2\text{S}$ .

<sup>d</sup> From [1978Be09](#).

<sup>e</sup>  $J=3/2$  assumed to obtain  $\text{C}^2\text{S}$ .

<sup>f</sup>  $J=5/2$  assumed to obtain  $\text{C}^2\text{S}$ .

<sup>g</sup>  $J=7/2$  assumed to obtain  $\text{C}^2\text{S}$ .

<sup>h</sup>  $J=9/2$  assumed to obtain  $\text{C}^2\text{S}$ .

<sup>i</sup>  $J=11/2$  assumed to obtain  $\text{C}^2\text{S}$ .

<sup>j</sup> Analyzed by using multi-J supersymmetry model ([1983Ve02](#)).