

^{195}Pt IT decay (4.010 d) [1972PoZU](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huang Xiaolong and Kang Mengxiao		NDS 121, 395 (2014)	1-Mar-2014

Parent: ^{195}Pt : $E=259.29\ 20$; $J^\pi=13/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=4.010\ \text{d}\ 5$; $\%IT\ \text{decay}=100.0$

E_γ and I_γ measurements with semi from [1972PoZU](#), except as noted. Similar results obtained by [1967Sc18](#). Measured $I(\text{ce})$:

[1952De34](#), [1954Co29](#). $\gamma\gamma$ -coin: [1952De34](#), [1954Co29](#), [1956Po05](#), [1967Sc18](#).

Sources produced by $^{194}\text{Pt}(n,\gamma)$ ([1952Hu54](#)) and $^{194}\text{Pt}(d,p)$ ([1976Ya07](#)).

 ^{195}Pt Levels

All data are shown in the drawing.

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	$1/2^-$	stable	
98.900 20	$3/2^-$		
129.790 20	$5/2^-$		
211.35 25	$3/2^-$		
239.5 3	$5/2^-$		
259.29 20	$13/2^+$	4.010 d 5	$\%IT=100$ E(level): syst with i13/2 isomeric levels of ^{193}Pt , ^{197}Pt , ^{199}Pt .

[†] From decay scheme and E_γ using least-squares fit to data.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

¹⁹⁵Pt IT decay (4.010 d) ^{1972PoZU} (continued)

$\gamma(^{195}\text{Pt})$

I_γ normalization: From %IT=100.

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger @}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ	$\alpha\&$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^@$	Comments
(19.8 CA)		259.29	13/2 ⁺	239.5	5/2 ⁻	[M4] [#]		6.68×10 ⁸	2.08 7	ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.566 8; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.331 6; ce(N+)/(γ+ce)=0.1033 20 B(M4)(W.u.)=0.13 6 I _(γ+ce) : required for intensity balance at 239 level.
28.1 CA	0.0118 4	239.5	5/2 ⁻	211.35	3/2 ⁻	[M1] [#]		49.1	0.59 2	ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.754 8; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.175 4; ce(N+)/(γ+ce)=0.0515 10 I _(γ+ce) : required for intensity balance at 211 level. I _γ : from I(γ+ce), and α.
30.89 9	20.3 13	129.790	5/2 ⁻	98.900	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.021 4	37.6 7	784 46	ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.749 9; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.174 4; ce(N+)/(γ+ce)=0.0512 13 I _(γ+ce) : required for intensity balance at 98.9 level. I _γ : from I(γ+ce)/(1+α). Measured I _γ : 17.1 9 (1972PoZU), 20 (1972De67). δ: from L-subshell ratios, sign from ceγ(θ): ¹⁹⁵ Au ε decay.
98.90 2	100 5	98.900	3/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.130 4	6.85		α(L1)exp≈807 (1954Co29). α(K)=5.57 8; α(L)=0.981 14; α(M)=0.228 4; α(N+..)=0.0672 10 δ: from L-subshell ratios (¹⁹⁵ Au decay); other δ=-0.16 2 (1972Ba22) γ-anisotropy. (L1+L2)/L3=12.7 18 (1967Ba39). Other: 11.9 (1954Co29), 30.6 (¹⁹⁵ Au ε decay). α(exp)=9 5 (1952De34).
129.5 2	0.75 4	259.29	13/2 ⁺	129.790	5/2 ⁻	M4		1135 19	852 35	ce(K)/(γ+ce)=0.134 3; ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.613 9; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.194 5; ce(N+)/(γ+ce)=0.0576 14 B(M4)(W.u.)=1.38 9 E _γ : from 1961Kr02. Others: 129.9 (1954Co29), 130.05 32 (1967Ba39). I _γ : from I(γ+ce) and α. I _(γ+ce) : from intensity balance.
129.79 2	24.8 13	129.790	5/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	E2		1.727		α(K)=0.467 7; α(L)=0.947 14; α(M)=0.244 4; α(N+..)=0.0690 10 I _γ : from doublet I _γ =25.6 13 - I _γ (M4,129.5γ)=0.74 4; other doublet I _γ : 25.6 13 (1972PoZU), 25.5 27 (1967Sc18). Mult.: consistent with K/L=0.44 6, L1,2/L3=1.45 21 (1967Ba39).
140.6	0.263 13	239.5	5/2 ⁻	98.900	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.17 4	2.48		α(K)=2.03 4; α(L)=0.352 7; α(M)=0.0818 18;

¹⁹⁵Pt IT decay (4.010 d) ^{1972PoZU} (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁵Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ^{†@}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[‡]</u>	<u>δ</u>	<u>α^{&}</u>	<u>Comments</u>
211.35 25	0.341 17	211.35	3/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.38 3	0.737 14	α(N+..)=0.0241 5 Other: I _γ =0.207 27 (1967Sc18). Mult.: from α(L1)exp/α(L2)exp/α(L3)exp=100/10.4 14/1.7 2 (1967Ba39). δ: from Coul. ex. (1959Mc69,1966As02). α(K)=0.595 13; α(L)=0.1090 16; α(M)=0.0255 4; α(N+..)=0.00749 11 E _γ : av of 211.1 3 (1967Sc18) and 211.6 (1972PoZU). α(K)exp: 0.48 7 (1967Ba39), 0.73 15 (1973Ja10, ¹⁹⁵ Ir β ⁻ decay). δ: from Coul. ex. (1969Ku06). Other: I _γ =0.315 36 (1967Sc18).
239.5 3	0.477 24	239.5	5/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	E2		0.198	α(K)=0.1077 16; α(L)=0.0680 11; α(M)=0.0172 3; α(N+..)=0.00490 8 E _γ : from 1967Sc18. Other: 239.6 (1972PoZU). Mult.: from α(K)exp/α(L)exp=1.0 2 (1973Ja10, 3.8-h ¹⁹⁵ Ir decay). Other: I _γ =0.56 6 (1967Sc18).

[†] Relative intensity normalized to I_γ(E_γ=98.9)=100 5. I(K x ray)=786 72 (1967Sc18).

[‡] From α(K)exp and α(L)exp, except as noted.

From ΔJ and Δπ.

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.117 6.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{195}Pt IT decay (4.010 d) 1972PoZU

Legend

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
%IT=100.0

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

