

$^{194}\text{Ir}$   $\beta^-$  decay (171 d) 1968Su02

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 177, 1 (2021)	3-Sep-2021

Parent:  $^{194}\text{Ir}$ :  $E=190.0+x$ ;  $J^\pi=(10,11)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=171$  d 11;  $Q(\beta^-)=2228.3$  13;  $\% \beta^-$  decay=100.0

$^{194}\text{Ir}$ -E:  $x=50$  keV to 250 keV. From an estimate of  $\beta^-$  end-point energy  $x \leq 250$  keV (1968Su02).  $\log ft$  (to 2438 level)  $\geq 5.0$  gives  $x \geq 50$ .

$^{194}\text{Ir}$ - $J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{194}\text{Ir}$  Adopted Levels.

$^{194}\text{Ir}$ - $Q(\beta^-)$ : From 2021Wa16.

1968Su02: source of  $^{194}\text{Ir}$  (171 d) formed by three successive neutron captures in  $^{191}\text{Ir}$  via high-spin states in  $^{192}\text{Ir}$  and  $^{193}\text{Ir}$  with neutrons provided from the Materials Testing Reactor at BNL.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with high-resolution Ge(Li) detectors and electrons were detected with a Si(Li) detector. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $E(x$  ray),  $I(x$  ray),  $E(\text{ce})$ ,  $I(\text{ce})$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma$ -ce-coin,  $\gamma(t)$ . Deduced levels, parent  $T_{1/2}$ ,  $J$ ,  $\pi$ , conversion coefficients,  $\gamma$ -ray multiplicities.

1970To14:  $^{194}\text{Ir}$  (171 d) was formed by  $^{196}\text{Pt}(d,\alpha)$  reaction. Level half-life measurements by  $\beta\gamma(t)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$  and  $\gamma$ -ce(t) methods. See also 1970ToZZ from the same laboratory.

 $^{194}\text{Pt}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$0^+$		
328.5 5	$2^+$		
811.1 7	$4^+$		
1373.5 8	$(5^-)$		$T_{1/2}$ : from total $\gamma\gamma(t)$ spectrum, 1970To14 estimate $T_{1/2} \leq 0.8$ ns.
1411.6 8	$6^+$		
1485.1 9	$(7^-)$	3.45 ns 12	On the basis of delayed coincidence data 1970To14 conclude that $562\gamma$ precedes $112\gamma$ , thus defining a level at 1486 rather than at 1936 (1968Su02). $T_{1/2}$ : from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1970To14) using two plastic scintillators.
2047.5 10	$(9^-)$		
2099.5 9	$(8)^+$		
2423.4? 9	$(6^+, 7, 8^+)$		
2438.3 10	$(10^+)$		$T_{1/2}$ : 6.4 ns assigned to this level is now associated with a $12^+$ level lying 12.7 keV above this level as proposed by 2006Le06.
(2451.0 12)	$(12^+)$	6.4 ns 8	E(level): level proposed by 2006Le06 in $(\alpha, 2n\gamma)$ . $T_{1/2}$ : from $\beta(688\gamma)(t)$ (1970To14). 2006Le06 propose that $12^+$ state above the $10^+$ state at 2438 should be an isomeric state from systematics and comparison of measured g factor with calculated values. Proposed (2006Le06) configuration= $\nu i_{13/2}^{-2}$ .

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
(-20.0 17)	2438.3	97 3	The parent state is at $190+x$ , where $x=50$ keV to 250 keV (see $^{194}\text{Ir}$ Adopted Levels). The $\log ft$ value for this energy range varies from 5.0 to 7.2.
(-5.1 <sup>‡</sup> 16)	2423.4?	3 3	The parent state is at $190+x$ , where $x=50$ keV to 250 keV. The $\log ft$ value for this energy range varies from $>6.4$ to $>8.3$ .

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>‡</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>194</sup>Ir β<sup>-</sup> decay (171 d) **1968Su02 (continued)**

γ(<sup>194</sup>Pt)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: From I(γ+ce)(483γ)=100. No isomeric transitions have been reported (1968Su02,1970To14).  
ce data given under comments are from 1968Su02.

<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>†</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>†#</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.<sup>‡</sup></u>	<u>α<sup>@</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
(12.7 12) 111.7 5	8.9 4	(2451.0) 1485.1	(12 <sup>+</sup> ) (7 <sup>-</sup> )	2438.3 1373.5	(10 <sup>+</sup> ) (5 <sup>-</sup> )	(E2)	3.12 8	E <sub>γ</sub> : from level-energy difference. α(K)=0.614 10; α(L)=1.88 5; α(M)=0.486 13 α(N)=0.119 3; α(O)=0.0185 5; α(P)=6.62×10 <sup>-5</sup> 12 Mult.: from intensity balance.
324.0 <sup>a</sup> 5 328.5 5	≈2 93 5	2423.4? 328.5	(6 <sup>+</sup> ,7,8 <sup>+</sup> ) 2 <sup>+</sup>	2099.5 0.0	(8 <sup>+</sup> ) 0 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0755	α(K)=0.0488 7; α(L)=0.0202 3; α(M)=0.00504 8 α(N)=0.001235 19; α(O)=0.000202 3; α(P)=4.97×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8
338.8 5	55 3	2438.3	(10 <sup>+</sup> )	2099.5	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)	0.0691	α(K) <sub>exp</sub> =0.049 6 α(K)=0.0453 7; α(L)=0.0181 3; α(M)=0.00450 7 α(N)=0.001103 17; α(O)=0.000181 3; α(P)=4.63×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7
390.8 5	35 2	2438.3	(10 <sup>+</sup> )	2047.5	(9 <sup>-</sup> )	(E1)	0.01416	α(K) <sub>exp</sub> =0.015 5 α(K)=0.01176 17; α(L)=0.00185 3; α(M)=0.000425 6 α(N)=0.0001044 15; α(O)=1.84×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3; α(P)=1.101×10 <sup>-6</sup> 16
482.6 5	97 5	811.1	4 <sup>+</sup>	328.5	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0270	α(K)=0.0198 3; α(L)=0.00551 8; α(M)=0.001342 20 α(N)=0.000330 5; α(O)=5.56×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8; α(P)=2.08×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3 %I <sub>γ</sub> =97.4 1.
562.4 <sup>&amp;</sup> 5	35 <sup>&amp;</sup> 2	1373.5	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	811.1	4 <sup>+</sup>	(E1)	0.00646	α(K) <sub>exp</sub> =0.0114 30 α(K)=0.00539 8; α(L)=0.000824 12; α(M)=0.000188 3 α(N)=4.64×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7; α(O)=8.22×10 <sup>-6</sup> 12; α(P)=5.17×10 <sup>-7</sup> 8 I <sub>γ</sub> : total I <sub>γ</sub> of the doublet=70 4 divided in two equal parts that is roughly consistent with the coincidence intensities and intensity balance. α(K) <sub>exp</sub> for the doublet consistent with E1 and E2 assignments for the two components.
562.4 <sup>&amp;</sup> 5	35 <sup>&amp;</sup> 2	2047.5	(9 <sup>-</sup> )	1485.1	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	(E2)	0.0187	α(K)=0.01413 20; α(L)=0.00348 5; α(M)=0.000839 12 α(N)=0.000206 3; α(O)=3.52×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; α(P)=1.493×10 <sup>-6</sup> 21
600.5 5	62 3	1411.6	6 <sup>+</sup>	811.1	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.01607	α(K) <sub>exp</sub> =0.0147 26 α(K)=0.01229 18; α(L)=0.00289 4; α(M)=0.000693 10 α(N)=0.0001705 25; α(O)=2.92×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; α(P)=1.300×10 <sup>-6</sup> 19
687.8 5	59 3	2099.5	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	1411.6	6 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)	0.01187	α(K) <sub>exp</sub> =0.0104 25 α(K)=0.00927 13; α(L)=0.00199 3; α(M)=0.000475 7 α(N)=0.0001169 17; α(O)=2.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3; α(P)=9.81×10 <sup>-7</sup> 14

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{194}\text{Ir}$   $\beta^-$  decay (171 d) [1968Su02](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{194}\text{Pt})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>†#</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
1011.8 <sup>a</sup> 5	3.6 2	2423.4?	(6 <sup>+</sup> ,7,8 <sup>+</sup> )	1411.6	6 <sup>+</sup>	It is not established whether this transition follows or precedes an unobserved 15-keV transition.

<sup>†</sup> From [1968Su02](#).

<sup>‡</sup> From ce data in [1968Su02](#), normalized to the 328 $\gamma$  and 811 $\gamma$ , both treated as E2, unless otherwise noted. The same assignments are given in the Adopted Gammas.

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.00 5.

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

& Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

<sup>a</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{194}\text{Ir}$   $\beta^-$  decay (171 d) 1968Su02

Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays  
@ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

(10,11) 190.0+x 171 d 11  
 $Q_{\beta^-} = 2228.3$  13  
 $\% \beta^- = 100$   
 $^{194}_{77}\text{Ir}_{117}$

