

^{194}Bi ε decay (125 s+115 s) 1987Va09

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 177, 1 (2021)	3-Sep-2021

Parent: ^{194}Bi : E=145 50; $J^\pi=(6^+,7^+)$; $T_{1/2}=125$ s 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=8185$ 18; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

Parent: ^{194}Bi : E=161 8; $J^\pi=(10^-)$; $T_{1/2}=115$ s 4; $Q(\varepsilon)=8185$ 18; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=99.80 7

$^{194}\text{Bi}(145)\text{-E}, J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{194}Bi . Adopted $T_{1/2}$ is from 1987Va09. Other: 120 s 18 from 1976Ch30 for the two isomers.

$^{194}\text{Bi}(145)\text{-Q}(\varepsilon)$: From 2021Wa16.

$^{194}\text{Bi}(145)\text{-}\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay: From Adopted Levels of ^{194}Bi .

$^{194}\text{Bi}(161)\text{-E}, J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{194}Bi . Adopted $T_{1/2}$ is from α -decay curve in 1991Va04. Other: 106 s 3 in 1987Va09 obtained from decay curves for 1308 γ and 931 γ , which are seen in the decay of all the three ^{194}Bi activities.

$^{194}\text{Bi}(161)\text{-Q}(\varepsilon)$: From 2021Wa16.

$^{194}\text{Bi}(161)\text{-}\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay: From Adopted Levels of ^{194}Bi , based on $\% \alpha=0.20$ 7 (1991Va04).

1987Va09: ^{194}Bi source ions were produced via $^{\text{nat}}\text{Re}(^{16}\text{O}, \text{x}\gamma)$ with E<210 MeV beam with the Leuven Isotope Separator On-Line (LISOL) facility. γ rays were detected with two Ge detectors and conversion electrons were detected with a Si(Li) detector. Measured E_γ , I_γ , E(ce), I(ce), $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, ce- γ -coin, $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$. Deduced levels, J, π , $T_{1/2}$, conversion coefficients, γ -ray multipolarities. Systematics of neighboring Pb isotopes and comparisons with theoretical calculations. See also 1984Va19 and 1984Va11 from the same research group.

1976Ch30: ^{194}Bi source ions were produced via the reactions of E=145 MeV ^{20}Ne and E=175 MeV ^{22}Ne beams from the U-300 cyclotron at JINR on 3 mg/cm² self-supporting ^{181}Ta targets. γ rays were detected with a Ge(Li) detector and a NaI(Tl) detector. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma(t)$. Deduced levels, J, π , parent $T_{1/2}$. Systematics of neighboring Pb isotopes.

1993St01: search for the population of SD sates in ^{194}Pb following the decay of ^{194}Bi with the UNISOR on-line separator at ORNL.

Other: 1962Ju08.

Data presented here are for the decays of two isomers with $J^\pi=(10^-)$ and $J^\pi=(6^+,7^+)$. Since the isotope was produced in (HI,xn) reactions, the population of the ^{194}Bi g.s. ($J^\pi=(3^+)$) was very weak.

The decay scheme is incomplete due to a large gap between the Q-value and the highest excited levels seen in this decay. No $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ and $\log ft$ values are deduced.

 ^{194}Pb Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
930.7? 3	0 ⁺		
965.04 16	2 ⁺		
1308.24? 15	(2 ⁺)		
1540.09 20	4 ⁺		
1820.4 3	(5) ⁻		
2134.8 3	(6) ⁺		
2241.3 3	(7) ⁻		
2299.1? 4	(5 ⁻ , 6 ⁻)		E(level): level proposed by 1996Lo12 based on matching 478 γ observed in (HI,xn γ) and in ^{194}Bi ε decay.
2407.5 4	(9) ⁻		
2419.8 4	(8) ⁻		
2437.4 3	(8) ⁺	17 ns 4	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ in 1987Va09.
2502.4 4	(8) ⁻		
2581.4 4	(10) ⁺		
2608.3? 4			E(level): level proposed by 1996Lo12 based on matching 788 γ observed in (HI,xn γ) and in ^{194}Bi ε decay.
2628.7 5	(12 ⁺)		
2799.0 4	(4 ⁺ to 8 ⁺)		
2913.1 4	(9) ⁻		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{194}Bi ε decay (125 s+115 s) [1987Va09](#) (continued)

^{194}Pb Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>Jπ[‡]</u>
2930.6 4	(9 ⁺)
2933.6 5	(11) ⁻

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

γ(¹⁹⁴Pb)

1987Va09 present a composite decay scheme for the ¹⁹⁴Bi isomers. The evaluators have attempted to separate the decay schemes assuming that the three isomers populate levels with different range of spins, the 95-s g.s. populating the low-spin levels (J≤4) and the 115-s and 125-s isomers populating the high-spin (J>4). The low lying levels, however, are seen in the decay of all the activities.

E_γ [‡]	I_γ [#]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	δ [‡]	α ^a	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
46.8 4	0.04 @ 1	2628.7	(12 ⁺)	2581.4	(10) ⁺	[E2]		221 10	10.0 20	ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.742 24; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.195 12 ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.049 3; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=0.0087 6; ce(P)/(γ+ce)=0.000297 19 α(L)=165 8; α(M)=43.4 20 α(N)=10.9 5; α(O)=1.93 9; α(P)=0.066 3 E _γ : transition seen as L- and M-lines in ce data. α(K)=0.255 4; α(L)=0.430 7; α(M)=0.1129 17 α(N)=0.0285 5; α(O)=0.00512 8; α(P)=0.000238 4 E _γ : weighted average of 166.3 2 (1987Va09) and 166.1 3 (1976Ch30). I _γ : other: 46 3 from 1976Ch30 is discrepant. Mult.: α(K)exp=0.29 5, α(L)exp=0.40 6. α(K)=0.0892 13; α(L)=0.01609 23; α(M)=0.00377 6 α(N)=0.000948 14; α(O)=0.000181 3; α(P)=1.552×10 ⁻⁵ 23 E _γ : weighted average of 173.8 2 (1987Va09) and 174.0 3 (1976Ch30). I _γ : other: 28 2 from 1976Ch30 is discrepant. Mult.: α(K)exp=0.11 2. α(K)=1.26 21; α(L)=0.263 11; α(M)=0.063 4 α(N)=0.0160 10; α(O)=0.00313 13; α(P)=0.000298 24 Mult.: α(K)exp=1.3 2, α(L)exp=0.31 6. Weak line in ce data (1987Va09). α(K)=0.0665 10; α(L)=0.01180 17; α(M)=0.00277 4 α(N)=0.000695 10; α(O)=0.0001335 19; α(P)=1.165×10 ⁻⁵ 17 Mult.: α(K)exp<0.1. Weak line in ce data (1987Va09). α(K)=0.1192 17; α(L)=0.1046 16; α(M)=0.0272 4 α(N)=0.00687 10; α(O)=0.001248 18; α(P)=6.72×10 ⁻⁵ 10 α(K)=0.510 8; α(L)=0.0874 13; α(M)=0.0205 3 α(N)=0.00520 8; α(O)=0.001037 15; α(P)=0.0001108 16 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.65 14, α(L)exp=0.12 2. Weak line in ce data. α(K)=0.0283 4; α(L)=0.00482 7; α(M)=0.001126 16 α(N)=0.000284 4; α(O)=5.50×10 ⁻⁵ 8; α(P)=5.06×10 ⁻⁶ 8 E _γ , I _γ : other: 280.2 3 with I _γ =70 5 (1976Ch30). Mult.: from adopted gammas. Absence in ce data consistent with low conversion coefficient expected for mult=E1.
166.2 2	19.5 6	2407.5	(9) ⁻	2241.3	(7) ⁻	E2		0.831		
173.9 2	17.6 6	2581.4	(10) ⁺	2407.5	(9) ⁻	E1		0.1102		
178.5 2	2.8 3	2419.8	(8) ⁻	2241.3	(7) ⁻	(M1+E2)	<0.7	1.61 20		
196.1 2	3.3 3	2437.4	(8) ⁺	2241.3	(7) ⁻	(E1)		0.0819		
231.9 2	0.4 2	1540.09	4 ⁺	1308.24?	(2 ⁺)	[E2]		0.259		
261.1 2	1.5 4	2502.4	(8) ⁻	2241.3	(7) ⁻	(M1)		0.624		
280.3 2	71.8 10	1820.4	(5) ⁻	1540.09	4 ⁺	E1		0.0346		

$\gamma(^{194}\text{Pb})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ [#]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	α^a	Comments
^x 284.1 2 302.5 2	0.9 4 13.5 6	2437.4	(8) ⁺	2134.8	(6) ⁺	E2	0.1127	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0638$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0367$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00942$ 14 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00238$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000438$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.69\times 10^{-5}$ 4 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.045$ 9, $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=0.037$ 7.
304.8 2	1.9 5	2933.6	(11) ⁻	2628.7	(12) ⁺	E1	0.0285	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0233$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00394$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000919$ 13 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000232$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.50\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.18\times 10^{-6}$ 6
343.2 2	0.05 ^{&} 2	1308.24?	(2) ⁺	965.04	2 ⁺	(E0+M1+E2)	0.72 11	I_γ : total $I_\gamma=1.0$ 3 (1987Va09). α : from ¹⁹⁴ Bi ε decay (95 s).
352.3 2	3.0 4	2933.6	(11) ⁻	2581.4	(10) ⁺	E1	0.0204	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01680$ 24; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00279$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000651$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001642$ 23; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.20\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.03\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.056$ 9 gives E2, but the ce(K) line is very weak in this work (1987Va09).
^x 355.2 2 377.5 3 421.0 2	1.7 4 0.02 ^{&} 1 58.3 8	1308.24? 2241.3	(2) ⁺ (7) ⁻	930.7? 1820.4	0 ⁺ (5) ⁻	E2	0.0451	I_γ : total $I_\gamma=0.4$ 2 (1987Va09). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0301$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01129$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00284$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000718$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001345$ 19; $\alpha(\text{P})=9.72\times 10^{-6}$ 14 E_γ : other: 421 1 with $I_\gamma=55$ 10 (1976Ch30). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=0.013$ 2, $\alpha(\text{M})_{\text{exp}}=0.0026$ 7.
478.7 ^b 2	0.8 4	2299.1?	(5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻)	1820.4	(5) ⁻			E_γ : Tentative placement from 1996Lo12 . $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.12$ 2. Uncertainty quoted by 1987Va09 is incorrect, it should be at least 50%.
493.2 2 ^x 505.8 3 ^x 557.8 2 575.0 2	5.6 5 0.6 4 1.7 3 95.5 14	2930.6 1540.09	(9) ⁺ 4 ⁺	2437.4 965.04	(8) ⁺ 2 ⁺	E2	0.0212	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01553$ 22; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00427$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001052$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000266$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.07\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.19\times 10^{-6}$ 6 E_γ, I_γ : other: 575.4 5 with $I_\gamma=87$ 8 (1976Ch30). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=0.0037$ 8.
594.7 2	23.3 14	2134.8	(6) ⁺	1540.09	4 ⁺	E2	0.0196	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01450$ 21; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00388$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000952$ 14 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000241$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.60\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.85\times 10^{-6}$ 6 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.014$ 3.
^x 615.7 2 ^x 659.5 2 664.2 2 671.8 2	0.8 2 0.5 3 5.0 4 2.1 5	2799.0 2913.1	(4 ⁺ to 8 ⁺) (9) ⁻	2134.8 2241.3	(6) ⁺ (7) ⁻	(Q)		I_γ : doublet. The other component is assigned to the decay of the 95-s isomer. Intensity division for two placements (with 2913 and 1637 level) is shown in figure 9 of 1987Va09 , probably done the basis of $\gamma\gamma$ -coin data.
^x 722.1 2 787.9 ^b 2 ^x 845.3 2 ^x 897.0 3	0.9 2 2.5 4 1.5 2 0.6 2	2608.3?		1820.4	(5) ⁻			E_γ : tentative placement from 1996Lo12 .

¹⁹⁴Bi ε decay (125 s+115 s) [1987Va09](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{194}\text{Pb})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\ddagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	α^a	Comments
^x 906.6 3 930.6 4	0.3 1	930.7?	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0		$E_\gamma, \text{Mult.}$: from ce data (1987Va09). A comparison of γ and ce spectra shows the existence of an E0 transition, which is assigned as a ground transition by 1987Va09 also based on coincidence relation with PB K x rays and not coincidence with 965 γ . $I(\gamma+\text{ce})=0.02$, deduced from transition intensity balance at 931 level.
965.0 2	100	965.04	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.00714	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00567$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001121$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000267$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=6.77\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.322\times 10^{-5}$ 19; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.266\times 10^{-6}$ 18 E_γ : other: 965.0 5 (1976Ch30). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=0.0019$ 4.
^x 1024.7 3 ^x 1030.0 3 ^x 1049.6 3 ^x 1222.1 3	0.8 2 0.9 3 0.5 2 0.6 2							
1308.3 2	0.30 ^{&} 6	1308.24?	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	(E2)	0.00400	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00324$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000573$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001349$ 19 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.42\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.74\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.78\times 10^{-7}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=1.693\times 10^{-5}$ 24 I_γ : total $I_\gamma=6.7$ 3 (1987Va09). Mult.: 1987Va09 quote $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0039$ 8; however, in the ce spectrum shown by the authors, the K-conversion line is not evident.

[†] From Adopted Gammas, supported by the ce data in [1987Va09](#) as given in comments where available, which are normalized to ce(K) lines of 965 γ , 575 γ and 421 γ , all treated as E2 transitions.

[‡] From [1987Va09](#), unless otherwise noted.

[#] From $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay of all three ¹⁹⁴Bi isomers.

@ Deduced from ce data.

& Deduced from transition intensity balance at 1308 level.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^b Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{194}Bi ϵ decay (125 s+115 s) 1987Va09

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 — $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 — $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
 • Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

(10^-) 161 115 s 4
 $Q_\epsilon = 8185.18$
 $(6^+, 7^+)$ 145 125 s 2
 $Q_\epsilon = 8185.18$
 $^{194}\text{Bi}_{111}$
 83

