

^{194}Tl ε decay (33.0 min) 2003Su30,1972Am03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 177, 1 (2021)	3-Sep-2021

Parent: ^{194}Tl : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=2^-$; $T_{1/2}=33.0$ min 5; $Q(\varepsilon)=5246$ 14; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

^{194}Tl - J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{194}Tl .

^{194}Tl - $Q(\varepsilon)$: From 2021Wa16.

2003Su30: ^{194}Tl source was obtained as daughter of ^{194}Pb isotope produced in the reaction of $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{19}\text{F},6n)$ with 110 MeV ^{19}F beam from BARC-TIFR Pelletron accelerator at Mumbai. γ rays were detected with two HPGe detectors. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma(t)$. Deduced levels, parent $T_{1/2}$, absolute γ -ray intensities. Absolute γ -ray intensity measured from ^{194}Pb - ^{194}Tl successive decays and using absolute γ -ray intensity information for ^{194}Pb decay.

1972Am03: ^{194}Tl ions were obtained from spallation of lead by bombarding a PbF_2 target with 660 MeV proton beam from the synchrocyclotron of the Nuclear Problems Laboratory of JINR. γ rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors and conversion electrons were detected with a β spectrometer with a Si(Li) detector (FWHM=3.2 keV at ≈ 200 keV). Measured E_γ , I_γ , $E(\text{ce})$, $I(\text{ce})$. Deduced levels, J , π , ε -decay branching ratios, $\log ft$, conversion coefficients, γ -ray multipolarities.

Others: 1970To14, 1968Pe13, 1960Ju01, 1976WeZM.

Due to a large gap (>3 MeV) between Q -value=5246 14 and the highest observed level of 2052, the decay scheme is considered incomplete and the branching ratios and $\log ft$ values are considered as approximated values.

 ^{194}Hg Levels

A 1292 level proposed on the basis of energy sums of 219.0γ and 227.98γ (1972Am03) is discarded by the evaluator. The 227.98γ is placed with an 8^- level at 2138 known from (HI,xn γ) and the 219.0γ is considered unplaced due to the absence of any other supporting argument.

Negative result in the search for super-deformation at low-spin states populated by ^{194}Tl ε decay. Expected 3600γ in coincidence with 428γ (from 428 level) was not observed (1989HeYZ, 1990HeYY).

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]
0.0	0^+
427.91 9	2^+
1064.25 14	4^+
1073.05 13	$(2)^+$
1468.44 14	$(3)^+$
1957.8 5	$(0^+ \text{ to } 4^+)$
1979.5 5	$(0^+ \text{ to } 4^+)$
2051.7 3	$(0^+ \text{ to } 4^+)$

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

$E(\beta^+)=4.4$ MeV 3, reported by 1976WeZM probably corresponds to a β^+ transition to g.s.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [‡]	$I\varepsilon$ [‡]	$\log ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ ^{†‡}	Comments
(3194 14)	2051.7	0.25 5	1.8 4	7.5 1	2.0 4	av $E\beta=982.3$ 63; $\varepsilon K=0.7104$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.1258$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.04047$ 11 Log ft : 9.2 for 1U.
(3267 14)	1979.5	0.57 5	3.6 3	7.23 5	4.2 4	av $E\beta=1014.3$ 63; $\varepsilon K=0.7014$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.1241$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.03991$ 11 Log ft : 8.9 for 1U.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{194}Tl ε decay (33.0 min) **2003Su30,1972Am03** (continued) ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ ‡	$I\varepsilon$ ‡	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ ‡‡	Comments
(3288 14)	1957.8	0.28 4	1.7 3	7.6 1	2.0 3	av $E\beta=1024.0$ 63; $\varepsilon K=0.6987$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.1236$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.03974$ 11 Log ft : 9.2 for 1U.
(3778 14)	1468.44	1.6 2	5.6 5	7.17 5	7.2 7	av $E\beta=1242.4$ 63; $\varepsilon K=0.6298$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.1108$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.03559$ 13
(4173 14)	1073.05	3.9 3	9.0 7	7.05 4	12.9 10	av $E\beta=1420.3$ 64; $\varepsilon K=0.5685$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.0997$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.03200$ 13
(4182 14)	1064.25	1.1 2	7.4 12	9.0 ^{1u} 1	8.5 14	av $E\beta=1393.0$ 61; $\varepsilon K=0.6980$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.1266$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.04089$ 9
(4818 14)	427.91	19 3	27 3	6.7 1	46 6	av $E\beta=1712.9$ 64; $\varepsilon K=0.4684$ 21; $\varepsilon L=0.0817$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.02622$ 12
(5246 14)	0.0	4.8 17	12 4	9.1 ^{1u} 2	17 6	av $E\beta=1856.0$ 62; $\varepsilon K=0.5805$ 17; $\varepsilon L=0.1038$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.03346$ 11 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: as stated in 2003Su30 , the branching ratio to g.s. appears to be over-estimated, which could be due to errors on the branching intensities of other levels or unobserved transitions to g.s.

 ‡ From γ +ce intensity balance at each level. ‡‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays. $\gamma(^{194}\text{Hg})$ I γ normalization: from absolute γ -ray intensity measurement by **2003Su30**.Several unplaced γ rays are given with the decay of the 32.8-min isomer of ^{194}Tl . These belong to either or both the isomers. See γ rays from ^{194}Tl ε decay (32.8 min).

E_{γ} ‡	I_{γ} $^{\ddagger@}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^{π}	E_f	J_f^{π}	Mult. $^{\#}$	$\delta^{\#}$	$\alpha^{\&}$	Comments
395.39 13	1.5 4	1468.44	(3) ⁺	1073.05	(2) ⁺	M1(+E2)	<1	0.14 3	$\alpha(K)=0.11$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.021$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0048$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00121$ 16; $\alpha(O)=0.00023$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 E_{γ} : other: 395.5 5 (1972Am03). Mult.: $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.13$ (1972Am03).
404.19 8	0.70 7	1468.44	(3) ⁺	1064.25	4 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<1	0.13 3	$\alpha(K)=0.11$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.019$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0045$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00113$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.00021$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 E_{γ} : other: 403.9 7 (1972Am03). Mult.: $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.12$ (1972Am03).
427.91 9	75 5	427.91	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.0398	$\alpha(K)=0.0275$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00929$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.00231$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000575$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.0001014$ 15; $\alpha(P)=3.62 \times 10^{-6}$ 5 Mult.: from $(L1+L2)/L3=5.1$ 10, $K/L=3.0$ 6, $L/M=1.3$ 5 (1960Ju01). I_{γ} : deduced from composite $I_{\gamma}=220$ 25 (1972Am03) for 33.0-min and 32.8-min activities on the basis of intensity balance at 428 level in the decay of 32.8-min isomer.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{194}Tl ε decay (33.0 min) 2003Su30,1972Am03 (continued) $\gamma(^{194}\text{Hg})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†@}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α ^{&}	Comments
636.34 14	9.1 13	1064.25	4 ⁺	427.91	2 ⁺	E2	0.01542	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01173$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00280$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000678$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001693$ 24; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.06\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.557\times 10^{-6}$ 22 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.013$ (1972Am03), 0.014 4 (1968Pe13).
645.18 14	10.8 7	1073.05	(2) ⁺	427.91	2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.031 16	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.025$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0045$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0011$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00027$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.0\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.5\times 10^{-6}$ 20 E_γ : other: 645.5 7 (1968Pe13), 645.2 3 (1972Am03). Mult.: dominant E2 from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.015$ in 1972Am03, but dominant M1 from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.029$ 9 in 1968Pe13.
978.7 [‡] 3	1.4 [‡] 3	2051.7	(0 ⁺ to 4 ⁺)	1073.05	(2) ⁺			E_γ : other: 1040.7 10 (1968Pe13), 1040.3 5 (1972Am03).
1040.5 3	4.8 4	1468.44	(3) ⁺	427.91	2 ⁺			
1073.0 3	4.8 4	1073.05	(2) ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			E_γ : other: 1073.4 10 (1968Pe13), 1073.3 5 (1972Am03).
1529.9 [‡] 5	2.0 [‡] 3	1957.8	(0 ⁺ to 4 ⁺)	427.91	2 ⁺			
1551.6 [‡] 5	4.2 [‡] 4	1979.5	(0 ⁺ to 4 ⁺)	427.91	2 ⁺			
1623.5 [‡] 6	0.64 [‡] 23	2051.7	(0 ⁺ to 4 ⁺)	427.91	2 ⁺			

[†] From 2003Su30. Corresponding values from 1972Am03 are in agreement but less precise. The γ -ray intensities are absolute intensities (per 100 decays of 33.0 min ^{194}Tl).

[‡] From 2003Su30 only.

[#] From ce data (1972Am03,1968Pe13) given under comments where available. Uncertainty of 30% in ce data from 1972Am03 is assumed by evaluators when deducing δ value.

@ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

