

^{194}Tl ε decay (32.8 min) 1972Am03,2019OI05

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 177, 1 (2021)	3-Sep-2021

Parent: ^{194}Tl : E=260 14; $J^\pi=(7^+)$; $T_{1/2}=32.8$ min 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=5246$ 14; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

^{194}Tl -E, J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{194}Tl .

^{194}Tl -Q(ε): From 2021Wa16.

1972Am03: ^{194}Tl ions were obtained from spallation of lead by bombarding a PbF₂ target with 660 MeV proton beam from the synchrocyclotron of the Nuclear Problems Laboratory of JINR. γ rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors and conversion electrons were detected with a β spectrometer with a Si(Li) detector (FWHM=3.2 keV at ≈ 200 keV). Measured E γ , I γ , E(ce), I(ce). Deduced levels, J, π , ε -decay branching ratios, log ft , conversion coefficients, γ -ray multipolarities.

2019OI05: ^{194}Tl ions were produced by a 500-MeV proton beam provided by the TRIUMF main cyclotron impinging on an uranium carbide target, and implanted into a mylar tape at the central focus of the GRIFFIN spectrometer. γ rays were detected with the GRIFFIN array consisting of 15 HPGe clover detectors and 7 cylindrical LaBr₃(Ce) crystals; conversion electrons were detected with a set of 5 in-vacuum LN₂-cooled lithium-drifted silicon detectors (PACES) and a fast 1-mm-thin plastic Zero Degree Scintillator (ZDS). Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(t)$. Deduced levels, $T_{1/2}$, transition strengths. Comparisons with available data and theoretical calculations.

Others: 1968Pe13, 1970To14, 1967Na14, 1966Pe06, 1960Ju01, 1974St04, 1976WeZM.

Due to a large gap (≈ 3 MeV) between Q-value=5246 14 and the highest observed level of 2464, the decay scheme is considered incomplete and the branching ratios and log ft values are considered as approximated values.

 ^{194}Hg Levels

Negative result in the search for superdeformation at low-spin states populated by ^{194}Tl ε decay. Expected 3600 γ in coincidence with 428 γ (from 428 level) was not observed (1989HeYZ, 1990HeYY).

2138.4 and 2143.5 levels: level population suggested by evaluators based on placements of 227.98 γ and 233.10 γ in (HI,xn γ). In 1972Am03, 227.98 γ is placed from a level at 1292.5 and 233.10 γ is unplaced. The 1292.5 level proposed in 1972Am03 on the basis of energy sums of 219.0 γ and 227.98 γ is discarded by the evaluator. The 227.98 γ is placed with an 8⁻ level at 2138 known from (HI,xn γ) and the 219.0 γ is considered unplaced due to the absence of any other supporting argument.

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
428.2 3	2 ⁺	19 ps 1	$T_{1/2}$: from (636 γ)(428 γ)(t) with 735 γ and 749 γ also in gate (2019OI05).
1064.5 4	4 ⁺	<3 ps	$T_{1/2}$: from (735 γ)(636 γ)(t) with 428 γ also in gate (2019OI05).
1799.5 5	6 ⁺		
1813.5 5	5 ⁻	51 ps 6	$T_{1/2}$: from (650 γ)(749 γ)(t) with 428 γ also in gate (2019OI05). Other: ≤ 0.15 ns (1970To14, (ce) γ (t)).
1910.4 5	7 ⁻	3.61 ns 15	$T_{1/2}$: unweighted average of 3.46 ns 3 (2019OI05) and 3.75 ns 11 (1970To14). Value of 2019OI05 is from (255 γ)(735 γ)(t) with 428 γ also in gate; (209 γ)(749 γ)(t) and (209 γ)(111 γ)(t) (2019OI05).
2138.4 5	8 ⁻		
2143.5 5	9 ⁻		
2165.8 5	6 ⁻		
2179.9 5	5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻		
2260.0? 9	(4,5,6) ⁻		
2264.7 5	(5,6) ⁻		
2374.7 5	6 ⁻ ,7 ⁻		
2463.9 5	6 ⁻		

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

¹⁹⁴Tl ε decay (32.8 min) **1972Am03,2019O105** (continued)

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ †	Iε ‡	Log ft	I(ε+β ⁺) †‡	Comments
(3042 20)	2463.9	1.80 24	16.0 21	6.5 1	17.8 23	av Eβ=914.8 88; εK=0.7281 23; εL=0.1292 5; εM+=0.04158 14
(3131 20)	2374.7	1.2 3	9.7 21	6.8 1	10.9 24	av Eβ=954.3 88; εK=0.7179 24; εL=0.1273 5; εM+=0.04094 15
(3241 20)	2264.7	0.49 11	10.3 24	8.4 ^{1u} 1	10.8 25	av Eβ=991.5 84; εK=0.7669 10; εL=0.1420 3; εM+=0.04600 9
(3246 [#] 20)	2260.0?	0.39 8	2.6 5	7.4 1	3.0 6	av Eβ=1005.2 88; εK=0.7040 25; εL=0.1246 5; εM+=0.04007 16
(3326 20)	2179.9	0.14 9	0.9 5	7.9 3	1.0 6	av Eβ=1040.8 88; εK=0.694 3; εL=0.1227 5; εM+=0.03944 16
(3340 [#] 20)	2165.8	<0.4	<3	>7.4	<3	av Eβ=1047.1 89; εK=0.692 3; εL=0.1223 5; εM+=0.03933 16
(3363 20)	2143.5	0.13 2	2.4 4	9.1 ^{1u} 1	2.5 4	av Eβ=1042.9 84; εK=0.7605 12; εL=0.1403 3; εM+=0.04544 10
(3368 20)	2138.4	1.3 2	7.5 11	6.9 1	8.8 13	av Eβ=1059.3 89; εK=0.688 3; εL=0.1216 5; εM+=0.03910 17
(3596 20)	1910.4	6.1 23	26 10	6.5 2	32 12	av Eβ=1160.9 89; εK=0.657 3; εL=0.1157 6; εM+=0.03719 18
(3693 [#] 20)	1813.5	<1.1	<12	>8.5 ^{1u}	<13	av Eβ=1183.3 85; εK=0.7392 15; εL=0.1353 4; εM+=0.04377 11
(3707 20)	1799.5	2.3 11	9 4	7.0 2	11 5	av Eβ=1210.6 89; εK=0.640 3; εL=0.1127 6; εM+=0.03622 18

† From γ+ce intensity balance at each level.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(¹⁹⁴Hg)

I_γ normalization: From I(γ+ce)(749γ and 735γ)=100, assuming no γ feedings from levels above 1813.5 and no β feedings to g.s., 428.2 and 1064.5 levels. About 14% γ intensity is unplaced but it is unlikely that any of it will affect the normalization factor significantly with the above assumption.

Unplaced γ rays are from 33-min (g.s.) or from 32.8-min isomer (1972Am03).

E _γ †	I _γ †#	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. ‡	α [@]	Comments
96.90 8	10 2	1910.4	7 ⁻	1813.5	5 ⁻	E2	6.33	α(K)=0.624 9; α(L)=4.27 7; α(M)=1.117 17 α(N)=0.277 4; α(O)=0.0459 7; α(P)=0.0001280 19 %I _γ =7.7 16 E _γ : from 1968Pe13 using permanent-magnet spectrograph plates. The same value is quoted by 1972Am03. I _γ : other: 10.7 59 (Pb target), 9.1 46 (U target) (1968Pe13). Mult.: α(L) _{exp} =4.0 4, L/(M+N)=2.9 (1972Am03), α(L) _{exp} =4.2 8 (1968Pe13), (L1+L2)/L3=1.24 5, L/M=3.6 4, M/(N+O)=2.7 3 (1960Ju01).
98.9 1	0.8 3	2264.7	(5,6) ⁻	2165.8	6 ⁻	[M1]	8.15	α(K)=6.67 10; α(L)=1.137 17; α(M)=0.265 4 α(N)=0.0664 10; α(O)=0.01256 18; α(P)=0.000960 14 %I _γ =0.62 23 %I _γ =0.77 16
^x 107.2 2	1.0 2							

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

¹⁹⁴Tl ε decay (32.8 min) 1972Am03,2019OI05 (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁴Hg) (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †#	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	δ^\ddagger	$\alpha^@$	Comments
110.96 8	8.3 20	1910.4	7 ⁻	1799.5	6 ⁺	[E1]		0.325	α(K)=0.261 4; α(L)=0.0494 7; α(M)=0.01155 17 α(N)=0.00285 4; α(O)=0.000507 8; α(P)=2.58×10 ⁻⁵ 4 %I _γ =6.4 16 E _γ : other: 110.8 10 (1968Pe13). I _γ : other: 7.6 38 (Pb target), 7.3 37 (U target) (1968Pe13).
208.90 18	8.0 20	2374.7	6 ⁻ ,7 ⁻	2165.8	6 ⁻	E2(+M1)	>2.1	0.40 6	α(K)=0.21 6; α(L)=0.1380 21; α(M)=0.0353 7 α(N)=0.00877 16; α(O)=0.001499 22; α(P)=2.8×10 ⁻⁵ 9 %I _γ =6.2 16 E _γ : other: 209.7 10 (1968Pe13). I _γ : other: 7.1 36 (Pb target), 6.7 39 (U target) (1968Pe13). Mult.: α(K)exp=0.2, K/L=1.6, K/(M+N)=4.7 (1972Am03).
^x 219.0 5	1.3 3					M1+E2	1.2 5	0.52 15	α(K)=0.37 15; α(L)=0.1155 23; α(M)=0.0285 7 α(N)=0.00712 15; α(O)=0.00126 3; α(P)=5.1×10 ⁻⁵ 22 %I _γ =1.00 24 Mult.,δ: α(K)exp=0.37. On the basis of energy sums, 1972Am03 propose a (3 ⁺) level at 1292 with deexciting transitions of 219.0 and 227.98. However, the evaluators consider this level unlikely due to the absence of a transition to the 428, 2 ⁺ level. Moreover, the 227.98γ most probably corresponds to the 227.6γ known to deexcite an 8 ⁻ level at 2138 level in (HI,xnγ). The multipolarity of the 227.98γ from ce data is consistent with 228γ(θ) data in (HI,xnγ). The 8 ⁻ level is expected to be populated from the (7 ⁺) isomer of ¹⁹⁴ Tl.
227.98 8	8.6 10	2138.4	8 ⁻	1910.4	7 ⁻	E2+M1	2.1 +17-6	0.35 7	α(K)=0.22 7; α(L)=0.0981 18; α(M)=0.0247 4 α(N)=0.00616 9; α(O)=0.001069 22; α(P)=2.9×10 ⁻⁵ 9 %I _γ =6.6 8 E _γ ,I _γ : other: 228.4 10, with I _γ =7.3 37 (1968Pe13). 1972AM03 place this γ from a 1292 level which is discarded by evaluators. See comments for 219.0γ. Mult.,δ: α(K)exp=0.31, K/L=1.8, K/(M+N)=8 (1972Am03).
233.10 15	2.7 4	2143.5	9 ⁻	1910.4	7 ⁻	E2		0.234	α(K)=0.1167 17; α(L)=0.0880 13; α(M)=0.0226 4 α(N)=0.00562 8; α(O)=0.000956 14; α(P)=1.470×10 ⁻⁵ 21

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

¹⁹⁴Tl ε decay (32.8 min) **1972Am03,2019OI05** (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁴Hg) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{†#}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[‡]</u>	<u>δ[‡]</u>	<u>α[@]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
^x 239.0 7	1.2 3					M1(+E2)	<1.1	0.55 13	%I _γ =2.1 3 E _γ : placement based on results in (HI,xny); unplaced in 1972Am03. Mult.: δ(E2/M1)>5 from α(K)exp=0.11 (1972Am03). α(K)=0.43 13; α(L)=0.089 4; α(M)=0.0212 5 α(N)=0.00532 13; α(O)=0.00098 5; α(P)=6.1×10 ⁻⁵ 18 %I _γ =0.93 24
255.40 10	12 2	2165.8	6 ⁻	1910.4 7 ⁻		M1(+E2)	0.7 5	0.43 12	Mult.: α(K)exp=0.46. α(K)=0.34 11; α(L)=0.072 5; α(M)=0.0172 8 α(N)=0.00429 19; α(O)=0.00079 6; α(P)=4.8×10 ⁻⁵ 16 %I _γ =9.3 16 E _γ : other: 256.7 15 (1968Pe13). Mult.,δ: α(K)exp=0.37, K/L=5.7, K/(M+N)=13 (1972Am03). α(K)=0.30 5; α(L)=0.055 3; α(M)=0.0129 6 α(N)=0.00322 14; α(O)=0.00060 4; α(P)=4.2×10 ⁻⁵ 7
284.00 20	2.4 4	2463.9	6 ⁻	2179.9 5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻		M1(+E2)	<0.7	0.37 5	%I _γ =1.9 3 Mult.,δ: α(K)exp=0.38 (1972Am03). α(K)=0.088 24; α(L)=0.0352 18; α(M)=0.0088 4 α(N)=0.00220 9; α(O)=0.000384 20; α(P)=1.2×10 ⁻⁵ 4
298.1 2	2.7 3	2463.9	6 ⁻	2165.8 6 ⁻		E2(+M1)	>2	0.13 3	%I _γ =2.1 3 E _γ ,I _γ : other: 298.8 10 with I _γ =2.2 22 (Pb target) (1968Pe13). Mult.,δ: α(K)exp=0.089 (1972Am03). %I _γ =1.0 3
^x 299.5 5	1.3 4					E2		0.0882	α(K)=0.0541 8; α(L)=0.0257 4; α(M)=0.00651 10 α(N)=0.001619 23; α(O)=0.000280 4; α(P)=7.00×10 ⁻⁶ 10 %I _γ =3.9 8 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.041 gives δ(E2/M1)>10.
^x 319.80 10	5.1 10								E _γ : other: 322 2, I _γ =6.5 33 (Pb target) (1968Pe13). α(K)=0.13 4; α(L)=0.026 4; α(M)=0.0061 8 α(N)=0.00153 18; α(O)=0.00028 4; α(P)=1.7×10 ⁻⁵ 6 %I _γ =1.7 3
352.20 25	2.2 4	2165.8	6 ⁻	1813.5 5 ⁻		M1+E2	0.9 4	0.16 5	Mult.,δ: α(K)exp=0.13 (1972Am03). α(K)=0.15 3; α(L)=0.026 3; α(M)=0.0061 6 α(N)=0.00153 14; α(O)=0.00029 3; α(P)=2.1×10 ⁻⁵ 4 %I _γ =1.8 3
366.50 25	2.3 4	2179.9	5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻	1813.5 5 ⁻		M1(+E2)	<0.8	0.18 3	Mult.,δ: α(K)exp=0.18 (1972Am03). α(K)=0.01331 19; α(L)=0.00215 3;
380.5 3	1.8 3	2179.9	5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻	1799.5 6 ⁺		[E1]		0.01610	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

¹⁹⁴Tl ε decay (32.8 min) 1972Am03,2019O105 (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁴Hg) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{†#}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[‡]</u>	<u>δ[‡]</u>	<u>α[@]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
428.20 25	130 6	428.2	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.0397	α(M)=0.000497 7 α(N)=0.0001236 18; α(O)=2.29×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.532×10 ⁻⁶ 22 %I _γ =1.39 24 Mult.: from ΔJ ^π ; α(K)exp gives δ(M2/E1)=0.88 23 for E1+M2, which is highly unlikely. α(K)=0.0274 4; α(L)=0.00927 13; α(M)=0.00230 4 α(N)=0.000574 9; α(O)=0.0001012 15; α(P)=3.62×10 ⁻⁶ 5 %I _γ =100 7 E _γ : other: 428.4 5 (1968Pe13). I _γ : total I _γ =220 25 (1972Am03) distributed in two parts on the basis of intensity balance at 428 level. The other component belongs to ¹⁹⁴ Tl ε decay (33.0 min). Other total I _γ : 232 35 (Pb target), 610 91 (U target) (1968Pe13). Mult.: (L1+L2)/L3=5.1 10, K/L=3.0 6, L/M=1.3 5 (1960Ju01).
446.5 7	3.7 7	2260.0?	(4,5,6) ⁻	1813.5	5 ⁻	M1+E2	1.1 +15-7	0.08 4	α(K)=0.06 4; α(L)=0.012 4; α(M)=0.0029 8 α(N)=0.00072 20; α(O)=0.00013 4; α(P)=8.E-6 5 %I _γ =2.9 6 Mult.,δ: α(K)exp=0.093, K/L=3.5 (1972Am03).
451.0 7	6.5 15	2264.7	(5,6) ⁻	1813.5	5 ⁻	M1+E2	1.7 +27-8	0.06 3	α(K)=0.044 22; α(L)=0.010 3; α(M)=0.0024 6 α(N)=0.00060 14; α(O)=0.00011 3; α(P)=6.E-6 4 %I _γ =5.0 12 Mult.,δ: α(K)exp=0.063, K/L=3.3 (1972Am03).
^x 462.5 7	6.0 20					M1+E2	1.2 5	0.065 21	α(K)=0.052 19; α(L)=0.0105 22; α(M)=0.0025 5 α(N)=0.00063 12; α(O)=0.000116 24; α(P)=7.E-6 3 %I _γ =4.6 16 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.051.
464.5 7	3.0 10	2374.7	6 ⁻ ,7 ⁻	1910.4	7 ⁻	E2(+M1)	>2	0.040 8	α(K)=0.030 7; α(L)=0.0079 8; α(M)=0.00193 18 α(N)=0.00048 5; α(O)=8.7×10 ⁻⁵ 9; α(P)=4.0×10 ⁻⁶ 10

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{194}Tl ε decay (32.8 min) **1972Am03,2019OI05** (continued)

$\gamma(^{194}\text{Hg})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †#	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	δ^\ddagger	$\alpha^\text{@}$	Comments
									%I γ =2.3 8 Mult., δ : $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.11$, K/L=2.6 (1972Am03).
$^{x}510.9$ & 3	11 2								%I γ =8.5 16 Probably annihilation radiation.
553.2 3	6.0 15	2463.9	6 ⁻	1910.4	7 ⁻	M1(+E2)	<0.8	0.061 10	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.050$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0085$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00197$ 24 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00049$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=9.3\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.9\times 10^{-6}$ 12 %I γ =4.6 12 E γ : other: 553.4 10 (1968Pe13). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.063$ (1972Am03).
$^{x}600.5$ 7	2.2 6								%I γ =1.7 5
636.30 25	130 6	1064.5	4 ⁺	428.2	2 ⁺	E2		0.01542	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01173$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00280$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000678$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001693$ 24; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.06\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.557\times 10^{-6}$ 22 %I γ =100 7 E γ : other: 636.8 5 (1968Pe13). I γ : total I γ =150 20 (1972Am03) distributed in two parts on the basis of intensity balance at 1064 level. The other component belongs to ^{194}Tl ε decay (33.0 min). Other total I γ : 151 23 (Pb target), 189 29 (U target) (1968Pe13). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.013$ (1972Am03), 0.014 4 (1968Pe13), 0.013 (1966Pe06), $\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}=0.0028$, K/L=4.5 (1972Am03).
650.3 3	9 2	2463.9	6 ⁻	1813.5	5 ⁻	M1+E2	1.3 5	0.026 8	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.021$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0040$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00094$ 20 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00023$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.4\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.9\times 10^{-6}$ 9 %I γ =6.9 16 Mult., δ : $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.021$ (1972Am03).
664.2 7	1.5 4	2463.9	6 ⁻	1799.5	6 ⁺				%I γ =1.2 3
$^{x}675.7$ &	1.0								%I γ =0.77
$^{x}682.7$ &	0.7								%I γ =0.54
$^{x}691.0$ &	0.9								%I γ =0.69
$^{x}694.8$ &	1.3								%I γ =1.00
$^{x}702.2$ &	1.6								%I γ =1.23
$^{x}711.0$ &	1.7								%I γ =1.31
$^{x}719.8$ &	1.8								%I γ =1.39
735.0 3	29 6	1799.5	6 ⁺	1064.5	4 ⁺	E2		0.01129	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00878$ 13; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00191$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000458$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001144$ 16; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.09\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.162\times 10^{-6}$ 17 %I γ =22 4 E γ : other: 734.7 5 (1968Pe13). I γ : others: 31 6 (Pb target), 29 6 (U target) (1968Pe13). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.008$ (1972Am03), 0.011 3 (1968Pe13).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{194}Tl ε decay (32.8 min) **1972Am03,2019OI05** (continued)

$\gamma(^{194}\text{Hg})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ ‡#	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	α @	Comments
749.0 3	100	1813.5	5 ⁻	1064.5	4 ⁺	E1	0.00395	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00330$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000502$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001154$ 17 $\alpha(\text{N})=2.88 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.40 \times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.95 \times 10^{-7}$ 6 $\%I_\gamma=77$ 4 E_γ : other: 748.9 5 (1968Pe13). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0033$ (1972Am03), 0.0034 10 (1968Pe13), 0.0024 (1966Pe06). E_γ : from 1968Pe13 only.
^x 1118.7 10								
^x 1383.0 &	0.9							$\%I_\gamma=0.69$
^x 1424.4 &	0.8							$\%I_\gamma=0.62$
^x 1445.9 &	0.7							$\%I_\gamma=0.54$
^x 1530.7 &	1.3							$\%I_\gamma=1.00$
^x 1550.3 &	2.3							E_γ : 2003Su30 assign a 1529.9 5 ($I_\gamma=2.0$ 3) to 33.0 min, 2 ⁻ activity. $\%I_\gamma=1.77$ E_γ : 2003Su30 assign a 1551.6 5 ($I_\gamma=4.2$ 4) to 33.0 min, 2 ⁻ activity.
^x 1640.0 &	0.9							$\%I_\gamma=0.69$
^x 1676 &	0.6							$\%I_\gamma=0.46$
^x 1691.4 &	1.3							$\%I_\gamma=1.00$
^x 1822 &	0.7							$\%I_\gamma=0.54$
^x 1832.0 &	0.6							$\%I_\gamma=0.46$
^x 1936.0 &	0.9							Proposed placement with a tentative 2260 level (1972Am03) considered unlikely since possible direct ε feeding from (7 ⁺) suggests $J(2260)>4$. $\%I_\gamma=0.69$

† From 1972Am03, unless otherwise noted. Quoted values of intensities are relative to $I_\gamma(749\gamma)=100$.

‡ From ce data (1972Am03,1968Pe13) given under comments where available. The same values are adopted in Adopted Gammas. For selected transitions, data are also available from 1968Pe13. Uncertainty of 30% in ce data from 1972Am03 is assumed by evaluators when deducing δ value.

For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.77 4.

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{194}Tl ϵ decay (32.8 min) 1972Am03,2019O105

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$

