

<sup>182</sup>W(<sup>16</sup>O,5n $\gamma$ )    1991La07

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia		NDS 143, 1 (2017)	31-Mar-2017

**1991La07:** <sup>182</sup>W(<sup>16</sup>O,5n $\gamma$ ) E(<sup>16</sup>O)=109 MeV; intrinsic Ge and Si(Li) detectors and magnetic spectrometer, pulsed beam (200 ns period); measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , Ice,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $\gamma$ -ce coin,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ .

<sup>193</sup>Pb Levels

E(level)	J $^\pi$	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0.0+x	(13/2 $^+$ )	5.8 min 2	J $^\pi$ , T <sub>1/2</sub> : From Adopted Levels.
881.6+x 2	(17/2 $^+$ )		
1022.0+x 2	(15/2 $^+$ )		
1401.8+x 3	(21/2 $^+$ )		
1550.1+x 3	(19/2 $^+$ )		
1585.9+x 4	(21/2 $^-$ )	22 ns 2	
1994.4+x 4	(25/2 $^+$ )		
2141.3+x 4	(23/2 $^+$ )		
2142.0+x 4	(23/2 $^-$ )		
2214.0+x 5	(25/2 $^+$ )		
2322.4+x 5	(27/2 $^-$ )		
2426.9+x 5	(27/2 $^+$ )		
2527.1+x 5	(29/2 $^+$ )		
2585.1+x 5	(29/2 $^-$ )	11 ns 2	
2612.6+x 6	(33/2 $^+$ )	135 ns +25-15	
2966.8+x? 7	(31/2 $^+$ )		
3220.4+x? 7	(33/2 $^+$ )		E(level): Uncertain level – not adopted. 253.6 $\gamma$ of this placed from 2939.2+x in Adopted Levels.

<sup>†</sup> Half-lives obtained here from  $\gamma(t)$  or  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ , except as noted.

<sup>182</sup>W(<sup>16</sup>O,5n $\gamma$ )    1991La07 (continued)

<u><math>\gamma(^{193}\text{Pb})</math></u>											
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	$\alpha^{\#@\mathbb{A}}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments	
72.6 5		2214.0+x	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2141.3+x	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1+E2	0.21 +4-3	5.3 4		$\alpha(L)=4.1$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.98$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.248$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.048$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.00445$ 14 Mult., $\delta$ : From $\alpha((L1+L2)/L3)(\text{exp})=8.8$ 20. $\alpha(L)=9.0$ 3; $\alpha(M)=2.39$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.601$ 19; $\alpha(O)=0.107$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.00404$ 13 Mult.: $\alpha(L12/L3)(\text{exp})=0.93$ 19, $\alpha(L/M)(\text{exp})=5.1$ 17; theory: $\alpha(L12/L3)(M1)=125.2$ , $\alpha(L12/L3)(E2)=1.23$ , $\alpha(L/M)(M1)=4.26$ , $\alpha(L/M)(E2)=3.79$ .	
85.5 5		2612.6+x	(33/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2527.1+x	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2		12.1 4			
158.2 2	45.0 53	2585.1+x	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2426.9+x	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E1		0.1394	50.8 60	$\alpha(K)=0.1125$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.0206$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00484$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.001213$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.000232$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.95 \times 10^{-5}$ 3 Mult.: $A_2=-0.15$ 5, $A_4=-0.002$ 74. $\alpha_{L12}(\text{exp})=0.0077$ 71; theory: $\alpha_{L12}=0.0170$ .	
180.4 4	2.9 10	2322.4+x	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2142.0+x	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )				4.8 16	Mult., $\delta$ : E2 in 1991La07. But $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.283$ 51, $\alpha_L(\text{exp})=0.36$ 4 indicates M1+E2 and a mixing ratio of 4 +5-1 for which theory value is $\alpha_k=0.28$ 5. Also $A_2=+0.20$ 7, $A_4=-0.21$ 10. In Adopted Levels placement from (27/2 <sup>-</sup> ) to (25/2 <sup>-</sup> ). $\alpha(K)=0.092$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.018$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0044$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.00111$ 19; $\alpha(O)=0.00021$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 Mult., $\delta$ : From $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0916$ 92. Also $A_2=+0.19$ 5, $A_4=-0.02$ 6.	
184.1 3	53.0 36	1585.9+x	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1401.8+x	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E1+M2	0.049 +15-20	0.116 14	59.1 40	$\alpha(K)=0.092$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.018$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0044$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.00111$ 19; $\alpha(O)=0.00021$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 Mult., $\delta$ : From $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0916$ 92. Also $A_2=+0.19$ 5, $A_4=-0.02$ 6.	
204.8 4	0.7 6	2527.1+x	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2322.4+x	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	E1+M2	0.63 14	0.0736	2.5 16	$\alpha(K)=1.24$ 38; $\alpha(L)=0.35$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.087$ 27 $\alpha(N)=0.0224$ 70; $\alpha(O)=0.0044$ 14; $\alpha(P)=4.2 \times 10^{-4}$ 14 Mult., $\delta$ : From $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=1.9$ 16, $\alpha_{L12}(\text{exp})=0.21$ 12; Also $A_2=-0.29$ 6, $A_4=+0.33$ 12. theory: $\alpha_K(E1)=0.0594$ , $\alpha_K(M2)=4.18$ , $\alpha_{L12}(E1)=0.0090$ , $\alpha_{L12}(M2)=1.087$ .	
212.9 3	26.0 33	2426.9+x	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2214.0+x	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1		1.100	54.5 70	$\alpha(K)=0.898$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.1543$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.0362$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00919$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.00183$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.000196$ 3 Mult.: $A_2=-0.19$ 4, $A_4=+0.03$ 7. $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=1.05$ 6, $\alpha_{L12}(\text{exp})=0.146$ 10. $\alpha(K)=0.829$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.1424$ 21; $\alpha(M)=0.0334$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.00848$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.001690$ 25; $\alpha(P)=0.000181$ 3	
219.1 3	1.6 7	2214.0+x	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1994.4+x	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(M1)		1.015	3.1 12	Mult.: $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=1.14$ 13, $\alpha_{L12}(\text{exp})=0.107$ 57; Theory: $\alpha_K(M1)=0.829$ . The anomalously high $\alpha(K)$ conversion coefficient might be due to a	

<sup>182</sup>W(<sup>16</sup>O,5n $\gamma$ )    **1991La07** (continued) $\gamma$ (<sup>193</sup>Pb) (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	$a^{\#@\mathbb{R}}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments	
253.6 10		3220.4+x?	(33/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2966.8+x?	(31/2 <sup>+</sup> )					significant E0 component in the transition, $\Delta J=0$ . $\alpha(K)=0.553$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.0947$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.0222$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00564$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.001124$ 20; $\alpha(P)=0.0001202$ 22	
381.7 10		2966.8+x?	(31/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2585.1+x	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	E2		0.0297	8.5 5	$E_\gamma$ : a comparable 252.3 $\gamma$ from 2939.2+x in Adopted Levels.	
x497.7 4	8.3 5									$\alpha(K)=0.0210$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00659$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001638$ 24 $\alpha(N)=0.000415$ 6; $\alpha(O)=7.83 \times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=6.10 \times 10^{-6}$ 9	
520.2 2	88.1 78	1401.8+x	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	881.6+x	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2		0.0267	90.4 80	Mult.: $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0224$ 51; $\alpha_{L12}(\text{exp})=0.0044$ 22. $\alpha(K)=0.0191$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00575$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.001425$ 20	
$\omega$	528.0 4	6.0 10	1550.1+x	(19/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1022.0+x	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2		0.0258	6.2 10	$\alpha(N)=0.000361$ 5; $\alpha(O)=6.84 \times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=5.42 \times 10^{-6}$ 8
										Mult.: $A_2=+0.24$ 5, $A_4=-0.12$ 8. $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0225$ 15, $\alpha_{L12}(\text{exp})=0.00433$ 32, $\alpha_{L3}(\text{exp})=0.0011$ 3.	
532.2 3	8.5 5	2527.1+x	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1994.4+x	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2(+M3)	0.14 +5-7	0.0370 96	8.8 5	$\alpha(K)=0.0185$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00550$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.001361$ 20	
										$\alpha(N)=0.000345$ 5; $\alpha(O)=6.53 \times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=5.22 \times 10^{-6}$ 8	
										Mult.: $A_2=+0.35$ 5, $A_4=-0.16$ 10. $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0210$ 39.	
556.1 4	6.5 5	2142.0+x	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1585.9+x	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	E2		0.0229	6.6 5	$\alpha(K)=0.0267$ 70; $\alpha(L)=0.0078$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.00194$ 50	
										$\alpha(N)=4.9 \times 10^{-4}$ 13; $\alpha(O)=9.4 \times 10^{-5}$ 25; $\alpha(P)=8.0 \times 10^{-6}$ 24	
										Mult.: $A_2=+0.10$ 3, $A_4=-0.01$ 5. $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0208$ 22, $\alpha_{L12}(\text{exp})=0.0091$ 15.	
591.2 4	12.5 29	2141.3+x	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1550.1+x	(19/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2		0.0199	12.7 30	$\delta$ : Average of $\delta=0.08$ +3-5 from $\alpha_K$ , and $\delta=0.20$ +3-4 from $\alpha_{L12}$ .	
										$\alpha(K)=0.01468$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.00394$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000969$ 14	
										$\alpha(N)=0.000294$ 5; $\alpha(O)=5.60 \times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=4.56 \times 10^{-6}$ 7	
										Mult.: From $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.015$ 3. Also $A_2=+0.13$ 5, $A_4=+0.06$ 9.	
										$\alpha(K)=0.01468$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.00394$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000969$ 14	
										$\alpha(N)=0.000245$ 4; $\alpha(O)=4.68 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=3.90 \times 10^{-6}$ 6	
										Mult.: $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0189$ 29.	

<sup>182</sup>W(<sup>16</sup>O,5n $\gamma$ )    1991La07 (continued) $\gamma$ (<sup>193</sup>Pb) (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	$a^{\#@}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
593.1 4	15.6 19	1994.4+x	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1401.8+x	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2(+M3)	0.16 3	0.0289 85	16.0 20	$\alpha(K)=0.0214$ 63; $\alpha(L)=0.0057$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.00141$ 42 $\alpha(N)=3.6\times 10^{-4}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=6.9\times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(P)=6.1\times 10^{-6}$ 21 Mult., $\delta$ : $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0232$ 35, $\alpha_{L12}(\text{exp})=0.0048$ 10.
668.7 3	9.9 10	1550.1+x	(19/2 <sup>+</sup> )	881.6+x	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1+E2	1.8 +9-5	0.023 4	10.1 10	$\alpha(K)=0.019$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0038$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00090$ 11 $\alpha(N)=0.00023$ 3; $\alpha(O)=4.5\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(P)=4.3\times 10^{-6}$ 7
739.6 3	13.9 24	2141.3+x	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1401.8+x	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1+E2	0.63 17	0.031 3	14.3 25	Mult., $\delta$ : From $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0183$ 32. $\alpha(K)=0.0253$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.0044$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00103$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.000261$ 20; $\alpha(O)=5.2\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=5.4\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Mult., $\delta$ : From $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0255$ 23. Also $A_2=-0.47$ 8, $A_4=+0.27$ 10.
812.2 4	5.0 15	2214.0+x	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1401.8+x	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)		0.01008	5.0 15	$\alpha(K)=0.00785$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.001693$ 24; $\alpha(M)=0.000408$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0001033$ 15; $\alpha(O)=2.00\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.84\times 10^{-6}$ 3 Mult.: $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0038$ 28; Theory: $\alpha_K(E2)=0.00785$ – inconsistent with experimental value.
881.6 2	100	881.6+x	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0+x	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2		0.00854	100	$\alpha(K)=0.00672$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.001387$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.000332$ 5 $\alpha(N)=8.42\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(O)=1.637\times 10^{-5}$ 23; $\alpha(P)=1.537\times 10^{-6}$ 22 Mult.: $A_2=+0.25$ 6, $A_4=-0.02$ 9. $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0074$ 5, $\alpha_{L12}(\text{exp})=0.00147$ 16.
1022.0 2	14.5 18	1022.0+x	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0+x	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(M1+E2)		0.0116 53	14.7 18	$\alpha(K)=0.0095$ 44; $\alpha(L)=0.00163$ 65; $\alpha(M)=3.8\times 10^{-4}$ 15 $\alpha(N)=9.7\times 10^{-5}$ 38; $\alpha(O)=1.93\times 10^{-5}$ 77; $\alpha(P)=2.01\times 10^{-6}$ 89 Mult.: $A_2=-0.01$ 5, $A_4=+0.01$ 8. $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=0.0051$ 7.

<sup>†</sup> The multipolarities have been deduced from the measured conversion coefficients, and the angular distribution coefficients. The mixing ratio for a few transitions has been deduced from the experimental conversion coefficients. Additional information from the other (HI,xny) datasets has been also used in assigning the multipolarities listed here (see Adopted dataset).

<sup>#</sup> The  $\gamma$ -ray intensities have been calculated by the evaluator using the measured total intensities and the conversion coefficients obtained from the quoted multipolarities. The calculated relative  $\gamma$  intensities have been normalized to 100 for the 881.6-keV transition.

$^{182}\text{W}(\text{<sup>16</sup>O},\text{5n}\gamma)$     **1991La07 (continued)** $\gamma(^{193}\text{Pb})$  (continued)

# Theoretical total conversion coefficients for the stated multipolarities, and mixing ratio, if available.

@ Additional information 1.

$\chi$   $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

5

$^{182}\text{W}(\text{O},\text{5n}\gamma)$  1991La07

## Legend

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_{\gamma}$ 

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$

