

^{193}Hg IT decay (11.8 h) 1974ViZS

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia		NDS 143, 1 (2017)	31-Mar-2017

Parent: ^{193}Hg : $E=140.76$ 5; $J^\pi=13/2^{(+)}$; $T_{1/2}=11.8$ h 2; %IT decay=7.1 7

^{193}Hg -%IT decay: Deduced by evaluator using data from 1974ViZS: $I(\gamma+ce)(\text{isomeric decay})=8.5$ 3 from weighted average of $I(\gamma+ce)(39.51\gamma)=8.7$ 5 and $I(\gamma+ce)(101.25\gamma)=8.4$ 3; total $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ intensity from $I(\gamma+ce)(\text{to } ^{193}\text{Au } 290 \text{ level})=111$ 7 (from ^{193}Hg (11.8 h) decay). ^{193}Hg IT decay branching= $[8.5$ 3/ $(8.5$ 3+ 111 7)]=0.071 7. $I(\gamma+ce)$ normalization from $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$ to g.s.=100.

Sources from (p,xn) reactions on gold, $E(p)=70, 80$ MeV, isotope separation; measured $E(ce)$, Ice (Si(Li) (FWHM=1.2-2.5 keV), mag spect (resolution=0.1%).

Others: 1969Ba42, 1962Di05, 1958Br88, 1957Br53, 1956Br04, 1955Br12, 1954Gi04.

 ^{193}Hg Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$3/2^{(-)}$	3.80 h 15	
39.51 3	$5/2^{(-)}$	0.63 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$: (ce)(ce)(t) (1969Ba42). Other value: 0.8 ns 1 ((ce)(ce)(t) (1961Re12)).
140.76 5	$13/2^{(+)}$	11.8 h 2	%IT=7.1 7 $T_{1/2}$: from resolution of complex decay curves for ce(K) peaks in combined ^{193}Hg (3.80 h) and ^{193}Hg (11.8 h) sources (1974ViZS). Other values: 10.0 h 5 (1952Fi06), 11 h 1 (1958Br88), 11.1 h 5 (1970Pi01).

† From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{193}\text{Hg})$

$I(\gamma+ce)$ normalization: Deduced by evaluator using data from 1974ViZS: $I(\gamma+ce)(\text{isomeric decay})=8.5$ 3 from weighted average of $I(\gamma+ce)(39.51\gamma)=8.7$ 5 and $I(\gamma+ce)(101.25\gamma)=8.4$ 3; total $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ intensity from $I(\gamma+ce)(\text{to } ^{193}\text{Au } 290 \text{ level})=111$ 7 (from ^{193}Hg (11.8 h) decay). ^{193}Hg IT decay branching= $[8.5$ 3/ $(8.5$ 3+ 111 7)]=0.071 7. $I(\gamma+ce)$ normalization from $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$ to g.s.=100. All data are from 1974ViZS, unless otherwise noted. The Ice intensities have been normalized to the I_γ of ^{193}Hg ε decay (11.8 h). For normalization, see footnote on multiplicity in ^{193}Hg ε decay (11.8 h) data set.

E_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J^π_i	E_f	J^π_f	Mult.	$\alpha^\dagger$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^\ddagger$	Comments
39.51 3	39.51	$5/2^{(-)}$	0.0	$3/2^{(-)}$	M1	21.7	8.7 5	ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.733 7; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.171 3 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0429 9; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00811 16; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000620 13 $\alpha(L)=16.64$ 24; $\alpha(M)=3.88$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.972$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.184$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.01406$ 20 Mult.: L1/L2=8.2 10, M1/M2=7.6 12 (1974ViZS). Theory: M1: L1/L2=9.7, M1/M2=8.9; E1: L1/L2=1.22, M1/M2=1.39; E2: L1/L2=0.0197, M1/M2=0.0213. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: From (Ice(L12) + Ice(M12)) expt. + Ice (other) theory + I_γ from Ice(L1)=5.6 4 expt. (1974ViZS) and $\alpha(L1)=14.94$ (theory).
101.25 4	140.76	$13/2^{(+)}$	39.51	$5/2^{(-)}$	M4	6.13×10^3	8.4 3	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0278 6; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.672 8; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.229 4 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0602 12; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.01001 20; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000212 5 $\alpha(K)=170.4$ 24; $\alpha(L)=4.12 \times 10^3$ 6; $\alpha(M)=1405$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

¹⁹³Hg IT decay (11.8 h) **1974ViZS (continued)**

γ(¹⁹³Hg) (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	Comments
	20	
		α(N)=369 6; α(O)=61.4 9; α(P)=1.298 19
		Mult.: L1:L2:L3=1.25 7: 0.24 2: 4.10 16 (1974ViZS). Theory: L1:L2:L3=1.20: 0.24: 4.05.
		I _(γ+ce) : From (Ice(L) + Ice(M)) expt. + Ice (other) theory + I _γ deduce from from Ice(L)=5.59 18 expt. (1974ViZS) and α(L)=4120.

[†] [Additional information 1.](#)
[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.84 8.

¹⁹³Hg IT decay (11.8 h) **1974ViZS**

Decay Scheme

%IT=7.1 7

