

$^{193}\text{Au IT decay (3.9 s)}$ 1970Fo08

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia	NDS 143, 1 (2017)		31-Mar-2017

Parent: ^{193}Au : E=290.20 3; $J^\pi=11/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=3.9$ s 3; %IT decay=100.0

^{193}Au -%IT decay: 99.97% from Ti(258.0 γ (M1) in ^{193}Au): Ti(135.5 γ (M4) in ^{193}Pt)=1000:0.3 ([1955Br41](#)). Ratio deduced from ce-intensities and theoretical conversion coefficients (not given).

[1970Fo08](#): activity from ^{193}Hg ε (3.80 h) + ^{193}Hg (11.8 h) decay (produced by spallation of Pb by 600-MeV protons, ms). Measured E γ , I γ (Ge(Li)), E(ce), I γ (mag spect), γ (ce), (ce)(ce)t.

Others: [1974ViZS](#), [1958Br88](#), [1955Br12](#), [1955Br41](#), [1955Fi30](#), [1954Gi04](#), [1952Fi06](#).

 ^{193}Au Levels

The decay scheme is that proposed by [1970Fo08](#).

E(level) [†]	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$ [†]	Comments
0.0	$3/2^+$	17.65 h 15	
38.23 2	$(1/2)^+$	3.81 ns 18	
257.98 2	$5/2^+$	45 ps 20	$T_{1/2}$: from (ce(L)(32.21 γ))(ce(K)(257.95 γ)t) (1970Fo08).
290.20 3	$11/2^-$	3.9 s 3	%IT=99.97 $T_{1/2}$: from 1955Fi30 . Other: 3.8 s 3 (1955Br41).

[†] From Adopted Levels, unless otherwise noted.

¹⁹³Au IT decay (3.9 s) 1970Fo08 (continued) $\gamma(^{193}\text{Au})$ I γ normalization: From Ti(219.75 γ)+Ti(257.97 γ)-Ti(289.8 γ)=99.47.

All data are from 1970Fo08, unless otherwise noted.

E γ [†]	I γ ^{‡&}	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	$\delta^{\text{@}}$	$\alpha^{\text{#}}$	I $_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\text{a}}$	Comments
32.21 3		290.20	11/2 $^-$	257.98	5/2 $^+$	E3		9.29×10^4	99.47	ce(L)/(γ +ce)=0.699 9; ce(M)/(γ +ce)=0.232 5 ce(N)/(γ +ce)=0.0592 13; ce(O)/(γ +ce)=0.00907 20; ce(P)/(γ +ce)= 7.33×10^{-6} 16 α (L)= 6.50×10^4 10; α (M)= 2.16×10^4 4 α (N)= 5.50×10^3 9; α (O)=843 13; α (P)=0.681 10 E_γ : from 1974ViZS. Mult.: from (M1+M2+M3)/(M4+M5)=11.3 (1958Br88); other subshell ratios allow E2 or E3, but (M1+M2+M3)/(M4+M5)=71.2 (E2 theory), =9.13 (E3 theory) is consistent only with E3. L2/L3=0.65 (1954Gi04).
38.22 2	38.23	(1/2) $^+$	0.0	3/2 $^+$	M1+E2	0.42 +5-4	89 14	4.81 26	I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from 99.97 - Ti(289.8 γ). ce(L)/(γ +ce)=0.75 9; ce(M)/(γ +ce)=0.19 4 ce(N)/(γ +ce)=0.046 10; ce(O)/(γ +ce)=0.0076 17; ce(P)/(γ +ce)=0.000119 19 α (L)=67 11; α (M)=17 3 α (N)=4.2 7; α (O)=0.68 11; α (P)=0.0107 4 I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from Ti(38.22)=Ti(219.75) in level scheme. Mult.: from L1:L2:L3=42 4:98 9:100. δ : from L1/L3=0.50 10, weighted average from 1970Fo08 and 1974ViZS (¹⁹³ Hg (3.80 h) decay). α (K)=0.1344 19; α (L)=0.1039 15; α (M)=0.0266 4 α (N)=0.00655 10; α (O)=0.001076 16; α (P)= 1.385×10^{-5} 20 Mult.: from K:L1:L2:L3=14.0 15:2.35 30:6.7 7:5.1 6.	
219.75 5	3.85 20	257.98	5/2 $^+$	38.23 (1/2) $^+$	E2			0.273		α (K)=0.1344 19; α (L)=0.1039 15; α (M)=0.0266 4 α (N)=0.00655 10; α (O)=0.001076 16; α (P)= 1.385×10^{-5} 20 Mult.: from K:L1:L2:L3=14.0 15:2.35 30:6.7 7:5.1 6.
257.97 3	67.1	257.98	5/2 $^+$	0.0	3/2 $^+$	M1+E2	0.52 15	0.43 4		α (K)=0.34 4; α (L)=0.0654 17; α (M)=0.0154 3 α (N)=0.00383 8; α (O)=0.000692 19; α (P)= 4.1×10^{-5} 4 Mult.: from L1:L2:L3=100:22 4:4.7 +47-30. δ : from weighted average of ce(L) ratios from 1970Fo08 and 1974ViZS (¹⁹³ Hg (11.8 h) decay).
289.8		290.20	11/2 $^-$	0.0	3/2 $^+$	[M4]		18.1	0.5	ce(K)/(γ +ce)=0.472 7; ce(L)/(γ +ce)=0.349 6; ce(M)/(γ +ce)=0.0972 18 ce(N)/(γ +ce)=0.0247 5; ce(O)/(γ +ce)=0.00423 9; ce(P)/(γ +ce)=0.000162 4 α (K)=9.01 13; α (L)=6.67 10; α (M)=1.86 3 α (N)=0.472 7; α (O)=0.0807 12; α (P)=0.00310 5

¹⁹³₇₉Au IT decay (3.9 s) 1970Fo08 (continued) $\gamma(^{193}\text{Au})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	Comments
		$E_\gamma, I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from 1955Br41.
		$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: deduced from $I(ce)$ relative to $I(ce\ 257.97\gamma)$ and theoretical conversion coefficients (values not given by 1955Br41).
		$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: upper limit $\approx 3\%$ from comparison of the ce-lines of the 290 and 256 transitions (1954Gi04).
[†] Deduced from $E(ce)$; calibrated with $E(ce(K))$ of the 117.99 2 γ in ¹⁹³ Pt.		
[‡] Calculated from intensity balances in the level scheme, the conversion coefficients, and the ratio $I(219.75\gamma)/I(257.97\gamma)=0.0572\ 30$ (1970Fo08).		
# Additional information 1.		
^① If no value given it was assumed $\delta=1.00$ for E2/M1, $\delta=1.00$ for E3/M2 and $\delta=0.10$ for the other multipolarities.		
& Absolute intensity per 100 decays.		
^a Absolute intensity per 100 decays.		

$^{193}\text{Au IT decay (3.9 s)}$ 1970Fo08