

^{192}Pb ϵ decay [1981So09](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 1871 (2012)	15-Jun-2012

Parent: ^{192}Pb : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=3.5$ min *I*; $Q(\epsilon)=3316$ *34*; $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=99.9941 *7*

Others: [1979To06](#) (preliminary version of [1981So09](#)), [1987Va09](#).

The decay scheme and data are from [1981So09](#), except as noted.

[1981So09](#): ^{192}Pb sources from $^{180}\text{W}(^{16}\text{O},4n)$, $E(^{16}\text{O})=100$ MeV; $^{182}\text{W}(^{16}\text{O},6n)$, $E(^{16}\text{O})=143$ MeV; mass separation; measured E_γ , I_γ (Ge(Li), FWHM=2.1 keV at 1332 keV), $E(\text{ce})$, Ice (Si(Li), FWHM=2.3 keV at $\text{ce(K)(Bi)}=973$ keV), $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma\gamma(t)$.

^{192}Tl Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$
0.0	(2 ⁻)	9.6 min <i>4</i>
167.49 <i>10</i>	1 ⁽⁻⁾	
371.05 <i>18</i>	1 ⁽⁻⁾	
413.98 <i>23</i>	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	
775.67 <i>14</i>	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻)	
1195.46 <i>18</i>	1 ⁺	

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ .

[‡] Adopted values.

ϵ, β^+ radiations

$\epsilon + \beta^+$ feedings are from intensity imbalance at each level assuming no direct feeding to g.s. (see comment with normalization).

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [†]	$I\epsilon$ [†]	Log <i>ft</i>	$I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ [†]	Comments
(2.12×10^3) <i>4</i>	1195.46	0.81 <i>13</i>	57 <i>7</i>	4.71 <i>6</i>	58 <i>7</i>	av $E\beta=511$ <i>15</i> ; $\epsilon K=0.7930$ <i>11</i> ; $\epsilon L=0.1456$ <i>4</i> ; $\epsilon M+=0.04734$ <i>13</i>
(2.54×10^3) <i>4</i>	775.67	0.93 <i>14</i>	22 <i>3</i>	5.28 <i>6</i>	23 <i>3</i>	av $E\beta=694$ <i>15</i> ; $\epsilon K=0.7735$ <i>23</i> ; $\epsilon L=0.1404$ <i>5</i> ; $\epsilon M+=0.04556$ <i>17</i> Log <i>ft</i> : very low for first-forbidden transition, but not inconsistent with systematics in this region of Z.
(2.94×10^3) <i>4</i>	371.05	0.52 <i>13</i>	5.8 <i>14</i>	6.00 <i>11</i>	6.3 <i>15</i>	av $E\beta=872$ <i>15</i> ; $\epsilon K=0.741$ <i>4</i> ; $\epsilon L=0.1335$ <i>7</i> ; $\epsilon M+=0.04325$ <i>23</i>
(3.15×10^3) <i>4</i>	167.49	1.6 <i>11</i>	13 <i>9</i>	5.7 <i>3</i>	15 <i>10</i>	av $E\beta=962$ <i>15</i> ; $\epsilon K=0.720$ <i>4</i> ; $\epsilon L=0.1292$ <i>8</i> ; $\epsilon M+=0.04185$ <i>25</i>
(3.32×10^3) [‡] <i>4</i>	0.0	<0.05	<1.0	>8.5 ¹⁴	<1	av $E\beta=1022$ <i>15</i> ; $\epsilon K=0.7634$ <i>18</i> ; $\epsilon L=0.1431$ <i>5</i> ; $\epsilon M+=0.04666$ <i>16</i>

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable.

^{192}Pb ε decay **1981So09** (continued)

$\gamma(^{192}\text{Tl})$

I γ normalization: From total I(γ +ce) to g.s.=100%; this assumes no direct $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ feeding to g.s. ($\log f^{lu}t=8.5$, the lower limit for a 0^+ to 2^- transition, corresponds to 1% feeding).

Most transitions reported in **1981So09** are also present in the γ spectrum from the A=192 fraction in **1987Va09**.

E_γ	I γ &	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	$\delta^\#$	α^\dagger	Comments
$^{x144.5\ 3}$ 167.5 1	3.0 5 29 2	167.49	1 ⁽⁻⁾	0.0	(2 ⁻)	M1,E2		1.4 6	$\alpha(K)=0.9\ 7$; $\alpha(L)=0.33\ 6$; $\alpha(M)=0.082\ 18$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.025\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.021\ 5$; $\alpha(O)=0.0038\ 6$; $\alpha(P)=0.00023\ 7$ $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.34\ 8$.
$^{x179.2\ 3}$ $^{x213.1\ 3}$ $^{x214.9\ 3}$ $^{x250.7\ 2}$ $^{x269.5\ 3}$ $^{x343.1\ 3}$	3.0 12 7.7 6 11 8 9.5 9 7.4 7 @								I γ : γ contaminated by both a sum peak and a γ -ray from ^{192}Tl ε decay.
371.0 2	17 2	371.05	1 ⁽⁻⁾	0.0	(2 ⁻)	M1(+E2)	0.6 +5-6	0.18 5	$\alpha(K)=0.14\ 4$; $\alpha(L)=0.027\ 4$; $\alpha(M)=0.0063\ 9$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0019\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.00159\ 22$; $\alpha(O)=0.00030\ 5$; $\alpha(P)=2.7\times 10^{-5}\ 7$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.15\ 4$. I γ : from 1979To06 ; I γ =17 14 in 1981So09 is presumed to be a misprint.
404.5 3	6.5 9	775.67	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻)	371.05	1 ⁽⁻⁾	[M1,E2]		0.11 7	$\alpha(K)=0.09\ 6$; $\alpha(L)=0.018\ 6$; $\alpha(M)=0.0043\ 13$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0013\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.0011\ 4$; $\alpha(O)=0.00021\ 7$; $\alpha(P)=1.7\times 10^{-5}\ 9$
414.1 3	13 2	413.98	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	0.0	(2 ⁻)	M1(+E2)	≤ 2.2	0.11 5	$\alpha(K)=0.09\ 5$; $\alpha(L)=0.018\ 5$; $\alpha(M)=0.0042\ 11$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0013\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.0011\ 3$; $\alpha(O)=0.00020\ 6$; $\alpha(P)=1.8\times 10^{-5}\ 7$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.16\ 11$.
608.2 1	38 3	775.67	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻)	167.49	1 ⁽⁻⁾	M1+E2	1.7 +7-4	0.029 5	$\alpha(K)=0.022\ 4$; $\alpha(L)=0.0046\ 6$; $\alpha(M)=0.00110\ 13$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00033\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.00028\ 3$; $\alpha(O)=5.3\times 10^{-5}\ 7$; $\alpha(P)=4.4\times 10^{-6}\ 7$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.023\ 4$.
781.6 3	18 2	1195.46	1 ⁺	413.98	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	[E1]		0.00379 6	$\alpha=0.00379\ 6$; $\alpha(K)=0.00316\ 5$; $\alpha(L)=0.000484\ 7$; $\alpha(M)=0.0001116\ 16$; $\alpha(N+..)=3.39\times 10^{-5}\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=2.80\times 10^{-5}\ 4$; $\alpha(O)=5.40\times 10^{-6}\ 8$; $\alpha(P)=4.87\times 10^{-7}\ 7$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{192}Pb ε decay **1981So09** (continued) $\gamma(^{192}\text{Tl})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ &	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	α^\dagger	Comments
1195.4 2	100 6	1195.46	1 ⁺	0.0	(2 ⁻)	(E1)	0.001763 25	$\alpha=0.001763$ 25; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.001464$ 21; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000219$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.03\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N}+.)=2.98\times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.263\times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.44\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.26\times 10^{-7}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=1.450\times 10^{-5}$ 22 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0028$ 13. Mult.: E1,E2 from $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$; adopted $\Delta\pi=\text{yes}$.

† Additional information 1.

‡ From experimental conversion coefficients, determined by simultaneous measurement of ce and photon spectra (equipment calibrated assuming $\alpha(\text{K})$ (E2 theory) for 422.8 γ and 634.8 γ in ^{192}Hg daughter).

From $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ or $\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}$.

@ Not determined; transition observed only in coincidence spectra.

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.49 5.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{192}Pb ϵ decay 1981So09

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$

