

$^{192}\text{Ir } \beta^-$ decay (73.829 d)

Type	Author	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 113, 1871 (2012)	15-Jun-2012

Parent: ^{192}Ir : E=0.0; $J^\pi=4^+$; $T_{1/2}=73.829$ d *11*; $Q(\beta^-)=1454.5$ 23; % β^- decay=95.24 4

This decay has been evaluated independently by E. Browne ([1999BeZQ](#), [1999BeZS](#)).

See ^{192}Ir Adopted Levels for the evaluated half-life of ^{192}Ir .

The total average radiation energy released by $^{192}\text{Ir } \beta^-$ decay is 1389.0 keV *12* (calculated by evaluator using the computer program RADLST). This value agrees well with Q×Branching=1390.2 keV *19* and thus confirms the consistency of the decay scheme.

[1988Li06](#): sources from $^{191}\text{Ir}(n,\gamma)$, E=thermal; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ (Ge(Li), FWHM=2.1 keV at 1332.5 keV; HPGe, FWHM=540 eV at 122 keV), $\gamma\gamma$ coin; used absorbers to eliminate sum and pile-up peaks.

The decay scheme is from [1988Li06](#).

 ^{192}Pt Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0 316.50646 <i>15</i>	0^+ 2 ⁺	stable 43.7 ps <i>9</i>	$g=0.287$ <i>17</i> (1992Bo20 , 1992Al21) g-factor: from IMPAC measurement assuming $T_{1/2}=44.3$ ps. Others: 0.296 22 (1970Be08 , $\gamma(\theta, H, t)$). $T_{1/2}$ data from $^{192}\text{Ir } \beta^-$ decay (73.829 d): 27 ps 4 ($\beta\gamma\gamma(t)$, 1962De14), 35 ps 3 ($\gamma\gamma(t)$, 1966Sc06), 34 ps 5 ($\gamma ce(t)$, 1970Be08), 42.8 ps <i>15</i> ($\beta\gamma\gamma(t)$, 1973Sm01), 33 ps 4 ($ce-ce(t)$, 1976Bu20).
612.46320 <i>17</i>	2 ⁺	26.5 ps <i>15</i>	$g=+0.29$ 5 (1970Be08) g-factor: from $\gamma(\theta, H, t)$. $T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma ce(t)$ (1973Sm01). Other values: 24 ps <i>13</i> ($cece(t)$, 1965Bu06), 20.1 ps <i>21</i> ($\gamma ce(t)$, 1970Be08), 26.0 ps <i>26</i> ($cece(t)$, 1976Bu20).
784.5759 <i>3</i>	4 ⁺	4.2 ps <i>2</i>	$T_{1/2}$ data from $^{192}\text{Ir } \beta^-$ decay (73.829 d): 11.8 ps <i>21</i> ($\beta\gamma(t)$, 1966Sc06), 5 ps 4 ($cece(t)$, 1975Aw01), 13 ps <i>10</i> ($cece(t)$, 1976Bu20), 6.0 ps <i>17</i> ($\beta ce(t)$, 1978Bu02).
920.91854 <i>21</i>	3 ⁺	21.3 ps <i>21</i>	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta ce(t)$ (1978Bu02), assuming $T_{1/2}(612.5 \text{ level})=26.5$ ps <i>15</i> . Other values: 26 ps 4 ($cece(t)$, 1976Bu20), <24 ps ($cece(t)$, 1965Bu06).
1201.0452 <i>5</i>	4 ⁺		
1378.03 <i>3</i>	3 ⁻	41 ps <i>9</i>	
1383.99 <i>15</i>	(5) ⁻		
1406.37 <i>6</i>	3 ⁺		

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] From ^{192}Pt Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

β^- feedings are from intensity imbalance at each level (no β branch to the 0^+ g.s. is expected from the 4^+ parent).

E(decay) [†]	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [‡]	Log ft	Comments
(48.1 23)	1406.37	0.0059 5	8.83 8	av $E\beta=12.25$ 60
(70.5 23)	1383.99	0.0039 17	9.51 20	av $E\beta=18.13$ 62
(76.5 23)	1378.03	0.1026 17	8.20 5	av $E\beta=19.72$ 62
240 <i>15</i>	1201.0452	5.60 3	8.077 13	av $E\beta=70.01$ 70
535 2	920.91854	41.42 12	8.260 7	$I\beta^-$: direct measurement: 8% 2 (1965Jo04). E(decay): from 1977Ra17 . Other value: 536 keV 6 (1965Jo04). $I\beta^-$: direct measurement: 41% 4 (1965Jo04).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{192}\text{Ir } \beta^-$ decay (73.829 d) (continued) **β^- radiations (continued)**

E(decay) [†]	E(level)	I β^- [‡]	Log ft	Comments
672 4	784.5759	47.98 9	8.531 6	av E β =208.01 83 E(decay): other value: 666 keV 2 (1977Ra17). I β^- : direct measurement: 46% 4 (1965Jo04).

[†] From [1965Jo04](#), except where noted. Other E β , I β : [1947Le01](#), [1951Sh84](#), [1952Ba01](#), [1954Jo20](#), [1955Ba01](#), [1977Ra17](#).

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹⁹²Ir β^- decay (73.829 d) (continued) $\gamma(^{192}\text{Pt})$

Iy normalization: from total Ti(to ¹⁹²Pt g.s.) + total Ti(to ¹⁹²Os g.s.)=100% (direct β^- and ε feedings to the respective ground states are not expected ($\Delta J=4$)).

Systematics of log ft values for $\Delta J=4$, $\Delta \pi=\text{No}$ decays suggest a lower limit of about 22.5 (1998Si17), implying %I β (g.s.) and % ε (g.s.) of At most 1×10^{-11} and $5\times 10^{-13}\%$, respectively.

Principal sources of γ energy and intensity data: 1966Sc20, 1969LeZU, 1973Ge05, 1975Bo07, 1975Pr03, 1983Sc12, 1984Iw03, 1985DaZX, 1985Ei01, 1986Me07, 1987Me14, 1988Li06, 1992Si25, 1994Mi22, 2000He14.

Principal sources of ce data: 1957Ke01 (same data as 1957Ke66; note that the precision of these data appears to have been underestimated), 1960Ma17, 1966Sc20, 1967Dr03, 1967Ka04, 1968Bo01, 1968Ni07, 1969Ma14, 1970Wi08, 1971HeZA, 1974Vo13, 1983Ha34.

Additional information 1.

Principal $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, $c\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ studies: 1969Gr19, 1969Kh04, 1969Ma14, 1970Be08, 1970Hi02, 1970Se08, 1971Do12, 1973Al27, 1974He08, 1974SiZC, 1974Vo13, 1974YaZK, 1975MiZM, 1975Pa23, 1987Me14.

Nuclear orientation: 1969Re06, 1970Hi12, 1970Si17, 1971Ho35, 1973Ho03, 1983Ri14, 1985Ri07, 1985Ri13, 1988Sc20, 1989Tr16.

$\gamma(\theta, H, T)$: 1992Al21, 1992Bo20.

$\beta\gamma(\theta)$: 1967Bh09, 1969Ap01, 1970Hi02, 1970Se08, 1974Su07.

β (circularly polarized γ) (θ) : 1967Bh09.

γ (linearly polarized γ) (θ) : 1968Da26, 1968Ha46.

γ -ray linear polarization (oriented nuclei): 1983Ri14, 1985Ri07, 1985Ri13.

Summary of Pt x-ray intensities (I(x ray) relative to I(316)=100).

3

Energy	1983Sc12	1986Me07	1992Si25	Average	%I(x ray)(Exp)	%I(x ray)(Calc)	x-ray
8.3	0.415	15	0.32	4	-	0.40	3
13	0.085	12	0.110	10	-	1.100	12
9.44						0.911	10
						3.98	6
						L x ray	γ 123
65.1	5.25	17	5.39	5	5.58	19	5.39
66.8	3.09	12	3.10	3	3.08	10	3.10
75.4	1.78	6	1.80	2	1.89	7	1.805
77.9	0.53	4	0.497	10	0.490	18	0.497
75.7						13	0.412
						11	1.97
						4	K β x ray

The experimental absolute Pt K-xray intensities agree well with the values calculated by the evaluator using the RADLST code and the nuclear and atomic data presented in this evaluation.

The good agreement between these quantities constitutes a test for the self-consistency of the decay scheme.

Summary of relative intensity data for principal lines:

Reference	136.3 γ	y	296.0 γ	308.4 γ	316.5 γ	416.5 γ	y	468.1 γ
1973Ge05	0.218	10	34.64	35	35.77	36	100	0.802
1975Pr03	0.19	6	35.6	13x	37.1	8x	100	0.89
1983Sc12	0.278	24	34.54	36	35.99	36x	100.0	0.806
1984Iw03	s	0.35	6	34.69	17	35.87	19	100.0
1985DaZX	-		34.65	14	35.89	15	100.0	4
1985Ei01	0.209	8	34.81	66	36.34	73x	100	0.77

1986Me07	0.307	10	34.94	18x	35.81	20	100.0	5	0.834	8	57.01	41
1987Me14	-	-	-	-	100.0	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
1988Li06	0.214	8	34.7	7	35.8	7	100	-	0.807	24	57.2	12
1992Si15	0.260	8	34.52	60	35.77	62	100.0	10	0.776	15	57.0	10
1994Mi22	-	-	34.62	16	35.84	16	100.0	4	-	-	57.76	24
Recommended	0.24	3e	34.65	8	35.85	8	100.0	2	0.809	25e	57.733	23

Reference	588.6γ	593.5γ	604.4γ	612.5γ	y	884.5γ	y	1061.5γ					
1973Ge05	5.52	10	0.045	3	10.04	26	6.55	13	0.364	7	0.067	3	
1975Pr03	5.46	20	0.010	3x	10.9	6x	6.7	4	0.45	3x	0.070	4	
1983Sc12	5.45	5	0.058	5	9.89	7	6.41	5	0.356	8	0.064	4	
1984Iw03	s	5.423	21	0.052	3	9.79	4	6.365	25	0.3435	24	0.0633	11
1985DaZX	5.48	3	-	-	10.00	6	6.54	4	-	-	-	-	
1985Ei01	5.47	98	0.043	7z	10.39	18x	6.77	12	0.366	6	0.063	2	
1986Me07	5.56	5	0.052	2	10.10	9	6.61	6	0.360	3	0.067	2	
1987Me14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1988Li06	5.36	14	0.046	3	9.77	23	6.34	16	0.347	8	0.063	2	
1992Si15	5.40	10	0.059	4	9.87	17	6.25	11	0.346	8	0.062	3	
1994Mi22	5.468	21	-	-	9.95	4	6.488	28	-	-	-	-	
Recommended	5.458	12	0.0507	12	9.916	23	6.45	9e	0.352	8e	0.0641	7	

Reference	1089γ	1378γ										
1973Ge05	0.0020	7	0.0015	7								
1975Pr03	0.0030	2x	0.0020	4								
1984Iw03	s	0.0010	5	0.0016	5							
1985Ei01	0.0018	5	0.0010									
1987Me14	0.0012	3	-									
1988Li06	0.0012	5	0.0015	4								
1992Si15	0.0020	6	-									
Recommended	0.0040	19	0.00169	23								

s Data Presumed To Supersede Those From [1980Yo06](#).

x Statistical Outlier Based On Chauvenet Criterion; Datum Excluded From Average.

y Data For This γ Are Discrepant (χ^2 Exceeds Critical Value), Even After Elimination Of Statistical Outlier Data.

z $I\gamma=0.0043$ 7 Reported By [1985Ei01](#) Is Presumed By The Evaluator To be α Misprint Of 0.043 7, Consistent With Branching In ε Decay.

e Weighted Average With Uncertainty Expanded To Encompass Most Precise Datum.

E_γ	$I_\gamma \frac{\#}{b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\delta @$	α^\dagger	Comments
136.39 3	0.24 3	920.91854	3 ⁺	784.5759	4 ⁺	M1+E2	+3.5 +39-16	1.53 19	$\alpha(K)=0.6$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.73$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.187$ 16; $\alpha(N+..)=0.053$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.046$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.0072$ 6; $\alpha(P)=6.E-5$ 3 E_γ : weighted average of 136.38 10 (1966Sc20), 136.39 4 (1973Ge05), 136.36 30 (1988Li06). δ: others: 2.0 3 (from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.80$ 8), 3.7 3 (from K/L), 1.65 7 (from L1/L3), 1.53 5 (from L1/L2). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.74$ 11 (1966Sc20); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.80$ 8;

176.98 4	0.0052 <i>I</i> 2	1378.03	3 ⁻	1201.0452	4 ⁺	[E1]	0.0954	K/L=0.75 3 (1960Ma17); L1:L2:L3=100:210 10:170 10 (1957Ke01 , 1957Ke66); (L1+L2)/L3=1.7 2 (1966Sc20). $\alpha(K)=0.0781$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.01329$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.00307$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000886$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.000750$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.0001291$ 18; $\alpha(P)=6.70\times10^{-6}$ 10 E_γ : from 1969LeZU . Other: 177.01 (1988Li06 , uncertainty 0.03 to 0.3). (1988Li06). I_γ : weighted average of 0.0048 15 (1988Li06) and 0.006 2 (1985Ei01).
^x 214.7 ^{&} 5 280.27 24	0.010 5	1201.0452	4 ⁺	920.91854	3 ⁺	M1(+E2)	≤ 5.4	0.25 <i>I</i> 2 $\alpha(K)=0.19$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.043$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.0104$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0030$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0026$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.00044$ 7; $\alpha(P)=2.1\times10^{-5}$ 14 E_γ : unweighted average of 280.03 5 (1969LeZU) and 280.51 15 (1988Li06); other: 280 1 (1966Sc20). I_γ : based on $I_\gamma=0.0099$ 6 (1988Li06) and $I_\gamma<0.005$ (1985Ei01). Other I_γ : 0.030 2 (1986Me07). δ : from $\alpha(K)$ exp. $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.32 23 (1966Sc20). $\alpha(K)=0.0651$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.0299$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00749$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00214$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.00183$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.000299$ 5; $\alpha(P)=6.58\times10^{-6}$ 10 Mult.: E0 admixture not found (1969Ma14 , 1971Do12 , 1973Al27). δ : other data: +7.1 3 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1987Me14), +10 +3-2 ($\gamma\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1975Pa23), +8.8 3 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974He08), +5.4 2 ($\gamma ce(\theta)$, 1974Vo13), +7.4 2 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974YaZK), +6 +3-1 ($\gamma(\theta,t)$, 1970Hi12), +5.6 +15-11 ($\gamma ce(\theta)$, 1970Hi02), +9.1 +17-27 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1969Gr19), +15 +10-5 ($\gamma(\theta,t)$, 1969Re06). $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.0678 16; K/L=2.2 2, (L1+L2)/L3=3.3 2 (1966Sc20); M1:M2:M3=215 11:374 19:217 15
295.95650 ^a 15	34.65 8	612.46320	2 ⁺	316.50646	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+10.0 4	0.1047

¹⁹²Ir β^- decay (73.829 d) (continued) $\gamma(^{192}\text{Pt})$ (continued)

E $_{\gamma}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}b}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. $^{\#}$	$\delta^{@}$	a †	Comments
308.45507 ^a 17	35.85 8	920.91854	3 ⁺	612.46320	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+7.20 3	0.0943	(1967Dr03); L1/L3=1.307 15 (1971HeZA); K/L1=7.32 13, L1:L2:L3=1.32 3:1.91 3:1 (1974Vo13); K/L3=8.90 5 (1969Ma14); K/M=8.9 2 (1957Ke01,1957Ke66). $\alpha(K)=0.0603$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0257$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00642$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00184$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.001574$ 22; $\alpha(O)=0.000258$ 4; $\alpha(P)=6.15 \times 10^{-6}$ 9 δ : other data: +6.9 5 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1987Me14), +8.1 +14-10 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974He08), +8.0 6 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974YaZK), +7.1 6 ($\gamma(\theta,t)$, 1970Hi12), +6.3 +6-5 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1970Be08), +9.9 10 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1969Gr19), +8 2 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1969Kh04), +7.3 2 ($\gamma(\theta,t)$, 1969Re06), 6.5 +10-7 from $\alpha(K)\exp$ In 1974Vo13. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0627$ 16, K/L=2.2 1, (L1+L2)/L3=3.13 20 (1966Sc20); M1:M2:M3=100:169 5:87 4 (1957Ke01,1957Ke66); L1/L3=1.396 18 (1971HeZA); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0611$ 10, K/L1=7.25 17, L1:L2:L3=1.30 5:1.86 6:1 (1974Vo13).
^x 314.80 ^{&} 25 316.50618 ^a 17	100.0	316.50646	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.0841	$\alpha(K)=0.0535$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.0232$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00579$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001655$ 24 $\alpha(N)=0.001418$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.000232$ 4; $\alpha(P)=5.42 \times 10^{-6}$ 8 Mult.: from ce subshell ratios, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$. K/L=2.2 1, (L1+L2)/L3=3.15 20 (1966Sc20); L/(L+M+)=1.75 5 (1983Ha34); L1:L2:L3=1.303 13:1.928 15:1 (1967Ka04,1968Ni07); L1:L2:L3=100 1:149 1:75.8 9 (1964He19); L:M:N+=4.2 4:1:0.29 (1968Bo01); M1:M2:M3=175 9:285 14:151 7 (1967Dr03); N1/N3=1.055 32 (1971HeZA); O/N=0.149 8 (1957Ke01); K/L1=7.58 12, L1:L2:L3=1.28 2:1.90 3:1 (1974Vo13). %I $\gamma=82.84$ 12 assuming proposed decay scheme normalization.
^x 319.32 ^{&} 25 ^x 415.4 ^{&} 5 416.4688 ^a 7	0.809 25	1201.0452	4 ⁺	784.5759	4 ⁺	M1+E2	+2.9 10	0.049 10	$\alpha(K)=0.036$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0097$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.00236$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00068$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00058$ 5; $\alpha(O)=9.8 \times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=3.8 \times 10^{-6}$ 10 δ : from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1987Me14). Other values: +11 +5-2 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and γ linear polarization (oriented nuclei), 1985Ri13), +7 +4-3 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974He08), +3.1 14 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974YaZK), -4 -7+3 ($\gamma(\theta,t)$, 1970Hi12), 3.9 +7-14 from $\alpha(K)\exp$. δ from (L1+L2)/L3 is consistent with pure E2. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0324$ 22, K/L=3.7 5, (L1+L2)/L3=4.7 5 (1966Sc20).
468.06885 ^a 26	57.733 23	784.5759	4 ⁺	316.50646	2 ⁺	E2		0.0291	$\alpha(K)=0.0212$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00606$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.001479$ 21; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000427$ 6

¹⁹²Ir β^- decay (73.829 d) (continued) $\gamma(^{192}\text{Pt})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{@}$	α^{\dagger}	Comments
485.45 6	0.0057 5	1406.37	3 ⁺	920.91854	3 ⁺				$\alpha(N)=0.000363$ 5; $\alpha(O)=6.11\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=2.22\times 10^{-6}$ 4 Mult.: from ce subshell ratios below, and from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, nuclear orientation (1969Re06). K/L=3.7 3, (L1+L2)/L3=5.3 3 (1966Sc20); K/L1=7.20 16, L1:L2:L3=3.10 7:2.33 8:1, $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0211$ 8 (1974Vo13); $\alpha(M)\text{exp}=1.55\times 10^{-3}$ 9 (1967Dr03); L:M:N+=4.3 5:1:0.3 (1968Bo01).
588.5810 ^a 7	5.458 12	1201.0452	4 ⁺	612.46320	2 ⁺	E2		0.01682	Placed by evaluator; transition not resolved from 484.6 γ (in ¹⁹² Os), but known from ¹⁹² Au ε decay and (particle,xn γ) reactions. E_γ : from Adopted Gammas. I_γ : deduced from I(1090 γ) here and I(485 γ):I(1090 γ)=100 8:24.6 23 from Adopted Gammas.
593.63 19	0.0507 12	1378.03	3 ⁻	784.5759	4 ⁺	E1+M2	-0.07 2	0.0064 5	$\alpha(K)=0.01282$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.00305$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000734$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000213$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.000181$ 3; $\alpha(O)=3.09\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=1.356\times 10^{-6}$ 19 Mult.: from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, nuclear orientation (1969Re06) and $\alpha(K)\text{exp}<6\%$ M3 admixture ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974SiZC). $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0135$ 10 (1974Vo13), 0.014 4 (1966Sc20); K/L=3.8 5 (1970Wi08).
599.41 15	0.0047 20	1383.99	(5) ⁻	784.5759	4 ⁺	E1		0.00567 8	$\alpha=0.0064$ 5; $\alpha(K)=0.0054$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00084$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.000192$ 16; $\alpha(N+..)=5.6\times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(N)=4.7\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(O)=8.4\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=5.3\times 10^{-7}$ 5 E_γ : unweighted average of 593.38 5 (1973Ge05), 594.0 3 (1985Ei01), 593.5 3 (1988Li06). Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
604.41105 ^a 25	9.916 23	920.91854	3 ⁺	316.50646	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-1.48 2	0.0258	$\alpha(K)=0.0207$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00392$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000921$ 14; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000269$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000227$ 4; $\alpha(O)=3.99\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(P)=2.26\times 10^{-6}$ 4 δ : other data: -1.2 1 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1987Me14), -1.90 9 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974He08), -1.2 1 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974YaZK), -2.5 2 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1970Be08), -3.0 +9-12 ($yce(\theta)$, 1970Se08), -2.0 2 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1969Gr19), -1.5 1 ($y(\theta,t)$, 1970Hi12), -3.3 +4-7 ($yce(\theta)$, 1970Hi02), -1.5 1 ($y(\theta,t)$, 1969Re06), 1.40 8 (from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$). $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0213$ 6 (1966Sc20); K/L=4.7 1 (1957Ke01,1957Ke66); K/L1=6.6 3, L1:L2:L3=11.5 15:3.4 4:1 (1974Vo13).

7

¹⁹²Ir β^- decay (73.829 d) (continued) $\gamma^{(192\text{Pt})}$ (continued)

E _{γ}	I _{γ} ^{#b}	E _i (level)	J _i ^{π}	E _f	J _f ^{π}	Mult. [#]	δ [@]	α^{\dagger}	Comments
612.46215 ^a 26	6.45 9	612.46320	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.01536	$\alpha(K)=0.01179$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.00273$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000655$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000190$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.0001612$ 23; $\alpha(O)=2.76\times10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.247\times10^{-6}$ 18 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp$ and from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, nuclear orientation (1969Re06). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0123$ 54 (1966Sc20); $\alpha(M)\exp=5.9\times10^{-4}$ 5 (1967Dr03).
^x 739 & I 765.8 3	0.0016 7	1378.03	3 ⁻	612.46320	2 ⁺	E1+M2	0.20 +10-12	0.006 3	$\alpha=0.006$ 3; $\alpha(K)=0.0049$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.0008$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00019$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=5.E-5$ 3 $\alpha(N)=4.6\times10^{-5}$ 25; $\alpha(O)=8.E-6$ 5; $\alpha(P)=5.E-7$ 3 E _{γ} ,I _{γ} : from 1988Li06 . δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp$. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0049$ 22 (1966Sc20).
884.5365 ^a 7	0.352 8	1201.0452	4 ⁺	316.50646	2 ⁺	E2		0.00700 10	$\alpha=0.00700$ 10; $\alpha(K)=0.00561$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.001062$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.000250$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=7.29\times10^{-5}$ 11 $\alpha(N)=6.15\times10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=1.077\times10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(P)=5.93\times10^{-7}$ 9 Mult.: <0.04% M3 admixture ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1974SiZC). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.00593$ 21, K/L=5.2 4 (1966Sc20).
1061.49 4	0.0641 7	1378.03	3 ⁻	316.50646	2 ⁺	E1(+M2)	+0.04 +5-3	0.00194 17	$\alpha=0.00194$ 17; $\alpha(K)=0.00163$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.000240$ 24; $\alpha(M)=5.5\times10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=1.60\times10^{-5}$ 17 $\alpha(N)=1.35\times10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(O)=2.41\times10^{-6}$ 25; $\alpha(P)=1.61\times10^{-7}$ 17 E _{γ} : weighted average of 1062.0 4 (1966Sc20), 1061.48 4 (1973Ge05), 1061.6 5 (1985Ei01), 1061.46 30 (1988Li06). δ : other value:<0.055 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, 1975MiZM). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.00200$ 9, K/L=6.6 4 (1966Sc20).
1089.96 26	0.00140 19	1406.37	3 ⁺	316.50646	2 ⁺	M1+E2	1.8 +14-6	0.0060 11	$\alpha=0.0060$ 11; $\alpha(K)=0.0049$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.00083$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.00019$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=5.6\times10^{-5}$ 9 $\alpha(N)=4.7\times10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=8.4\times10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(P)=5.3\times10^{-7}$ 10 E _{γ} : weighted average of 1090.5 4 (1966Sc20), 1089.9 8 (1973Ge05), 1090.3 5 (1985Ei01), 1089.55 30 (1988Li06). δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp$. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0049$ 7, K/L=6.4 5 (1966Sc20).
1378.50 24	0.00169 23	1378.03	3 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺	(E3)		0.00613 9	$\alpha=0.00613$ 9; $\alpha(K)=0.00486$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.000957$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.000226$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=7.90\times10^{-5}$ 11 $\alpha(N)=5.59\times10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(O)=9.82\times10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(P)=5.54\times10^{-7}$ 8; $\alpha(IPF)=1.272\times10^{-5}$ 18

¹⁹²₇₇Ir β^- decay (73.829 d) (continued) $\gamma(^{192}\text{Pt})$ (continued)

E_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	Comments
		E_γ : unweighted average of 1379.0 5 (1966Sc20), 1378.0 5 (1973Ge05), 1378.8 10 (1985Ei01), 1378.2 3 (1988Li06) (weighted average is 1378.35 22). Mult.: K/L implies E3, but $\alpha(K)\exp$ favors E2 over E3. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0027$ 4, K/L=5.2 4 (1966Sc20).

[†] Additional information 2.[‡] Data from [1994Mi22](#), [1992Si25](#), [1988Li06](#), [1987Me14](#), [1986Me07](#), [1985DaZX](#), [1985Ei01](#), [1984Iw03](#), [1983Sc12](#), [1975Pr03](#), [1973Ge05](#) are summarized above. The recommended values are weighted averages of these data, excluding data given As a limit, or without an uncertainty or identified As a statistical outlier based on the Chauvenet criterion, except As noted. note that many values from [1975Pr03](#) are statistically inconsistent (outliers).[#] From $\alpha(K)\exp$, except where noted. Conversion coefficients attributed to [1966Sc20](#) were calculated by the evaluator from Iy given here and Ice from [1966Sc20](#); the photon and ce intensity scales were normalized assuming $\alpha(K)(E2 \text{ theory})=0.0535$ for 316.5γ .[@] From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and γ -ray linear polarization (oriented nuclei) ([1985Ri13](#)), except where noted.[&] Seen in ce spectra only ([1966Sc20](#)); transition could alternatively be attributed to ¹⁹²Ir ε decay (73.829 d).^a From evaluation by [2000He14](#), based on a revised energy scale that uses the fundamental constants and wave lengths from an updated value of the Si crystal lattice spacing ([1987Co39](#)). [2000He14](#) fitted the revised γ -ray energies to a level scheme. Their recommended γ -ray energies are from level-energy differences corrected for recoil. see [1999He26](#) for discussion of improvement of ¹⁹²Ir calibration energies by use of a self-calibration procedure.^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.8286 4.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{192}Ir β^- decay (73.829 d)

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

