

$^{189}\text{Os}(\alpha, 2n\gamma), ^{191}\text{Ir}(d, 2n\gamma)$  **1977Ke18**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia		NDS 195,368 (2024)	1-Dec-2023

Includes  $^{191}\text{Ir}(p, n\gamma)$ .

Target: 76% enriched  $^{189}\text{Os}$ . Projectile:  $\alpha$ , E=23,25,27 MeV. Target: 89% enriched  $^{191}\text{Ir}$ . Projectiles: p, E=10 MeV; d, E=13 MeV. Measured  $\gamma$ -rays,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\alpha, \gamma(t)$ ,  $\alpha, \gamma(\theta)$  at six angles between  $90^\circ$  and  $160^\circ$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray excitation functions; detector: Ge(Li). Measured conversion electrons; detector: Si(Li).

 $^{191}\text{Pt}$  Levels

The level scheme has been constructed based on  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin measurements. Spin assignments are primarily from  $\alpha, \gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray multipolarities, and  $\gamma$ -ray excitation functions. Level energies and  $\gamma$ -ray branching ratios of even-parity states are interpreted in terms of one quasiparticle coupled to a rigid triaxial rotor or to an anharmonic vibrator. See Adopted Levels for evaluator's spin assignments.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> #	Comments
0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	
9.554 <sup>‡</sup> 16	(5/2,7/2) <sup>-</sup>	<a href="#">Additional information 1</a> .
100.55 10	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	
148.92 <sup>@</sup> 10	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>	
173.31 10	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>	
306.26 21	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>	
453.74 21	(7/2,9/2) <sup>+</sup>	
470.97 <sup>@</sup> 13	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>	
529.19 12	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	
599.23 14	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	
659.8 4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
919.08 18	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
950.98 <sup>@</sup> 16	(21/2) <sup>+</sup>	
989.36 14	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	
996.3 4	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> ,15/2,17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
1158.46 15	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	
1302.66 19	(17/2,19/2) <sup>+</sup>	
1309.57 21	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> ,17/2,19/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
1381.35 16	(21/2) <sup>-</sup>	
1471.4 3		J <sup>π</sup> : <a href="#">1977Ke18</a> suggests (23/2 <sup>+</sup> ).
1545.5 3	(25/2) <sup>-</sup>	
1550.0 <sup>@</sup> 11	(25/2) <sup>+</sup>	
1590.4 4	(19/2,21/2,23/2)	J <sup>π</sup> : <a href="#">1977Ke18</a> suggests (23/2) <sup>-</sup> .
1861.5 11	(27/2) <sup>-</sup>	likely the same level observed at 1862.8 keV in other experiments.

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to E $\gamma$ , holding the first excited level energy fixed.<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.# Spin assignments are primarily from  $\alpha, \gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray multipolarities, and  $\gamma$ -ray excitation functions ([1977Ke18](#)).

@ Favored decoupled band.

<sup>189</sup>Os( $\alpha$ ,2n $\gamma$ ),<sup>191</sup>Ir(d,2n $\gamma$ ) **1977Ke18** (continued)

$\gamma$ (<sup>191</sup>Pt)

Includes <sup>191</sup>Ir(p,n $\gamma$ ).

$\gamma$  rays observed in the <sup>191</sup>Ir(p,n $\gamma$ ) and <sup>191</sup>Ir(d,2n $\gamma$ ) reactions are also given here.

Angular distribution coefficients A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>4</sub> measured in the ( $\alpha$ ,2n $\gamma$ ) E=27 MeV reaction.

E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>a</sup>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>b</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>c</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>c</sup>	Mult. <sup>c</sup>	$\alpha$ <sup>d</sup>	Comments
(9.6)		9.554	(5/2,7/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			From level energy difference.
(24.39 1)		173.31	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>	148.92	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>			I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from adopted gammas.
(48.37 1)		148.92	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>	100.55	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>			I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from adopted gammas.
91.0 <sup>#</sup> 1	35 4	100.55	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	9.554	(5/2,7/2) <sup>-</sup>			A <sub>2</sub> =0.00 5; A <sub>4</sub> =0.00 7
132.9 <sup>#a</sup> 3	$\approx$ 4.5 <sup>a</sup>	306.26	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>	173.31	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>			
144.2 <sup>#</sup> 3	1.5 5	1302.66	(17/2,19/2) <sup>+</sup>	1158.46	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>			
147.3 <sup>#a</sup> 3	1.9 6	453.74	(7/2,9/2) <sup>+</sup>	306.26	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.3 2
151.1 <sup>#a</sup> 3	1.1 <sup>a</sup> 3	1309.57	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> ,17/2,19/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1158.46	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>			
157.2 <sup>#</sup> 3	2.5 8	306.26	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>	148.92	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>			
164.2 2	14 3	1545.5	(25/2) <sup>-</sup>	1381.35	(21/2) <sup>-</sup>	Q		
								From ENSDF
168.7 2	6.20 12	1471.4		1302.66	(17/2,19/2) <sup>+</sup>	(Q)		
206.1 <sup>#</sup> 3	1.8 5	659.8	(5/2) <sup>+</sup>	453.74	(7/2,9/2) <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.5 2
207.6 3	1.1 3	1158.46	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	950.98	(21/2) <sup>+</sup>			
209.1 3	3.50 10	1590.4	(19/2,21/2,23/2)	1381.35	(21/2) <sup>-</sup>			
222.9 <sup>#</sup> 1	19.0 19	1381.35	(21/2) <sup>-</sup>	1158.46	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.21 4; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.05 6
x271.6 <sup>&amp;f</sup>	19							Mult.: Main component not M1 ( <a href="#">1977Ke18</a> ). I <sub>e</sub> exp=6.7 for 164.2 L + 168.7 L + 222.9 K shells.
280.5 <sup>#</sup> 2	5.7 11	453.74	(7/2,9/2) <sup>+</sup>	173.31	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>			A <sub>2</sub> =+0.43 5; A <sub>4</sub> =0.00 6
x310.5 <sup>&amp;f</sup>	17							A <sub>2</sub> =+0.2 3
316 <sup>a@</sup> 1	$\approx$ 15 <sup>a</sup>	1861.5	(27/2) <sup>-</sup>	1545.5	(25/2) <sup>-</sup>			A <sub>2</sub> =-0.30 7; A <sub>4</sub> =+0.1 1
319.9 <sup>#</sup> 3	5.0 15	919.08	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>	599.23	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)		I <sub>e</sub> exp=4.8 ( <a href="#">1977Ke18</a> ) for 310.5 L + 380.3 K shells.
322.0 <sup>#</sup> 1	100 10	470.97	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>	148.92	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0800	$\alpha(K)=0.0513\ 8; \alpha(L)=0.0217\ 3; \alpha(M)=0.00543\ 8;$ $\alpha(N+.)=0.001553\ 22$ $\alpha(N)=0.001330\ 19; \alpha(O)=0.000218\ 3; \alpha(P)=5.21\times10^{-6}\ 8$ $A_2=+0.25\ 2; A_4=-0.12\ 2$ I <sub>e</sub> exp=6.5 ( <a href="#">1977Ke18</a> ) for 319.9 K + 322.0 K shells.

<sup>189</sup>Os( $\alpha$ ,2n $\gamma$ ),<sup>191</sup>Ir(d,2n $\gamma$ ) 1977Ke18 (continued) $\gamma$ (<sup>191</sup>Pt) (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\textcolor{blue}{b}}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>c</sup>	$a^{\textcolor{blue}{d}}$	Comments
351.7 3	4.0 12	1302.66	(17/2,19/2) <sup>+</sup>	950.98	(21/2) <sup>+</sup>			
353.7 <sup>‡#</sup>	4	659.8	(5/2) <sup>+</sup>	306.26	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>			
355.9 <sup>‡#</sup> 1	28 3	529.19	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	173.31	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0602	$\alpha(K)=0.0402$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.01516$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.00376$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001079$ 16 $\alpha(N)=0.000923$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.0001521$ 22; $\alpha(P)=4.13 \times 10^{-6}$ 6 $A_2=+0.26$ 4; $A_4=-0.08$ 4 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.057$ 16 $\alpha(K)\exp$ from $I_e=1.6$ (1977Ke18) and assuming 25% uncertainty.
380.3 <sup>‡#</sup> 1	20 2	529.19	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	148.92	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>	M1	0.1610	$\alpha(K)=0.1330$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.0216$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00498$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001468$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.001231$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.000222$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.503 \times 10^{-5}$ 21 $A_2=-0.74$ 3; $A_4=+0.15$ 5 $I_e\exp=4.8$ (1977Ke18) for 310.5 L + 380.3 K shells. $I_y$ : contains an unplaced component, with $I_y=6$ , whose assignment to <sup>191</sup> Pt is uncertain.
383.7 3	1.6 5	1302.66	(17/2,19/2) <sup>+</sup>	919.08	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>			
x385.7 <sup>&amp;f</sup>	1.5							
390.1 <sup>e‡#</sup> 2	6.0 <sup>e</sup> 12	919.08	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>	529.19	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>			$I_e\exp=2.4$ (1977Ke18) for 450.3 K + 380.3 L + 390.1 L + 392.0 L shells.
390.1 <sup>e‡#</sup> 3	3.0 <sup>e</sup> 9	989.36	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	599.23	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>			$I_e\exp=2.4$ (1977Ke18) for 450.3 K + 380.3 L + 390.1 L + 392.0 L shells.
392.0 2	9.0 18	1381.35	(21/2) <sup>-</sup>	989.36	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)		$A_2=-0.22$ 7; $A_4=-0.1$ 1 $I_e\exp=2.4$ (1977Ke18) for 450.3 K + 380.3 L + 390.1 L + 392.0 L shells.
x400.0 <sup>&amp;f</sup>	4							$A_2=+0.3$ 1
426 <sup>a</sup> 3	$\approx 2^{\textcolor{blue}{a}}$	599.23	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	173.31	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>			$A_2=+0.23$ 7; $A_4=-0.1$ 1
430.3 2	13 3	1381.35	(21/2) <sup>-</sup>	950.98	(21/2) <sup>+</sup>	D		Mult.: Main component not M1 (1977Ke18). $I_e\exp=0.4$ for 430.3 K + 432 K (not assigned). $\alpha(K)$ (theory) for E2 or E1 using $I_y$ gives $I_e=0.3$ or 0.12, respectively, favours E2. However, $\gamma(\theta)$ data is consistent with a $\Delta J=0$ transition.
447.7 <sup>a</sup> 3	$\approx 4^{\textcolor{blue}{a}}$	919.08	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>	470.97	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>			
450.3 <sup>‡#</sup> 1	23.0 23	599.23	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	148.92	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>	M1	0.1027	$\alpha(K)=0.0849$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.01371$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.00316$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000932$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.000782$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.0001408$ 20; $\alpha(P)=9.56 \times 10^{-6}$ 14 $A_2=-0.97$ 9; $A_4=+0.2$ 1 Mult.: From 1977Ke18. $I_e\exp=2.4$ for 450.3 K + 380.3 L + 390.1 L + 392.0 L shells.
x451.7 <sup>&amp;f</sup>	6							
460.2 <sup>‡</sup> 1	17.0 17	989.36	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	529.19	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0304	$\alpha(K)=0.0220$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00640$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.001562$ 22; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000451$

<sup>189</sup>Os( $\alpha$ ,2n $\gamma$ ), <sup>191</sup>Ir(d,2n $\gamma$ ) 1977Ke18 (continued)

$\gamma(191\text{Pt})$ (continued)								
$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^b$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>c</sup>	$\alpha^d$	
480.0 <sup>‡</sup> 1	34 3	950.98	(21/2) <sup>+</sup>	470.97	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0274	7 $\alpha(N)=0.000384$ 6; $\alpha(O)=6.45\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=2.31\times 10^{-6}$ 4 $A_2=+0.30$ 5; $A_4=-0.04$ 6 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.029$ 8 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ from $I_e=0.5$ (1977Ke18) and assuming 25% uncertainty. $\alpha(K)=0.0200$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00560$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.001365$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000394$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.000335$ 5; $\alpha(O)=5.65\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=2.10\times 10^{-6}$ 3 $A_2=+0.27$ 4; $A_4=-0.16$ 6 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.015$ 4 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ from $I_e=0.5$ (1977Ke18) and assuming 25% uncertainty.
518.3 2	8.0 16	989.36	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	470.97	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>			
525.3 <sup>‡a</sup> 3	5.0 <sup>a</sup> 15	996.3	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> , 15/2, 17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	470.97	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>	(Q)		$A_2=+0.30$ 15
x543.3 <sup>&amp;f</sup>	6							$A_2=+0.30$ 15
559.2 <sup>‡</sup> 2	10.0 20	1158.46	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	599.23	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	(Q)		$A_2=+0.4$ 2
599 <sup>a@</sup> 1	$\approx 10.0$ <sup>a</sup>	1550.0	(25/2) <sup>+</sup>	950.98	(21/2) <sup>+</sup>			
687.5 1	19.0 19	1158.46	(19/2) <sup>+</sup>	470.97	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)		$A_2=-0.78$ 6; $A_4=-0.03$ 6
704 <sup>@</sup> 1	$\approx 1$	1302.66	(17/2, 19/2) <sup>+</sup>	599.23	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>			
710 <sup>@</sup> 1	$\approx 2$	1309.57	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> , 17/2, 19/2 <sup>+</sup> )	599.23	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>			
780.1 3	3.5 11	1309.57	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> , 17/2, 19/2 <sup>+</sup> )	529.19	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>			Authors placed this $\gamma$ -ray deexciting the 1302.79-keV level; probably a misquote.
831.6 2	9 18	1302.66	(17/2, 19/2) <sup>+</sup>	470.97	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)		$A_2=-0.6$ 2
838.9 <sup>a</sup> 3	4.0 <sup>a</sup> 12	1309.57	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> , 17/2, 19/2 <sup>+</sup> )	470.97	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>			
847 <sup>a</sup> 2	6.0 <sup>a</sup> 12	996.3	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> , 15/2, 17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	148.92	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> Authors state that uncertainties are 0.1 – 0.3 keV; evaluator assigned 0.1 keV when  $I_\gamma > 15$ , 0.2 keV when  $5 < I_\gamma \leq 15$ , 0.3 keV when  $I_\gamma < 5$  and to lines affected or obscured by  $\gamma$ -rays not listed in this table, and 1 keV to lines seen only in coincidence.

<sup>‡</sup> Observed also in (d,2n $\gamma$ ).

# Observed also in (p,n $\gamma$ ).

@ Evidence from the coincidence measurement.

& Assignment to <sup>191</sup>Pt is uncertain.

<sup>a</sup> Affected or obscured by  $\gamma$  rays not listed in this table.

<sup>b</sup> Relative photon intensity measured in the ( $\alpha$ ,2n $\gamma$ ) E=27 MeV reaction at  $\theta=125^\circ$ . Authors state that uncertainties are 10-30; evaluator assigned relative uncertainties of 10% for  $I_\gamma > 15$ , 20 for  $5 < I_\gamma \leq 15$ , and 30 for  $I_\gamma < 5$ . Values are also given by the authors for  $E(\alpha)=25$  and 23 MeV, and for (d,2n $\gamma$ ) and (p,n $\gamma$ ).

<sup>c</sup> E2, M1 are the main multipole component derived from Ice measurements, where ce and  $\gamma$  intensities were normalized using g.s. rotational band transitions in <sup>190</sup>Pt and <sup>192</sup>Pt. Mult=Q assignments are based in  $A_2 > 0$ ,  $A_4 < 0$  and correspond to stretched quadrupole; Mult=D or D+Q assignments are based on  $A_2 < 0$  and correspond to  $\Delta J=1$  or 0.

<sup>189</sup>Os( $\alpha$ ,2n $\gamma$ ),<sup>191</sup>Ir(d,2n $\gamma$ )    **1977Ke18** (continued) $\gamma$ (<sup>191</sup>Pt) (continued)

<sup>d</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>e</sup> Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

<sup>f</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{189}\text{Os}(\alpha, 2n\gamma), ^{191}\text{Ir}(d, 2n\gamma) \quad 1977\text{Ke18}$ 

## Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - - →  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

