

**$^{193}\text{Ir}(\text{p},\text{t})$     1978St09, 1978Lo07**

Type	Author	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia	NDS 195,368 (2024)	1-Dec-2023

 $J^\pi(^{193}\text{Ir})=3/2^+$ .1978St09: Target: 99.7% enriched  $^{193}\text{Ir}$ . Magnetic spectrometer. E=34.7 MeV, FWHM=13 keV,  $\theta=15^\circ$  to  $60^\circ$  in steps of  $5^\circ$ .1978Lo07: Mass-separated  $^{193}\text{Ir}$  target. Magnetic spectrometer. E=18 MeV,  $\theta=10^\circ$  to  $75^\circ$  in steps of  $5^\circ$ .

The (p,t) reaction tends to select those states in the residual nuclei which are closely related to the ground state of the target nucleus. Population to the  $3/2[402]$  rotational band and to other even-parity bands mixed by Coriolis or vibration-particle interaction was observed.

 **$^{191}\text{Ir}$  Levels**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ @	L <sup>a</sup>	S <sup>&amp;</sup>	Comments
0.0 <sup>b</sup>	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	100
83 <sup>c</sup> 3	1/2 <sup>+</sup>			
130 <sup>b</sup> 3	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
171? <sup>e</sup>	11/2 <sup>-</sup>			
179 <sup>c</sup> 3	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			E(level): 82 ( <a href="#">1978St09</a> ) and 84 3 ( <a href="#">1978Lo07</a> ).
344 <sup>b</sup> 3	7/2 <sup>+</sup>			E(level): Same value in <a href="#">1978St09</a> .
355? <sup>c</sup> 3	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			E(level): Same value in <a href="#">1978St09</a> .
504 <sup>b</sup> 3	9/2 <sup>+</sup>			E(level): 503 ( <a href="#">1978St09</a> ) and 504 3 ( <a href="#">1978Lo07</a> ).
539 <sup>d</sup> 3	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0		E(level): 538 ( <a href="#">1978St09</a> ) and 539 3 ( <a href="#">1978Lo07</a> ).
589? <sup>g</sup>	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
625? <sup>d</sup>	1/2 <sup>+</sup>			
687 <sup>f</sup> 3	7/2 <sup>+</sup>			E(level): 686 ( <a href="#">1978St09</a> ) and 688 3 ( <a href="#">1978Lo07</a> ).
764 3	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	1.3	E(level): 763 ( <a href="#">1978St09</a> ) and 764 3 ( <a href="#">1978Lo07</a> ).
816#				
833? <sup>b</sup>				
946#				
1005#				
1069 3	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	1.3	E(level): 1068 ( <a href="#">1978St09</a> ) and 1069 3 ( <a href="#">1978Lo07</a> ). L: From <a href="#">1978St09</a> . Other: (0) in <a href="#">1978Lo07</a> .
1130#				
1141#				
1150? <sup>f</sup> 3				
1212#				
1244#				
1461? <sup>f</sup> 3	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5.9	
1596? <sup>f</sup> 3	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	4.5	

<sup>†</sup> From [1978Lo07](#), except otherwise noted.<sup>‡</sup> Observed by [1978Lo07](#) only.<sup>#</sup> From [1978St09](#) only.@ As given in Fig. 10 ([1978St09](#)), proposed based on L values or angular distribution measurements.& Relative Q value-corrected strength ([1978Lo07](#)).<sup>a</sup> From [1978Lo07](#), based on comparison of measured angular distribution with DWBA calculations.<sup>b</sup> Band(A):  $3/2[402]$  g.s. rotational band.<sup>c</sup> Band(B):  $1/2[400]+(3/2[402], 2^+)$  rotational band.

---

 **$^{193}\text{Ir}(\text{p},\text{t})$     1978St09, 1978Lo07 (continued)**

---

 **$^{191}\text{Ir}$  Levels (continued)**

<sup>d</sup> Band(C): 1/2[411] rotational band.

<sup>e</sup> Band(D): 11/2[505] rotational band.

<sup>f</sup> Band(E): 7/2[404]+(3/2[402],2<sup>+</sup>) rotational band.

<sup>g</sup> Band(F): 5/2[402]+(1/2[400],2<sup>+</sup>) rotational band.

$^{193}\text{Ir}(\text{p},\text{t}) \quad 1978\text{St09,1978Lo07}$ 

Band(A): 3/2[402] g.s.  
rotational band

833

Band(E): 7/2[404]+(3/2[  
402],2<sup>+</sup>) rotational  
band

7/2<sup>+</sup> 687

Band(C): 1/2[411]  
rotational band

1/2<sup>+</sup> — — — 625

Band(F): 5/2[402]+(1/2[  
400],2<sup>+</sup>) rotational  
band

5/2<sup>+</sup> 589

3/2<sup>+</sup> 539

9/2<sup>+</sup> 504

Band(B): 1/2[400]+(3/2[  
402],2<sup>+</sup>) rotational  
band

7/2<sup>+</sup> 344 5/2<sup>+</sup> — — — 355

Band(D): 11/2[505]  
rotational band

3/2<sup>+</sup> 179 11/2<sup>-</sup> — — — 171

5/2<sup>+</sup> 130

1/2<sup>+</sup> 83

3/2<sup>+</sup> 0.0