

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, <sup>1</sup> and Jun Chen <sup>2</sup>	NDS 169, 1 (2020)	15-Oct-2020

Q( $\beta^-$ )=3125 5; S(n)=5675 10; S(p)=7252 40; Q( $\alpha$ )=600 60 2020Gr08,2017Wa10

Q( $\beta^-$ ),S(n),S(p),Q( $\alpha$ ): Deduced by evaluators from mass excess=-35583 5 for <sup>190</sup>Re measured by 2020Gr08, and known masses of <sup>190</sup>Os, <sup>189</sup>Re, <sup>189</sup>W and <sup>186</sup>Ta in 2017Wa10. Values from 2017Wa10: Q( $\beta^-$ )=3070 70, S(n)=5730 70, S(p)=7310 80, Q( $\alpha$ )=550 90.

S(2n)=12760 70, S(2p)=16600 90 (2017Wa10).

Mass measurements: 2020Gr08 (reference to <sup>192</sup>Ir mass using (d, $\alpha$ ) reaction with Q3D magnetic spectrograph at MLL, measured mass excess=-35583 keV 5), 2012Re19 (Schottky mass spectrometry technique at GSI, measured isomer-to-g.s. mass difference=204 keV 10).

**Additional information 1.**

Theory references: consult the NSR database (www.nndc.bnl.gov/nsr/) for two primary references dealing with nuclear structure calculations.

2006Wa31 calculated total Routhian surfaces (TRS), which predicted oblate shapes induced by rotation-alignment of  $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes \nu i_{13/2}$  pair of nucleons, with the oblate shape remaining yrast over a large range of angular momentum. Near-prolate high-K energy minima at  $\hbar\omega \approx 0$  and near-oblate energy minima at  $\hbar\omega \approx 0.1$  MeV are predicted from total Routhian surface (TRS) calculations in this work.

<sup>190</sup>Re Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

- A <sup>190</sup>W  $\beta^-$  decay (30.0 min)
- B <sup>190</sup>Re IT decay (3.1 h)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $^\pi$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0	(2) <sup>-</sup>	3.0 min 2	AB	% $\beta^-$ =100 J $^\pi$ : allowed $\beta^-$ feeding (logft=5.1) to 3 <sup>-</sup> ; absence of $\beta^-$ feeding to 4 <sup>-</sup> . Configuration= $\nu 9/2[505] \otimes \pi 5/2[402]$ , K $^\pi$ =2 <sup>-</sup> (1976Ha39, 1974Ya02). T <sub>1/2</sub> : weighted average of 3.1 m 3 (1969Ha44), 2.8 m 5 (1955At21), and 2.92 m 20 (1973DeWI). The original uncertainty of 0.10 in 1973DeWI seems too small (probably statistical only) and has been increased by a factor of 2 in the average by the evaluators.
119.12 5	(3) <sup>-</sup>		B	J $^\pi$ : 119.1 $\gamma$ M1(+E2) to (2) <sup>-</sup> ; probable band member. 3 <sup>-</sup> member of configuration= $\nu 9/2[505] \otimes \pi 5/2[402]$ , K $^\pi$ =2 <sup>-</sup> (1976Ha39, 1974Ya02).
162.10 10	(0) <sup>+</sup>		A	J $^\pi$ : 157.6 $\gamma$ (M1) from 1 <sup>+</sup> ; 162.1 $\gamma$ (M2) to (2) <sup>-</sup> . Configuration= $\nu 9/2[505] \otimes \pi 9/2[514]$ , K $^\pi$ =0 <sup>+</sup> (1976Ha39, 1974Ya02). T <sub>1/2</sub> : >0.94 $\mu$ s (from RUL(M2)<1).
204 10	(6) <sup>-</sup>	3.1 h 2	B	% $\beta^-$ =54.4 20; %IT=45.6 20 E(level): from measured mass difference between the isomer and the g.s. (2012Re19). Other: 227 40 from an earlier ESR measurement at the same lab as 2012Re19. J $^\pi$ : logft=7.9 to 5 <sup>-</sup> ; weak $\beta^-$ feeding of (8) <sup>+</sup> ; a (6) <sup>-</sup> isomer is known in <sup>188</sup> Re at 169 keV. Also proposed configuration= $\nu 7/2[503] \otimes \pi 5/2[402]$ , K $^\pi$ =6 <sup>-</sup> (1976Ha39, 1974Ya02). J $^\pi$ can also be 7 <sup>-</sup> from configuration= $\nu 9/2[505] \otimes \pi 5/2[402]$ , same as for the g.s., but with K $^\pi$ =7 <sup>-</sup> , according to GM rule. T <sub>1/2</sub> : weighted average of 3.3 h 2 (1974Ya02), 3.0 h 5 (1972Ru06), and 2.96 h 20 (1973DeWI) in <sup>190</sup> Re IT decay. The original uncertainty of 0.10 in 1973DeWI seems too small (probably statistical only) and has been increased by a factor of 2 in the average by the evaluators. Other: 2.8 h (1962Ba60). %IT: deduced by the evaluators from $\gamma$ +ce intensity balances of $\gamma$ transitions in <sup>190</sup> Os from $\beta^-$ decays of the 3.0-min g.s. and the 3.1-h isomer of <sup>190</sup> Re in equilibrium (which means the total number of <sup>190</sup> Re g.s. decays is equal to the total number of <sup>190</sup> Re IT decays that feeds the g.s.) measured by 1974Ya02. Note that the relative $\gamma$ intensities given as for <sup>190</sup> Re isomer $\beta^-$ decay in 1974Ya02 are actually for the combination of

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $^{190}\text{Re}$  Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>J<sup>π</sup></u>	<u>XREF</u>							<u>Comments</u>
$^{190}\text{Re}$ isomer and g.s. $\beta^-$ decays, with the latter fed by the IT decays of $^{190}\text{Re}$ isomer in equilibrium. See those decay datasets of $^{190}\text{Os}$ for more details.									
319.70 15	1 <sup>+</sup>	A	J <sup>π</sup> : strong $\beta$ feeding ( $\log ft \approx 5.0$ ) from 0 <sup>+</sup> parent ( $^{190}\text{W}$ ), likely a Gamow-Teller transition.						
<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to $\gamma$ -ray energies.									
<u><math>\gamma(^{190}\text{Re})</math></u>									
<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>γ</sub></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>δ</u>	<u>α<sup>‡</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
119.12	(3) <sup>-</sup>	119.12 5	100	0	(2) <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	0.4 +7-4	3.0 5	α(K)=2.3 9; α(L)=0.5 3; α(M)=0.12 8 α(N)=0.029 18; α(O)=0.0047 24; α(P)=0.00025 10 E <sub>γ</sub> : from $^{190}\text{Re}$ IT decay. Mult., δ: from α(exp)=3.0 4 deduced from intensity balance in $^{190}\text{Re}$ IT decay.
162.10	(0) <sup>+</sup>	162.1 1	100	0	(2) <sup>-</sup>	(M2) <sup>†</sup>		7.85	α(K)=5.81 9; α(L)=1.558 23; α(M)=0.380 6 α(N)=0.0928 14; α(O)=0.01527 22; α(P)=0.000984 14 E <sub>γ</sub> : from $^{190}\text{W}$ $\beta^-$ decay.
204	(6) <sup>-</sup>	85 <sup>#</sup>		119.12	(3) <sup>-</sup>	[M3]		8.0×10 <sup>2</sup> 4	E <sub>γ</sub> : no isomeric transitions from the decay of this isomer have been reported in the literature. Transition to the 119, (3) <sup>-</sup> level is suggested by evaluators. If this transition has 100% I(γ+ce) branching, then B(M3)(W.u.)=0.000102 +12-11. E4 transition to the g.s., (2) <sup>-</sup> is also possible.
319.70	1 <sup>+</sup>	157.6 1	100	162.10	(0) <sup>+</sup>	(M1) <sup>†</sup>		1.414	α(K)=1.172 17; α(L)=0.187 3; α(M)=0.0428 6 α(N)=0.01039 15; α(O)=0.001746 25; α(P)=0.0001277 18

<sup>†</sup> From intensity balance arguments in  $^{190}\text{W}$   $\beta^-$  decay.

<sup>‡</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>#</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Legend

**Level Scheme**

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----▶  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)