

¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.1 h) 1974Ya02

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, ¹ and Jun Chen ²	NDS 169,1 (2020)	15-Oct-2020

Parent: ¹⁹⁰Re: E=204 10; J^π=(6⁻); T_{1/2}=3.1 h 2; Q(β⁻)=3125 5; %β⁻ decay=54.4 20

¹⁹⁰Re-E, J^π, T_{1/2}, Q(β⁻): From the Adopted Levels of ¹⁹⁰Re. Other: Q(β⁻)=3070 70 (2017Wa10).

¹⁹⁰Re-%β⁻ decay: Deduced by the evaluators from γ+ce intensity balances of γ transitions in ¹⁹⁰Os from β⁻ decays of the 3.0-min g.s. and the 3.1-h isomer of ¹⁹⁰Re in equilibrium (which means the total number of ¹⁹⁰Re g.s. decays is equal to the total number of ¹⁹⁰Re IT decays that feeds the g.s.) measured by 1974Ya02, based on that the 2352, 1996 and 1387 levels are the only levels fed directly by the β⁻ decay of the 3.0-min g.s. but not by the β⁻ decay of the 3.1-h isomer and the 2352 and 1996 levels are not populated in the β⁻ decay of the 3.1-h isomer, as claimed by 1974Ya02. So the %IT branching is determined by the total I(γ+ce) from 2352, 1996 and 1387 levels in ¹⁹⁰Re g.s. decay, divided by the total I(γ+ce) of transitions to 187 level, 558γ and 1387γ from β⁻ decays of ¹⁹⁰Re g.s. and isomer combined. This gives %IT=45.6 20 and %β⁻=54.4 20.

1974Ya02 (also 1974YaZU, 1972Da07): sources of the ¹⁹⁰ isomer were produced by the ¹⁹⁰Os(d,α) reaction with 18 MeV deuterons provided by the ANL 152-cm cyclotron on a 50-mg target of natural osmium. γ rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors. Measured Eγ, Iγ, γγ-coin, γ(t). Deduced levels, J, π, parent T_{1/2}, configurations, γ-ray multipolarities, β-decay branching ratios. Comparisons with theoretical calculations. 1974Ya02 also report data on ¹⁹⁰Ir ε decay (11.78 d) and ¹⁸⁹Os(d,p).

Others:

γ: 1972Ru06, 1972KaYS, 1964FI02.

β⁻: 1964FI02.

T_{1/2} (¹⁹⁰Re): 1974Ya02, 1973DeWI, 1972Ru06, 1966BaZY, 1962Ba60.

Total deposit energy of 1801 keV 85 calculated by RADLIST code is in agreement with expected value of 1784 81 from Q(β⁻)=3125 keV 5 and the adopted branching ratio.

¹⁹⁰Os Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	
186.69 4	2 ⁺	
547.88 5	4 ⁺	
557.92 5	2 ⁺	
755.91 5	3 ⁺	
955.23 5	4 ⁺	
1050.43 10	6 ⁺	
1163.10 5	4 ⁺	
1203.82 7	5 ⁺	
1386.91 5	3 ⁻	
1446.01 6	(5) ⁺	
1513.9? 5	(6 ⁺ , 5 ⁺)	
1583.84? 12	4 ⁻	Population in ¹⁹⁰ Re decay is suspect since the only γ ray reported is 1036.0, other γ rays of comparable intensity such as 196.8γ, 380.0γ, 420.6γ are not reported.
1666.51 17	8 ⁺	
1681.60 7	5 ⁻	
1708.2? 3	(2 ⁺ , 3, 4 ⁺)	
1836.21 7	(6 ⁺)	
1872.10 12	(5) ⁻	
2061.2? 2	(6 ⁺ , 7 ⁻)	
2068.79 9	(5 ⁺)	
2121.28 13	(5, 6 ⁺)	

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ-ray energies.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

^{190}Re β^- decay (3.1 h) 1974Ya02 (continued) β^- radiations

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$I\beta^{-\dagger}$</u>	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(1208 <i>II</i>)	2121.28	3.4 <i>4</i>	7.7 <i>2</i>	av $E\beta=394$ <i>35</i>
(1260 <i>II</i>)	2068.79	3.9 <i>3</i>	7.7 <i>2</i>	av $E\beta=415$ <i>35</i>
(1268 \ddagger <i>II</i>)	2061.2?	1.88 <i>18</i>	8.1 <i>2</i>	av $E\beta=418$ <i>35</i>
(1457 <i>II</i>)	1872.10	0.89 <i>8</i>	8.6 <i>1</i>	av $E\beta=495$ <i>36</i>
(1493 <i>II</i>)	1836.21	17.0 <i>14</i>	7.4 <i>1</i>	av $E\beta=510$ <i>36</i>
(1647 <i>II</i>)	1681.60	7.4 <i>7</i>	7.9 <i>1</i>	av $E\beta=574$ <i>36</i>
(1663 <i>II</i>)	1666.51	0.55 <i>13</i>	9.9 ^{1u} <i>2</i>	av $E\beta=569$ <i>35</i>
(1815 \ddagger <i>II</i>)	1513.9?	4.7 <i>9</i>	8.3 <i>2</i>	av $E\beta=644$ <i>37</i>
(1883 <i>II</i>)	1446.01	1.9 <i>6</i>	8.7 <i>2</i>	av $E\beta=673$ <i>37</i>
(2125 <i>II</i>)	1203.82	1.8 <i>7</i>	8.9 <i>2</i>	av $E\beta=776$ <i>37</i>
(2166 \ddagger <i>II</i>)	1163.10	<2.7	>9.9 ^{1u}	av $E\beta=774$ <i>36</i>
(2279 <i>II</i>)	1050.43	1.8 <i>2</i>	9.1 <i>1</i>	av $E\beta=842$ <i>38</i>
(2374 \ddagger <i>II</i>)	955.23	<2.9	>10.1 ^{1u}	av $E\beta=861$ <i>37</i>
(2781 \ddagger <i>II</i>)	547.88	<3.6	>10.4 ^{1u}	av $E\beta=1034$ <i>37</i>

\dagger Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

\ddagger Existence of this branch is questionable.

¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.1 h) **1974Ya02 (continued)**

γ(¹⁹⁰Os)

I_γ normalization: From Σ[I(γ+ce) of 558γ, 1387γ and all γ rays to 187 level]=100, Most of the unplaced intensity (49 units on relative scale) is associated with transitions of energy <800 keV, not expected to proceed to g.s. or the 187 level.
 Combined intensities given under comments are the total γ intensities for the 3.1-h (isomer) and 3.0-min (g.s.) activities in equilibrium (1974Ya02), where applicable.

E _γ [†]	I _γ ^{‡c}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. ^b	δ ^b	α ^d	Comments
^x 108.67 15	1.52 14								%I _γ =0.31 4
^x 114.18 15	0.92 15								%I _γ =0.18 4
^x 127.27 6	1.95 17								%I _γ =0.39 4
^x 163.14 6	2.65 17								%I _γ =0.53 5
^x 182.1 3	3.9 4								%I _γ =0.78 9
186.68 4	140 [@] 7	186.69	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.420	%I _γ =28.2 13 α(K)=0.203 3; α(L)=0.1642 23; α(M)=0.0415 6 α(N)=0.00997 14; α(O)=0.001504 21; α(P)=1.88×10 ⁻⁵ 3
(196.85 [#] 15)	1.9 [#] 2	1583.84?	4 ⁻	1386.91	3 ⁻	E2+M1	+1.0 5	0.59 15	%I _γ =0.38 5 α(K)=0.43 16; α(L)=0.121 7; α(M)=0.0292 24 α(N)=0.0071 6; α(O)=0.00113 5; α(P)=4.8×10 ⁻⁵ 19
198.08 20	4.8 8	755.91	3 ⁺	557.92	2 ⁺	E2+M1	-9 +2-5	0.349 7	%I _γ =0.97 17 α(K)=0.180 5; α(L)=0.1277 19; α(M)=0.0321 5 α(N)=0.00773 12; α(O)=0.001171 18; α(P)=1.70×10 ⁻⁵ 6 combined intensity=8.7 12.
199.3 3	1.3 3	955.23	4 ⁺	755.91	3 ⁺	E2		0.336	%I _γ =0.26 7 α(K)=0.1712 25; α(L)=0.1246 20; α(M)=0.0314 5 α(N)=0.00755 12; α(O)=0.001143 18; α(P)=1.604×10 ⁻⁵ 24 combined intensity=2.0 5.
^x 200.0 3	6.3 4								%I _γ =1.27 11
207.91 ^e 6	2.4 ^e 5	755.91	3 ⁺	547.88	4 ⁺	E2(+M1)	-16 +5-20	0.293	%I _γ =0.48 11 α(K)=0.155 3; α(L)=0.1045 15; α(M)=0.0263 4 α(N)=0.00632 9; α(O)=0.000959 14; α(P)=1.47×10 ⁻⁵ 3 combined intensity=4.3 8.
207.91 ^e 6	0.7 ^e 2	1163.10	4 ⁺	955.23	4 ⁺	(E2)		0.291	%I _γ =0.14 4 α(K)=0.1533 22; α(L)=0.1045 15; α(M)=0.0263 4 α(N)=0.00632 9; α(O)=0.000959 14; α(P)=1.446×10 ⁻⁵ 21 combined intensity=1.0 3.
223.81 5	2.8 ^a 3	1386.91	3 ⁻	1163.10	4 ⁺	E1		0.0500	%I _γ =0.56 7 α(K)=0.0414 6; α(L)=0.00669 10; α(M)=0.001530 22 α(N)=0.000370 6; α(O)=6.15×10 ⁻⁵ 9; α(P)=3.76×10 ⁻⁶ 6 combined intensity=61.8 25.
242.3 3	0.71 7	1446.01	(5) ⁺	1203.82	5 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.32 15	%I _γ =0.143 17

¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.1 h) 1974Ya02 (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁰Os) (continued)

E _γ [†]	I _γ ^{‡c}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. ^b	δ ^b	α ^d	Comments
^x 252.65 20 ^x 255.19 10 282.93 6	0.63 7 2.42 19 11.1 8	1446.01	(5) ⁺	1163.10	4 ⁺	E2(+M1)	>2.5	0.122 14	α(K)=0.24 14; α(L)=0.059 3; α(M)=0.01407 22 α(N)=0.00341 7; α(O)=0.00056 4; α(P)=2.7×10 ⁻⁵ 18 %I _γ =0.127 16 %I _γ =0.49 5 %I _γ =2.23 20
284.9 3 288.22 10	2.2 3 2.35 14	2121.28 1872.10	(5,6) ⁺ (5) ⁻	1836.21 1583.84?	(6 ⁺) 4 ⁻	[D,E2] E2+M1	2.2 +11-5	0.17 14 0.135 17	α(K)=0.081 13; α(L)=0.0313 8; α(M)=0.00771 16 α(N)=0.00186 4; α(O)=0.000290 9; α(P)=8.4×10 ⁻⁶ 16 %I _γ =0.44 7 %I _γ =0.47 4 α(K)=0.095 16; α(L)=0.0302 10; α(M)=0.00736 18 α(N)=0.00178 5; α(O)=0.000282 10; α(P)=1.01×10 ⁻⁵ 19 %I _γ =1.43 15
^x 294.75 ^e 12 294.75 ^e 12	7.1 ^e 6 6.4 ^{e&} 6	1681.60	5 ⁻	1386.91	3 ⁻	(E2)		0.0963	%I _γ =1.29 14 α(K)=0.0618 9; α(L)=0.0262 4; α(M)=0.00649 10 α(N)=0.001565 22; α(O)=0.000243 4; α(P)=6.19×10 ⁻⁶ 9 %I _γ =1.01 9
^x 315.56 8 321.81 ^f 8	5.0 3 3.8 4	1836.21	(6 ⁺)	1513.9?	(6 ⁺ ,5 ⁺)	[M1,E2]		0.14 7	%I _γ =0.76 9 α(K)=0.11 7; α(L)=0.024 5; α(M)=0.0056 9 α(N)=0.00135 23; α(O)=0.00022 5; α(P)=1.3×10 ⁻⁵ 8 %I _γ =1.21 9 %I _γ =12.1 10
^x 344.09 10 361.09 5	6.0 3 60 5	547.88	4 ⁺	186.69	2 ⁺	E2		0.0535	α(K)=0.0370 6; α(L)=0.01255 18; α(M)=0.00307 5 α(N)=0.000742 11; α(O)=0.0001169 17; α(P)=3.82×10 ⁻⁶ 6 combined intensity=93 4. %I _γ =10.5 8
371.24 5	52 3	557.92	2 ⁺	186.69	2 ⁺	E2+M1	-8.1 8	0.0510	α(K)=0.0359 6; α(L)=0.01151 17; α(M)=0.00281 4 α(N)=0.000679 10; α(O)=0.0001074 16; α(P)=3.73×10 ⁻⁶ 7 combined intensity=100. %I _γ =0.72 8 %I _γ =0.86 10
379.4 ^f 3 387.10 12	3.6 3 4.3 4	2061.2? 2068.79	(6 ⁺ ,7 ⁻) (5 ⁺)	1681.60 1681.60	5 ⁻ 5 ⁻	[D,E2] [E1]		0.08 6 0.01349	α(K)=0.01125 16; α(L)=0.001734 25; α(M)=0.000395 6 α(N)=9.58×10 ⁻⁵ 14; α(O)=1.619×10 ⁻⁵ 23; α(P)=1.081×10 ⁻⁶ 16 %I _γ =4.8 4
390.17 6	24.0 14	1836.21	(6 ⁺)	1446.01	(5) ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.09 5	α(K)=0.07 4; α(L)=0.013 4; α(M)=0.0031 8 α(N)=0.00075 19; α(O)=0.00013 4; α(P)=8.E-6 5
394.6 ^f 4 397.36 6	2.3 4 30 3	2061.2? 955.23	(6 ⁺ ,7 ⁻) 4 ⁺	1666.51 557.92	8 ⁺ 2 ⁺	[D,E2] E2		0.07 6 0.0412	%I _γ =0.46 9 %I _γ =6.0 7

¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.1 h) 1974Ya02 (continued)

$\gamma(^{190}\text{Os})$ (continued)									
E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡c}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	δ^b	α^d	Comments
407.22 ^e 6	21 ^e 4	955.23	4 ⁺	547.88	4 ⁺	E2+M1	-3.4 +6-9	0.045 3	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0293$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00904$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00220$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000532$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.44\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.05\times 10^{-6}$ 5 combined intensity=48.5 29. %I γ =4.2 8
407.22 ^e 6	41 ^e 4	1163.10	4 ⁺	755.91	3 ⁺	E2+M1	-2.6 +8-14	0.048 8	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0330$ 23; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0089$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00214$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000517$ 14; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.3\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.5\times 10^{-6}$ 3 combined intensity=34 5. %I γ =8.2 8
431.62 7	1.8 ^a 2	1386.91	3 ⁻	955.23	4 ⁺	[E1]		0.01056	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.036$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0092$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00220$ 16 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00053$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.6\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.9\times 10^{-6}$ 9 combined intensity=63 6. %I γ =0.36 5
447.81 8	14.3 8	1203.82	5 ⁺	755.91	3 ⁺	E2		0.0301	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00882$ 13; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001348$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000307$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.44\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.261\times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.55\times 10^{-7}$ 12 combined intensity=40.1 24. %I γ =2.88 22
477.8 3	2.1 9	1681.60	5 ⁻	1203.82	5 ⁺	[E1]		0.00845	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0221$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00611$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001475$ 21 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000357$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.72\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.32\times 10^{-6}$ 4 %I γ =0.42 19
485.23 20	0.68 7	1872.10	(5) ⁻	1386.91	3 ⁻	E2		0.0245	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00707$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001072$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000244$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=5.91\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.005\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.89\times 10^{-7}$ 10 %I γ =0.137 16
490.76 7	17.3 9	1446.01	(5) ⁺	955.23	4 ⁺	(E2)		0.0239	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0183$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00474$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001141$ 16 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000276$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.45\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.94\times 10^{-6}$ 3 %I γ =3.5 3
502.55 8	16.8 5	1050.43	6 ⁺	547.88	4 ⁺	E2		0.0225	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0179$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00458$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001101$ 16 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000267$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.30\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.89\times 10^{-6}$ 3 %I γ =3.38 21
518.55 7	33.2 23	1681.60	5 ⁻	1163.10	4 ⁺	E1(+M2)	+0.010 15	0.00711 14	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01693$ 24; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00426$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001022$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000248$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.00\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.80\times 10^{-6}$ 3 %I γ =6.7 6
^x 539.21 25	1.1 3								$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00595$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000897$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000204$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.95\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.42\times 10^{-6}$ 19; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.84\times 10^{-7}$ 13 %I γ =0.22 7
557.95 7	70 6	557.92	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.01748	%I γ =14.1 12 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.01340$ 19; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00312$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000745$ 11 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000181$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.94\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.430\times 10^{-6}$ 20 combined intensity=135 8.
558.7 ^f 5	27 4	1513.9?	(6 ⁺ ,5 ⁺)	955.23	4 ⁺	[E2]		0.01743	%I γ =5.4 8

¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.1 h) **1974Ya02 (continued)**

γ(¹⁹⁰Os) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡c}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.^b</u>	<u>δ^b</u>	<u>α^d</u>	<u>Comments</u>
569.30 7	69 7	755.91	3 ⁺	186.69	2 ⁺	E2+M1	-9.8 10	0.01699 25	α(K)=0.01336 19; α(L)=0.00311 5; α(M)=0.000742 11 α(N)=0.000180 3; α(O)=2.93×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(P)=1.426×10 ⁻⁶ 21 %I _γ =13.9 13
605.14 7	73 4	1163.10	4 ⁺	557.92	2 ⁺	E2		0.01447	α(K)=0.01310 20; α(L)=0.00298 5; α(M)=0.000709 10 α(N)=0.0001720 25; α(O)=2.81×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.401×10 ⁻⁶ 21 combined intensity=125 6. %I _γ =14.7 10
(615.39 [#] 15)	0.86 [#] 8	1163.10	4 ⁺	547.88	4 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.026 13	α(K)=0.01123 16; α(L)=0.00248 4; α(M)=0.000589 9 α(N)=0.0001427 20; α(O)=2.34×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.202×10 ⁻⁶ 17 combined intensity=111 5. %I _γ =0.173 19
616.08 14	5.1 4	1666.51	8 ⁺	1050.43	6 ⁺	E2		0.01389	α(K)=0.021 11; α(L)=0.0037 14; α(M)=0.0009 3 α(N)=0.00021 8; α(O)=3.5×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α(P)=2.4×10 ⁻⁶ 13 %I _γ =1.03 10
630.91 16	1.9 ^a 3	1386.91	3 ⁻	755.91	3 ⁺	[E1]		0.00472	α(K)=0.01081 16; α(L)=0.00236 4; α(M)=0.000559 8 α(N)=0.0001357 19; α(O)=2.23×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.157×10 ⁻⁶ 17 %I _γ =0.38 7
≈633	5 3	1836.21	(6 ⁺)	1203.82	5 ⁺	[M1,E2]		≈0.025	α(K)=0.00396 6; α(L)=0.000588 9; α(M)=0.0001335 19 α(N)=3.24×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=5.54×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(P)=3.92×10 ⁻⁷ 6 combined intensity=42 6. %I _γ =1.0 6
656.02 8	5.3 4	1203.82	5 ⁺	547.88	4 ⁺	E2+M1	-1.7 14	0.017 14	α(K)≈0.020; α(L)≈0.0034; α(M)≈0.0008 α(N)≈0.00019; α(O)≈3.3×10 ⁻⁵ ; α(P)≈2.2×10 ⁻⁶ %I _γ =1.07 10
673.10 10	46.9 24	1836.21	(6 ⁺)	1163.10	4 ⁺	[E2]		0.01138	α(K)=0.014 12; α(L)=0.0026 15; α(M)=0.0006 4 α(N)=0.00015 9; α(O)=2.5×10 ⁻⁵ 15; α(P)=1.5×10 ⁻⁶ 14 %I _γ =9.4 7
675.2 6	2.6 10	2121.28	(5,6 ⁺)	1446.01	(5) ⁺	[D,E2]		0.018 14	α(K)=0.00896 13; α(L)=0.00186 3; α(M)=0.000438 7 α(N)=0.0001063 15; α(O)=1.756×10 ⁻⁵ 25; α(P)=9.61×10 ⁻⁷ 14 %I _γ =0.52 21
690.04 8	7.0 6	1446.01	(5) ⁺	755.91	3 ⁺	(E2)		0.01077	%I _γ =1.41 15
726.22 8	2.21 12	1681.60	5 ⁻	955.23	4 ⁺	E1		0.00357	α(K)=0.00851 12; α(L)=0.001738 25; α(M)=0.000409 6 α(N)=9.94×10 ⁻⁵ 14; α(O)=1.645×10 ⁻⁵ 23; α(P)=9.12×10 ⁻⁷ 13 %I _γ =0.44 4
^x 739.73 10	3.8 2								α(K)=0.00300 5; α(L)=0.000441 7; α(M)=9.99×10 ⁻⁵ 14 α(N)=2.43×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(O)=4.16×10 ⁻⁶ 6; α(P)=2.98×10 ⁻⁷ 5 %I _γ =0.76 6
768.57 8	10.7 8	955.23	4 ⁺	186.69	2 ⁺	E2		0.00853	%I _γ =2.15 20
									α(K)=0.00682 10; α(L)=0.001318 19; α(M)=0.000309 5 α(N)=7.50×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(O)=1.250×10 ⁻⁵ 18; α(P)=7.32×10 ⁻⁷ 11 combined intensity=17.0 10.

6

¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.1 h) 1974Ya02 (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁰Os) (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡c}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	α^d	Comments
828.99 7	2.6 ^a 3	1386.91	3 ⁻	557.92	2 ⁺	E1	0.00276	%I _γ =0.52 7 α(K)=0.00232 4; α(L)=0.000339 5; α(M)=7.68×10 ⁻⁵ 11 α(N)=1.87×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(O)=3.20×10 ⁻⁶ 5; α(P)=2.33×10 ⁻⁷ 4 combined intensity=55 5.
839.14 12	0.9 ^a 1	1386.91	3 ⁻	547.88	4 ⁺	(E1)	0.00270	%I _γ =0.181 23 α(K)=0.00227 4; α(L)=0.000331 5; α(M)=7.50×10 ⁻⁵ 11 α(N)=1.82×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(O)=3.13×10 ⁻⁶ 5; α(P)=2.27×10 ⁻⁷ 4 combined intensity=19.0 9.
864.85 20	3.1 2	2068.79	(5 ⁺)	1203.82	5 ⁺			%I _γ =0.62 6
881.10 14	3.9 4	1836.21	(6 ⁺)	955.23	4 ⁺			%I _γ =0.78 9
^x 889.07 15	2.2 4							%I _γ =0.44 9
905.75 16	6.5 7	2068.79	(5 ⁺)	1163.10	4 ⁺			%I _γ =1.31 16
952.3 ^f 3	0.81 10	1708.2?	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	755.91	3 ⁺	(M1)		%I _γ =0.163 22
958.20 14	9.8 6	2121.28	(5,6 ⁺)	1163.10	4 ⁺			%I _γ =1.97 16
^x 965.1 4	2.1 4							%I _γ =0.42 9
1010.9 ^f 3	3.0 4	2061.2?	(6 ⁺ ,7 ⁻)	1050.43	6 ⁺			%I _γ =0.60 9
1036.05 ^f 20	1.35 14	1583.84?	4 ⁻	547.88	4 ⁺	E1	0.00182	%I _γ =0.27 4 α(K)=0.001539 22; α(L)=0.000222 4; α(M)=5.01×10 ⁻⁵ 7 α(N)=1.218×10 ⁻⁵ 17; α(O)=2.10×10 ⁻⁶ 3; α(P)=1.550×10 ⁻⁷ 22 %I _γ =0.40 5 %I _γ =0.27 4 %I _γ =0.41 5 %I _γ =0.203 23
1113.6 4	2.0 2	2068.79	(5 ⁺)	955.23	4 ⁺			%I _γ =0.068 11
^x 1155.6 5	1.34 17							
1166.1 3	2.03 22	2121.28	(5,6 ⁺)	955.23	4 ⁺			%I _γ =0.41 5
^x 1194.2 3	1.01 10							%I _γ =0.203 23
1200.24 12	0.34 ^a 5	1386.91	3 ⁻	186.69	2 ⁺	(E1)	1.42×10 ⁻³	%I _γ =0.068 11 α(K)=0.001184 17; α(L)=0.0001693 24; α(M)=3.82×10 ⁻⁵ 6 α(N)=9.30×10 ⁻⁶ 13; α(O)=1.603×10 ⁻⁶ 23; α(P)=1.196×10 ⁻⁷ 17; α(IPF)=1.82×10 ⁻⁵ 3 combined intensity=7.5 8. %I _γ =0.28 7 %I _γ =0.082 25 %I _γ =0.64 11
^x 1250.2 4	1.4 3							%I _γ =0.22 5
^x 1265.9 5	0.41 12							
1313.1 2	3.2 5	2068.79	(5 ⁺)	755.91	3 ⁺			%I _γ =0.64 11
1324.30 18	1.07 22	1872.10	(5) ⁻	547.88	4 ⁺	E1	1.25×10 ⁻³	%I _γ =0.22 5 α(K)=0.000997 14; α(L)=0.0001419 20; α(M)=3.20×10 ⁻⁵ 5 α(N)=7.80×10 ⁻⁶ 11; α(O)=1.345×10 ⁻⁶ 19; α(P)=1.010×10 ⁻⁷ 15; α(IPF)=7.06×10 ⁻⁵ 10 %I _γ =0.068 25
^x 1382.7 5	0.34 12							%I _γ =0.068 25
1386.95 12	0.14 ^a 2	1386.91	3 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺	(E3)	0.00542	%I _γ =0.028 5

7

¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.1 h) 1974Ya02 (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁰Os) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡c}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Comments</u>
						α(K)=0.00434 6; α(L)=0.000818 12; α(M)=0.000191 3 α(N)=4.66×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(O)=7.86×10 ⁻⁶ 11; α(P)=5.00×10 ⁻⁷ 7; α(IPF)=1.382×10 ⁻⁵ 20 combined intensity=3.1 4.
^x 1499.4 5	0.25 8					%I _γ =0.050 17
1521.1 4	0.41 12	2068.79	(5 ⁺)	547.88	4 ⁺	%I _γ =0.082 25
^x 1536.5 8	0.24 7					%I _γ =0.048 15
^x 1564.9 3	0.59 15					%I _γ =0.12 3
^x 1573.7 3	1.3 3					%I _γ =0.26 7
^x 1616.4 3	0.70 18					%I _γ =0.14 4
^x 1725.2 5	0.29 9					%I _γ =0.058 19
^x 1745.4 5	0.20 6					%I _γ =0.040 13
^x 1882.3 7	0.15 5					%I _γ =0.030 11
^x 2023.4 8	0.10 5					%I _γ =0.020 11

[†] From 1974Ya02, obtained by the author from weighted averages when possible from their measurements of ¹⁹⁰Ir ε decay (11.78 d) and ¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.2 h and 3.1 min).

[‡] From decomposition (by evaluators) of the combined intensities given by 1974Ya02 for the 3.1-h (isomer) and 3.0-min (g.s.) activities in equilibrium, unless otherwise noted. Note that the combined intensities are quoted as for ¹⁹⁰Re isomer β⁻ decay in 1974Ya02 but are actually for the combination of two activities, with the ground state fed by the IT decays of ¹⁹⁰Re isomer in equilibrium. The combined intensities are given under comments for transitions seen in both activities. The quoted intensities for the β⁻ decay of the isomer decay here are obtained by removing the contributions from the β⁻ decay of the g.s. that are already deduced first in the decomposition of combined intensities in the dataset of ¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.0 m). See that dataset for how the decomposition is performed. The unplaced γ rays belong to ¹⁹⁰Re (3.0 min) and/or ¹⁹⁰Re (3.1 h), with quoted intensities being the original values in 1974Ya02. Intensity values in 1974Ya02 are relative to combined I(371.2γ)=100.

[#] γ ray reported in ¹⁹⁰Ir ε decay (12 d) (1974Ya02). I_γ deduced from branching ratios in ¹⁹⁰Ir ε decay and I_γ value of the strongest branch from the parent level in this decay.

[@] From intensity balance at 187 level. The corresponding combined intensity (3.1-h and 3.0-min in equilibrium) is 249 5 from intensity balance using combined intensities for feeding transitions in 1974Ya02, but is inconsistent with the measured value of 310 22 in 1974Ya02. The latter value is probably in error since it would imply a large β⁻ feeding to 187 level in the decay of ¹⁹⁰Re g.s. other than the 1387 level which is claimed to be the only level that is strongly fed in that decay in 1969Ha44 and 1974Ya02.

[&] Total I_γ=13.5 8. I_γ divided on the basis of branching ratio from ¹⁹⁰Ir ε decay (11.78 d).

^a Deduced from the total I(γ+ce) to the 1387 level in this decay and the branching ratios from combined intensities, considering that the 1387 level is fed only by γ transitions from upper levels that are populated only in this decay. Those γ feedings account for a 4.6% 4 contribution to the population of the 1387 level in the decays of 3.1-h (isomer) and 3.0-min (g.s.) in equilibrium.

^b From the Adopted Gammas.

^c For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.201 12.

^d Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

∞

^{190}Re β^- decay (3.1 h) 1974Ya02 (continued)

$\gamma(^{190}\text{Os})$ (continued)

^e Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

^f Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

¹⁹⁰Re β⁻ decay (3.1 h) 1974YA02

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_{γ+ce} per 100 parent decays
 @ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

- Legend
- I_γ < 2% × I_{max}
 - I_γ < 10% × I_{max}
 - I_γ > 10% × I_{max}
 - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
 - Coincidence
 - Coincidence (Uncertain)

