

¹⁹⁰Tl ε decay (3.6 min) 1976Bi09,1991Ko03,1994De25

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, ¹ and Jun Chen ²		NDS 169, 1 (2020)	15-Oct-2020

Parent: ¹⁹⁰Tl: E=83 10; J^π=7⁺; T_{1/2}=3.6 min 3; Q(ε)=6999 18; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

¹⁹⁰Tl-E,J^π,T_{1/2}: From ¹⁹⁰Tl Adopted Levels.

¹⁹⁰Tl-Q(ε): From 2017Wa10.

1976Bi09 (also 1974Ha10): Measured: Eγ, Iγ, γγ, ce, β⁺, T_{1/2}. ¹⁹⁰Tl source produced by mass separation of products from Ta(¹⁶O,xn) E= 143 MeV and W(¹⁶O,xn) E=124 MeV. The ce data obtained with Si(Li) and β⁺ data with a plastic scintillator.

1991Ko03 (also 1990Zg01): Measured Eγ, Iγ, γγ, ce for part of the level scheme related to the population of the excited 0⁺ band.

1994De25: Measured Eγ, Iγ, γγ. Data for selected transitions and (mostly for positive parity) levels.

1975Va20 (also 1970Va27): Measured γ, γγ, ce, T_{1/2}. Source produced by mass separation of products from spallation reaction on Pb with 600 MeV protons, ce data obtained with Si(Li) detector.

The decay scheme seems incomplete (in evaluators' opinion) in view of the large gap of 4.3 MeV between Q value and the highest established level. Feedings to different levels are thus considered as poorly known, and given as limits only.

2019O105: ¹⁹⁰Tl ions were produced by a 500-MeV proton beam provided by the TRIUMF main cyclotron impinging on an uranium carbide target, and implanted into a mylar tape at the central focus of the GRIFFIN spectrometer. γ rays were detected with the GRIFFIN array consisting of 15 HPGe clover detectors and 7 cylindrical LaBr₃(Ce) crystals; conversion electrons were detected with a set of 5 in-vacuum L(n)₂-cooled lithium-drifted silicon detectors (PACES) and a fast 1-mm-thin plastic Zero Degree Scintillator (ZDS). Measured Eγ, Iγ, γγ-coin, γγ(t). Deduced levels, T_{1/2}, transition strengths. Comparisons with available data and theoretical calculations.

¹⁹⁰Hg Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
416.38 14	2 ⁺	15 ps 1	
1041.89 17	4 ⁺	5 ps 4	
1099.94 17	2 ⁺		Intensity imbalance=3.0 11.
1278.69 20	0 ⁺		
1558.77 18	2 ⁺		Intensity imbalance=4.4 5.
1571.38 18	2 ⁺		Intensity imbalance=0.8 2.
1657.10 18	3 ⁺		Intensity imbalance=5.4 10.
1772.99 19	6 ⁺	7 ps 4	
1850.76& 20	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)		
1881.43 22	5 ⁻	<40 ps	
1975.23 20	4 ⁺		
2072.8@ 4	(4,5,6) ⁺	<0.2 ns	
2078.26 24	7 ⁻		
2163.02& 21	(4 ⁺)		
2201.03 21	(5) ⁺		
2251.5 3	(6,7) ⁻		
2318.4 4	(8) ⁻		E(level): level proposed (evaluators) on the basis of a similar level in in-beam γ ray work, deexciting through only one transition 240.3γ, mult=M1+E2.
2318.8 3	(4 ⁻ ,5,6 ⁺)		See comment for 2318.4 level. The 240.1γ proposed by 1976Bi09 from this level is assigned to the 2318.4 level, instead. This is because 437.6γ and 1276.7γ are not reported in any of the in-beam γ ray-studies.
2335.3 4	(9 ⁻)		
2343.01& 21	(4 ⁺ ,5 ⁺)		
2365.37& 22	(4 ⁺ ,5,6 ⁺)		
2392.0? 4			
2424.8? 4			
2465.1 3	(8) ⁺		
2509.94 24	6 ⁺		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{190}Tl ε decay (3.6 min) [1976Bi09](#),[1991Ko03](#),[1994De25](#) (continued) ^{190}Hg Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>J^π[‡]</u>
2573.1 [@] 4	(8) ⁺
2821.6 ^{&} 3	

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

[#] From $\gamma\gamma(t)$ measured using $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$ ([2019OI05](#)). Values are recommended in the Adopted Levels.

[@] From [1991Ko03](#).

[&] From [1994De25](#).

 ε, β^+ radiations

The 1099.9, 1558.8 and 1656.9 levels have an apparent ε, β^+ feeding of 16% 10, 4.2% 11, 5.3% 4, 6.5% 10, respectively. The spin values, however, do not permit such feeding. There must be additional γ transitions from higher levels feeding these levels.

The ε, β^+ feedings are considered tentative. The present decay scheme suggests significant β^+ component, whereas the reported value is 2.6% +26-9 ([1975Va20](#)). It is possible that the ε decay proceeds to higher unobserved levels. [1976Bi09](#) do not give the intensity of the annihilation radiation but the presented γ -ray spectrum shows that the intensity of the 511-keV line is $\approx 10\%$.

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>Iβ^+[†]</u>	<u>Iε[†]</u>	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$)[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(4260 2I)	2821.6	<0.3	<0.5	>7.3	<0.8	av $E\beta=1462.4$ 98; $\varepsilon K=0.553$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0969$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.03112$ 20
(4509 2I)	2573.1	<0.59	<1.0	>7.1	<1.6	av $E\beta=1574.9$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.514$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0899$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02886$ 20
(4572 2I)	2509.94	<0.53	<0.87	>7.2	<1.4	av $E\beta=1603.6$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.505$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0882$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02830$ 20
(4617 2I)	2465.1	<2.0	<3.2	>6.6	<5.2	av $E\beta=1623.9$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.498$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0869$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02790$ 20
(4657 [‡] 2I)	2424.8?	<0.63	<0.97	>7.2	<1.6	av $E\beta=1642.2$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.491$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0858$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02755$ 19
(4690 [‡] 2I)	2392.0?	<0.76	<1.1	>7.1	<1.9	av $E\beta=1657.2$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.486$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0849$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02726$ 19
(4717 2I)	2365.37	<1.0	<1.5	>7.0	<2.5	av $E\beta=1669.3$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.482$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0842$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02703$ 19
(4739 2I)	2343.01	<0.94	<1.4	>7.0	<2.3	av $E\beta=1679.4$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.479$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0836$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02683$ 19
(4747 2I)	2335.3	<0.42	<1.6	>8.9 ^{1u}	<2.0	av $E\beta=1639.9$ 95; $\varepsilon K=0.6381$ 25; $\varepsilon L=0.1148$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.03702$ 16
(4763 [‡] 2I)	2318.8	<1.00	<1.4	>7.0	<2.4	av $E\beta=1690.5$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.475$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0830$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02662$ 19
(4764 2I)	2318.4	<2.2	<3.1	>6.7	<5.3	av $E\beta=1690.7$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.475$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0830$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02662$ 19
(4831 2I)	2251.5	<2.7	<3.7	>6.6	<6.4	av $E\beta=1721.1$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.465$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0812$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02604$ 19
(4881 [‡] 2I)	2201.03	<3.0	<3.8	>6.6	<6.8	av $E\beta=1744.1$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.458$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0798$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02561$ 19
(4919 [‡] 2I)	2163.02	<1.2	<1.4	>7.0	<2.6	av $E\beta=1761.5$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.452$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0788$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02529$ 19
(5004 2I)	2078.26	<6.9	<8.1	>6.3	<15	av $E\beta=1800.2$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.440$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0767$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02459$ 18
(5009 [‡] 2I)	2072.8	<0.60	<0.70	>7.4	<1.3	av $E\beta=1802.7$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.439$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0765$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02454$ 18
(5107 [‡] 2I)	1975.23	<0.52	<0.58	>7.5	<1.1	av $E\beta=1847.3$ 99; $\varepsilon K=0.425$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0740$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02375$ 18
5.20×10^3 30	1772.99	<8.7	<8.3	>6.3	<17	av $E\beta=1940$ 10; $\varepsilon K=0.397$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0691$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02216$ 17

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{190}Tl ε decay (3.6 min) [1976Bi09](#),[1991Ko03](#),[1994De25](#) (continued) ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$I\beta^+$</u> †	<u>$I\varepsilon$</u> †	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$</u> †	<u>Comments</u>
(5201 ‡ 2I)	1881.43	<4.1	<11	>8.2 ^{1u}	<15	av $E\beta=1838.2$ 95; $\varepsilon K=0.585$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.1047$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.03373$ 16
(5231 ‡ 2I)	1850.76	<0.70	<0.70	>7.4	<1.4	av $E\beta=1904$ 10; $\varepsilon K=0.408$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0710$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02276$ 17 E(decay): from $E(\beta^+)=4180$ 300 (1976Bi09). Assignment to the 1772.9 level is uncertain.

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

‡ Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(¹⁹⁰Hg)

I_γ normalization: From summed I(γ+ce) to g.s.=100, with the assignment of 15% uncertainty. No direct ε,β⁺ feeding is expected to g.s. About 40% of the ε+β⁺ intensity may be unaccounted, but it is unlikely that this intensity affects the normalization factor, since, for 7⁺ parent, the γ rays from higher unobserved levels are expected to feed levels of higher spins, above the 416, 2⁺ level. 15% uncertainty is assigned to the γ-normalization factor to account for unassigned β feeding and for possible unobserved γ-feedings to the low-lying levels in ¹⁹⁰Hg.

I(K x ray)=151 45 (1975Va20).

I(β⁺)(from γ[±])=2.6% +26-9.

Relative intensities from mixed (3.7 min+2.6 min) activities

E _γ	I _γ 1976Bi09 ±10%	I _γ 1991Ko03	I _γ 1994De25	I _γ 1975Va20
142.7			0.22	
196.8	4.7			7.1 10
240.1	3.7			4.6 8
257.0	1.6			
292.6		0.03 2		
305.3	16			15.8 11
313.7	1.4			
346.5	1.3			2.0 10
370.3	4.6			5.9 10
390.1			0.20	
403.7		0.28 8	0.20	
416.4	100	100 7	100	100
428.1			0.30	
437.6	1.0			
445.1	1.1			2.2 10
458.7	1.0		1.0	1.0 6
466.1				2.0 10
478.3	1.2			
492.1			0.47	
506.0			0.59	
514.5			0.18	
516.8			0.7	1.5 6
529.5		0.18 7	<0.03	
534.7		0.75 6	0.40	
543.9	5.8		5.4	5.3 10
557.0	6.7		5.7	7.7 11
569.9			0.30	
592.6			0.40	
604.3			1.7	
615.4	4.5		3.6	3.9 9
620.4			0.10	
625.4	85.5	75 5	81.7	71 3
658.7			0.73	
683.8	8.0		6.3	7.7 8
685.8			0.60	

692.1	4.9	3.9 3		5.6 10
731.1	38.3	31 2	34.5	30.5 20
736.8		0.34 11	0.40	
751.1	1.2		1.20	5.0 25
800.1		1.63 14		
808.8			0.79	
839.7	24.8			22.0 18
862.3		0.42 3	0.10	
Relative intensities from mixed (3.7 min+2.6 min) activities				
E _γ	I _γ	I _γ	I _γ	I _γ
	1976Bi09	1991Ko03	1994De25	1975Va20
	±10%			
933.4	1.1	0.48 15	0.46	
1030.9		1.35 10		
1099.9	5.1		4.0	4.5 11
1121.3	1.3		0.87	
1142.5	3.8	4.2 3	4.0	4.8 12
1154.9		0.69 6	0.44	
1159.7			0.51	
1194.7	2.3			
1240.9	1.4		0.61	
1276.7	1.4			
1300.8			0.16	
1323.5	1.8		1.7	
1348	0.8			
1434.7			0.14	
1468.1		0.38 3	0.48	
1558.9	1.0	1.22 9	1.2	
1571.2		0.21 12	0.34	

1976Bi09 estimate that 90% of the activity is from 3.7-min activity

E _γ [‡]	I _γ ^{†#f}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [@]	δ [@]	α ^g	Comments
142.7 ^d 3	0.22 ^d 2	2343.01	(4 ⁺ ,5 ⁺)	2201.03	(5) ⁺	[D,E2]		1.6 14	α(K)exp=0.19 3 (1976Bi09); α(K)exp=0.20 4 (1975Va20) α(L)exp=0.15 3 (1975Va20); α(L)exp≤0.13 (1976Bi09) α(K)=0.176 3; α(L)=0.178 3; α(M)=0.0459 7 α(N)=0.01141 17; α(O)=0.00193 3; α(P)=2.20×10 ⁻⁵ 4 α(K)exp gives δ(E2/M1)>5.
196.8 2	5.0 5	2078.26	7 ⁻	1881.43	5 ⁻	E2		0.413	
240.1 3	3.8 4	2318.4	(8) ⁻	2078.26	7 ⁻	E2+M1	1.6 5	0.34 8	α(K)exp=0.34 7 (1975Va20); α(K)exp≈0.2 (1976Bi09) α(L)exp=0.14 6 (1975Va20); α(L)exp=0.064 10 (1976Bi09) α(K)=0.23 8; α(L)=0.082 3; α(M)=0.0204 4 α(N)=0.00508 10; α(O)=0.00089 3; α(P)=3.2×10 ⁻⁵ 11
240.1 ⁱ		2318.8	(4 ⁻ ,5,6 ⁺)	2078.26	7 ⁻				Mult.,δ: from averaged K/L ratio. This γ now assigned to a different level at 2318.3, based on results from in-beam γ-ray work. Some component may, however, deexcite this level also.

¹⁹⁰Tl ε decay (3.6 min) [1976Bi09,1991Ko03,1994De25](#) (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁰Hg) (continued)

E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{†#f}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [@]	δ [@]	α^g	Comments
257.0 ³	1.7 ²	2335.3	(9 ⁻)	2078.26	7 ⁻	(E2)		0.1710	α (K)exp<0.30 (1976Bi09) α (K)=0.0919 ¹⁴ ; α (L)=0.0595 ⁹ ; α (M)=0.01520 ²³ α (N)=0.00378 ⁶ ; α (O)=0.000647 ¹⁰ ; α (P)=1.166×10 ⁻⁵ ¹⁷ Mult.: α (K)exp gives mult=E1 or E2(+M1) with $\delta>0.9$, but mult=Q from $\gamma(\theta)$ in in-beam γ -ray work.
292.6 ^c ³	0.03 ^c ²	1571.38	2 ⁺	1278.69	0 ⁺	[E2]		0.1147	
305.3 ²	17.0 ¹⁷	2078.26	7 ⁻	1772.99	6 ⁺	E1		0.0267	α (K)exp=0.019 ³ (1976Bi09); α (K)exp=0.035 ¹³ (1975Va20); α (L)exp<0.011 (1975Va20) α (K)=0.0220 ³ ; α (L)=0.00362 ⁵ ; α (M)=0.000838 ¹² α (N)=0.000208 ³ ; α (O)=3.84×10 ⁻⁵ ⁶ ; α (P)=2.48×10 ⁻⁶ ⁴
313.7 ⁱ ³	1.5 ²	2392.0?		2078.26	7 ⁻	[D,E2]		0.18 ¹⁵	α (K)exp≤0.17 (1976Bi09) Mult.: E1 or E2(+M1) with $\delta>1$ from α (K)exp.
346.5 ⁱ ³	1.4 ²	2424.8?		2078.26	7 ⁻	[D,E2]		0.13 ¹¹	
370.3 ³	4.9 ⁵	2251.5	(6,7) ⁻	1881.43	5 ⁻	E2(+M1)	>7	0.0598 ¹⁷	α (K)exp=0.036 ⁵ (1976Bi09); α (K)exp=0.07 ³ (1975Va20) α (K)=0.0396 ¹⁵ ; α (L)=0.0153 ³ ; α (M)=0.00383 ⁶ α (N)=0.000955 ¹⁶ ; α (O)=0.000167 ³ ; α (P)=5.19×10 ⁻⁶ ²⁰
390.1 ^{hd} ³	0.20 ^{hd} ²	2163.02	(4 ⁺)	1772.99	6 ⁺	[E2]		0.0507	
390.1 ^{hd} ³	0.20 ^{hd} ²	2365.37	(4 ⁺ ,5,6 ⁺)	1975.23	4 ⁺	[D,E2]		0.10 ⁸	
403.8 ³	0.28 ⁸	1975.23	4 ⁺	1571.38	2 ⁺	E2		0.0462	α (K)exp=0.030 ⁴ (1991Ko03) α (K)=0.0313 ⁵ ; α (L)=0.01127 ¹⁶ ; α (M)=0.00281 ⁴ α (N)=0.000700 ¹⁰ ; α (O)=0.0001230 ¹⁸ ; α (P)=4.12×10 ⁻⁶ ⁶
416.4 ²	100	416.38	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.0427	α (K)exp=0.0093 ¹⁴ (1976Bi09); α (L)exp=0.0106 ¹⁴ (1975Va20) K/L=2.8 ³ (1975Va20); K/L=3.2 ³ (1976Bi09) α (K)=0.0292 ⁵ ; α (L)=0.01017 ¹⁵ ; α (M)=0.00253 ⁴ α (N)=0.000630 ⁹ ; α (O)=0.0001110 ¹⁶ ; α (P)=3.85×10 ⁻⁶ ⁶
428.1 ^d ³	0.30 ^d ³	2201.03	(5 ⁺)	1772.99	6 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.09 ⁵	
437.6 ³	1.0 ¹	2318.8	(4 ⁻ ,5,6 ⁺)	1881.43	5 ⁻	[D,E2]		0.07 ⁶	
^x 445.1 ³	1.1 ^a ¹								γ in coin with 196.8 γ , 416.4 γ and 625.4 γ .
458.7 ³	1.0 ^a ¹	1558.77	2 ⁺	1099.94	2 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.07 ⁴	
^x 466.1 ¹¹	2.0 ¹⁰								E_γ, I_γ : γ from 1975Va20 only, treated as uncertain (evaluators).
478.3 ³	1.3 ¹	2251.5	(6,7) ⁻	1772.99	6 ⁺	[E1]		0.0098	
492.1 ^d ³	0.47 ^d ⁵	2343.01	(4 ⁺ ,5 ⁺)	1850.76	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	[D,E2]		0.05 ⁴	
506.0 ^d ³	0.59 ^d ⁶	2163.02	(4 ⁺)	1657.10	3 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.06 ³	
514.5 ^d ³	0.18 ^d ²	2365.37	(4 ⁺ ,5,6 ⁺)	1850.76	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	[D,E2]		0.05 ⁴	
516.8 ³	0.7 ¹	1558.77	2 ⁺	1041.89	4 ⁺	[E2]		0.0249	E_γ, I_γ : from 1994De25 .
529.7 ³	0.18 ⁷	1571.38	2 ⁺	1041.89	4 ⁺	[E2]		0.0235	
534.7 ³	0.75 ⁶	2509.94	6 ⁺	1975.23	4 ⁺	[E2]		0.0229	
543.9 ²	6.2 ⁶	2201.03	(5 ⁺)	1657.10	3 ⁺	E2		0.0220	α (K)exp=0.014 ² (1976Bi09) α (K)=0.01626 ²³ ; α (L)=0.00439 ⁷ ; α (M)=0.001072 ¹⁵ α (N)=0.000268 ⁴ ; α (O)=4.79×10 ⁻⁵ ⁷ ; α (P)=2.16×10 ⁻⁶ ³

9

¹⁹⁰Tl ε decay (3.6 min) [1976Bi09](#),[1991Ko03](#),[1994De25](#) (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁰Hg) (continued)

E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{‡#f}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [@]	δ [@]	α^g	Comments
557.0 ^b 2	7.0 7	1657.10	3 ⁺	1099.94	2 ⁺	E2+M1	3.5 10	0.024 3	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.019 3$ (1976Bi09); $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.014 6$ (1975Va20) $\alpha(K)=0.019 3$; $\alpha(L)=0.0045 4$; $\alpha(M)=0.00109 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.000271 19$; $\alpha(O)=4.9\times 10^{-5} 4$; $\alpha(P)=2.5\times 10^{-6} 4$
569.9 ^d 3	0.30 ^d 3	2343.01	(4 ⁺ ,5 ⁺)	1772.99	6 ⁺	[D,E2]		0.04 3	
592.6 ^d 3	0.40 ^d 4	2365.37	(4 ⁺ ,5,6 ⁺)	1772.99	6 ⁺	[D,E2]		0.03 2	
604.3 ^d 3	1.7 ^d 2	2163.02	(4 ⁺)	1558.77	2 ⁺	[E2]		0.0173	
615.3 ^b 3	4.7 5	1657.10	3 ⁺	1041.89	4 ⁺	E2+M1	1.3 2	0.030 3	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.024 3$ (1976Bi09); $\alpha(K)\text{exp}<0.04$ (1975Va20) $\alpha(K)=0.024 3$; $\alpha(L)=0.0046 4$; $\alpha(M)=0.00109 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.000272 19$; $\alpha(O)=5.1\times 10^{-5} 4$; $\alpha(P)=3.3\times 10^{-6} 4$
620.4 ^d 3	0.10 ^d 1	2821.6		2201.03	(5) ⁺	[D,E2]		0.029 24	
625.4 2	90 9	1041.89	4 ⁺	416.38	2 ⁺	E2		0.01602	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0130 13$ (1991Ko03); $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0123 18$ (1976Bi09) $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0144 16$ (1975Va20); $\alpha(L)\text{exp}\leq 0.0033$ (1976Bi09) $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.0037 12$ (1975Va20) $\alpha(K)=0.01216 17$; $\alpha(L)=0.00294 5$; $\alpha(M)=0.000712 10$ $\alpha(N)=0.0001778 25$; $\alpha(O)=3.21\times 10^{-5} 5$; $\alpha(P)=1.613\times 10^{-6} 23$
658.7 ^d 3	0.73 ^d 7	2821.6		2163.02	(4 ⁺)	[D,E2]		0.025 20	
683.5 2	7.8 8	1099.94	2 ⁺	416.38	2 ⁺	E2+M1	2.0 +10-5	0.019 3	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.015 3$ (1976Bi09); $\alpha(K)\text{exp}<0.02$ (1975Va20) $\alpha(K)=0.015 3$; $\alpha(L)=0.0029 4$; $\alpha(M)=0.00070 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.000174 20$; $\alpha(O)=3.2\times 10^{-5} 4$; $\alpha(P)=2.0\times 10^{-6} 4$
685.8 ^d 3	0.60 ^d 6	2343.01	(4 ⁺ ,5 ⁺)	1657.10	3 ⁺	[D,E2]		0.022 18	
692.1 2	5.2 5	2465.1	(8) ⁺	1772.99	6 ⁺	E2		0.01283	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0094 10$ (1991Ko03) $\alpha(K)=0.00990 14$; $\alpha(L)=0.00224 4$; $\alpha(M)=0.000538 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.0001343 19$; $\alpha(O)=2.44\times 10^{-5} 4$; $\alpha(P)=1.312\times 10^{-6} 19$ $\delta(E2/M1)>7$.
731.1 2	41 4	1772.99	6 ⁺	1041.89	4 ⁺	E2		0.01141	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0092 9$ (1991Ko03); $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0082 13$ (1976Bi09) $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0066 20$ (1975Va20) $\alpha(K)=0.00887 13$; $\alpha(L)=0.00194 3$; $\alpha(M)=0.000464 7$ $\alpha(N)=0.0001160 17$; $\alpha(O)=2.12\times 10^{-5} 3$; $\alpha(P)=1.175\times 10^{-6} 17$ $\delta(E2/M1)>4$ from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$.
736.9 3	0.34 11	2509.94	6 ⁺	1772.99	6 ⁺	E0+M1+E2		0.080 ^e 8	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.067 7$ (1991Ko03)
751.1 ^d 3	1.20 ^d	1850.76	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	1099.94	2 ⁺	[D,E2]		0.018 14	
800.1 ^c 3	1.63 ^c 14	2573.1	(8) ⁺	1772.99	6 ⁺	E2		0.00945	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0067 14$ (1991Ko03) $\alpha(K)=0.00743 11$; $\alpha(L)=0.001541 22$; $\alpha(M)=0.000368 6$

¹⁹⁰Tl ε decay (3.6 min) **1976Bi09,1991Ko03,1994De25** (continued)

γ(¹⁹⁰Hg) (continued)

E_γ ‡	I_γ †#f	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	δ @	α^g	Comments
									$\alpha(N)=9.19\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(O)=1.684\times 10^{-5}$ 24; $\alpha(P)=9.82\times 10^{-7}$ 14 $\delta(E2/M1)>4$.
808.0 ^d 3	0.79 ^d	1850.76	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	1041.89	4 ⁺	[D,E2]		0.015 12	
839.7 2	26 3	1881.43	5 ⁻	1041.89	4 ⁺	E1		0.00318	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0023$ 4 (1976Bi09) $\alpha(K)=0.00266$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000402$ 6; $\alpha(M)=9.22\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(N)=2.30\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(O)=4.32\times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(P)=3.20\times 10^{-7}$ 5
862.2 3	&	1278.69	0 ⁺	416.38	2 ⁺				
933.4 3	0.48 15	1975.23	4 ⁺	1041.89	4 ⁺	E0+M1+E2		0.066 ^e 7	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.055$ 6 (1991Ko03)
1030.9 ^c 3	1.35 ^c 10	2072.8	(4,5,6) ⁺	1041.89	4 ⁺	M1+E2	1.1 3	0.0095 14	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0078$ 12 (1991Ko03) $\alpha(K)=0.0078$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.00131$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.00031$ 4 $\alpha(N)=7.7\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(O)=1.44\times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(P)=1.06\times 10^{-6}$ 16
1099.9 3	4.8 5	1099.94	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.00502	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0032$ 5 (1976Bi09) $\alpha(K)=0.00406$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000733$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0001722$ 25 $\alpha(N)=4.31\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=8.00\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=5.32\times 10^{-7}$ 8
1121.1 ^d 3	0.87 ^d 9	2163.02	(4 ⁺)	1041.89	4 ⁺				
1142.5 3	3.8 ^a 4	1558.77	2 ⁺	416.38	2 ⁺	E2(+M1)	>2	0.0053 7	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0043$ 6 (1991Ko03) $\alpha(K)=0.0043$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00075$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.000176$ 18 $\alpha(N)=4.4\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=8.2\times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=5.7\times 10^{-7}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=8.9\times 10^{-7}$ 7
1155.0 3	0.69 6	1571.38	2 ⁺	416.38	2 ⁺	E0+M1+E2		0.052 ^e 7	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.043$ 6 (1991Ko03)
1159.7 ^d 3	0.51 ^d 5	2201.03	(5) ⁺	1041.89	4 ⁺				
^x 1194.7 3	2.3 ^a 3								γ in coin with 305.3γ, 416.4γ and 625.4γ.
1240.9 ^b 3	1.5 2	1657.10	3 ⁺	416.38	2 ⁺	(E2)		0.00399	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}<0.0063$ (1976Bi09) $\alpha(K)=0.00325$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.000565$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.0001320$ 19 $\alpha(N)=3.30\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=6.16\times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=4.24\times 10^{-7}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=8.17\times 10^{-6}$ 12
1276.7 3	1.4 2	2318.8	(4 ⁻ ,5,6 ⁺)	1041.89	4 ⁺				Mult.: E2(+M1) with $\delta>1$ or mult=E1 from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$.
1278.7 ^c 3	c&	1278.69	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0			$\alpha(K)\text{exp}\leq 0.012$ (1976Bi09)
1300.8 ^d 3	0.16 ^d 2	2343.01	(4 ⁺ ,5 ⁺)	1041.89	4 ⁺				$\alpha(K)\text{exp}>0.30$ (1991Ko03)
1323.4 ^d 3	1.7 ^d 2	2365.37	(4 ⁺ ,5,6 ⁺)	1041.89	4 ⁺				
^x 1348 1	0.8 ^a 1								
1434.7 ^d 3	0.14 ^d	1850.76	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	416.38	2 ⁺				
1468.1 3	0.38 3	2509.94	6 ⁺	1041.89	4 ⁺	[E2]		0.00296	
1558.8 3	1.22 9	1975.23	4 ⁺	416.38	2 ⁺				
1558.9 3	1.0 ^a 1	1558.77	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				
1571.2 3	0.21 12	1571.38	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				

∞

$\gamma(^{190}\text{Hg})$ (continued)

- † From [1976Bi09](#), unless otherwise stated. For comparison of relative intensities available from [1975Va20](#), [1976Bi09](#), [1991Ko03](#), and [1994De25](#) from a source of mixed (both isomers) activities, see the table below. In adopted gammas, branching ratios have been deduced by considering intensity data from all the four studies. Intensity division among two isomers based on comparison of spectra from two different sources, one (derived mainly from ¹⁹⁰Pb ϵ decay) for which $I(2.6 \text{ min}, 2^-)/I(3.7\text{-min}, 7^+) \approx 2$ and the other (¹⁹⁰Tl produced directly from HI reaction) where $I(2.6 \text{ min}, 2^-)/I(3.7\text{-min}, 7^+) \approx 0.1$. The I_γ values given by [1975Va20](#) and [1974Ha10](#) are for a source containing both isomers but with the main contribution from the 3.7-min, (7⁺) isomer.
- ‡ Generally from [1976Bi09](#). Averages taken when values are also available from [1994De25](#). Uncertainty of 0.2 keV for strong γ rays ($I_\gamma \geq 5$) and 0.3 keV for weaker and poorly resolved lines (evaluators).
- # Uncertainty $\approx 10\%$ ([1976Bi09](#)). The separate intensities for the two isomers obtained by [1976Bi09](#) from solutions of coupled algebraic equations for data from two sources described above.
- @ From $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ ([1976Bi09](#),[1975Va20](#)). The ce data are normalized to 416.4 γ treated as E2, $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0292$. [1976Bi09](#) used $\alpha(\text{K})=0.030$ for 416.4 γ . The uncertainties on $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ and $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}$ values are not given by [1976Bi09](#). The evaluators assume 15% on $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ and $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}$ values. The $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ and $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}$ values deduced from [1975Va20](#) are based on ce and I_γ data given by [1975Va20](#) for a source containing both the activities. The ce data are also available from [1991Ko03](#).
- & γ mainly from 2.6-min activity.
- ^a γ with 3.7-min ¹⁹⁰Tl and/or 2.6-min ¹⁹⁰Tl I_γ is from the source containing $\approx 90\%$ of 3.7-min isomer and $\approx 10\%$ of 2.6-min isomer.
- ^b γ ray may occur in 2.6-min ¹⁹⁰Tl decay also.
- ^c From [1991Ko03](#). The γ ray belongs to either or both the isomers.
- ^d From [1994De25](#) only. The γ ray belongs to either or both the isomers. Uncertainty of 10% assigned to intensity (evaluators).
- ^e Deduced from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}} + 1.2(\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}})$.
- ^f For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.91 *I*₄.
- ^g Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.
- ^h Multiply placed with undivided intensity.
- ⁱ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.
- ^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{190}Tl ϵ decay (3.6 min) 1976Bi09,1991Ko03,1994De25

Decay Scheme (continued)

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- Coincidence

Intensities: $I(\gamma+ce)$ per 100 parent decays
& Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

$^{190}\text{Tl}_{109}^{7+}$ $^{190}\text{Hg}_{110}^{83}$ 3.6 min 3
 $Q_\epsilon = 6999.18$
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100$

