

**$^{189}\text{Pb}$   $\epsilon$  decay (39 s) 2009Sa09**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. D. Johnson, Balraj Singh		NDS 142, 1 (2017)	15-Apr-2017

Parent:  $^{189}\text{Pb}$ :  $E=0$ ;  $J^\pi=(3/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=39$  s 8;  $Q(\epsilon)=6772$  16;  $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay  $\approx 100.0$

$^{189}\text{Pb}$ - $J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{189}\text{Pb}$  Adopted Levels.

$^{189}\text{Pb}$ - $Q(\epsilon)$ : from 2017Wa10.

$^{189}\text{Pb}$ - $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay:  $\% \alpha \leq 0.40$  (from  $^{189}\text{Pb}$  Adopted Levels).

Tentative level scheme according to 2009Sa09.

$^{189}\text{Pb}$  source was formed in U(p,X) reaction ( $\text{UC}_x$  target) with a beam energy of 1.4 GeV.  $^{189}\text{Pb}$  was also excited using a laser beam at resonant frequencies from RILIS at the ISOLDE facility at CERN.

Two experiments were performed:

1. Measured  $\beta\gamma$  coin using  $4\pi$   $\beta$  plastic scintillator and three Ge detectors (one planar HPGe and two Ge detectors). The  $\gamma$  rays in  $^{189}\text{Pb}$  were also identified in hyperfine laser spectroscopy from low-lying levels.
2. Measured  $E_\gamma, I_\gamma, \gamma\gamma$  coin using two HPGe detectors with Be window. Hyperfine laser spectroscopy was also carried out. Comparison with rotor plus particle model calculations.

$^{189}\text{Tl}$  Levels

Expected configurations are from 2009Sa09 based on axial-rotor coupled to one quasiparticle (Hartree-Fock+BCS) calculations for oblate and prolate deformations.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	Expected configuration $\pi 1/2[400]$ (prolate).
318.8? 2	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	Expected configuration $\pi 1/2[400]$ (prolate).
462.8? 5	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	Expected configuration= $\pi 3/2[402]$ (prolate).
667.4? 2	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	Expected configuration= $\pi 3/2[532]$ (prolate).
884.9? 5		
1032.5? 5		
1368.9? 5		
1489.8? 11		
1716.7? 5		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E_\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

$\gamma(^{189}\text{Tl})$

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>‡</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
217.5@ 4	1.7 3	884.9?		667.4? (3/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
318.8@ 2	29# 10	318.8?	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0 (1/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
365.1@ 4	2.3 3	1032.5?		667.4? (3/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
422.1@ 2	5.0 8	884.9?		462.8? (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
463.7@ 2	13# 3	462.8?	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0 (1/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
667.4@ 2	9.6 15	667.4?	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0 (1/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1050.1@ 4	2.8 4	1368.9?		318.8? (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1171@ 1	$\approx 2.6$	1489.8?		318.8? (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		$E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : from $\gamma\gamma$ coin data.
1397.9@ 4	1.0 2	1716.7?		318.8? (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

$^{189}\text{Pb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (39 s)    [2009Sa09](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{189}\text{Tl})$  (continued)

† General uncertainty is quoted by [2009Sa09](#) as 0.2 keV for  $I_{\gamma}>3$  and 0.4 keV for weaker lines. Uncertainty of 1 keV is assigned by the evaluators when  $E_{\gamma}$  quoted to nearest keV.

‡ General uncertainty is quoted by [2009Sa09](#) as 15%.

# From hyperfine spectrum in laser spectroscopy. Doublet, one component from the decay of the high-spin isomer and the other from the decay of the low-spin isomer of  $^{189}\text{Pb}$ .

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{189}\text{Pb}$   $\epsilon$  decay (39 s) 2009Sa09

## Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - - -→  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

## Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 