

^{189}Au ε decay (4.59 min) 1973Ja16,1970Fi16,1970ErZX

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. D. Johnson, Balraj Singh		NDS 142, 1 (2017)	15-Apr-2017

Parent: ^{189}Au : E=247.25 16; $J^\pi=11/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=4.59$ min 11; $Q(\varepsilon)=2887$ 22; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

^{189}Au -Q(ε): From 2017Wa10.

^{189}Au -E, J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From ^{189}Au Adopted Levels.

^{189}Au -Large decay energy suggests additional γ rays and levels are probably present.

1973Ja16: measured E_γ , I_γ , ce.

1970Fi16: measured E_γ , I_γ .

1970ErZX: measured E_γ , $T_{1/2}$.

Others: 1978DaZC, 1976Pi03, 1967He06, 1967Al17, 1966Fo13.

Level scheme is considered by the evaluators as incomplete.

 ^{189}Pt Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$3/2^-$		
6.3 5	$5/2^-$		
172.7 5	$9/2^-$	464 ns 25	$T_{1/2}$: from 1970Fi16 based on $\gamma\gamma(t)$.
191.8 11	$(13/2^+)$		E(level): observed in $^{188}\text{Os}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$ (1978DaZC), expected to be populated either directly by ε decay or indirectly by γ -ray deexcitation of higher levels.
493.8 7	$11/2^-$		

† From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+^\dagger$	$I\varepsilon^\dagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^\dagger$	Comments
(2640 22)	493.8	≈ 1	≈ 12	5.6	≈ 13	av $E\beta=737.2$ 97; $\varepsilon K=0.7639$ 20; $\varepsilon L=0.1330$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.04234$ 14
(2962 22)	172.7	≈ 9	≈ 78	4.9	≈ 87	av $E\beta=879.0$ 98; $\varepsilon K=0.730$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.1264$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.04021$ 17

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{189}\text{Pt})$

I_γ normalization: Assuming $I(\gamma+ce)(166.4\gamma)=100$, and unobserved transition intensity predominantly deexcites through the 173 level.

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$\alpha^\ddagger$	Comments
(6.3 5)		6.3	$5/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$	[M1]	9.7×10^2 27	$\alpha(M)=7.5 \times 10^2$ 21 $\alpha(N)=1.86 \times 10^2$ 52; $\alpha(O)=33.3$ 94; $\alpha(P)=2.24$ 63 E_γ : unobserved transition.
(19.1)		191.8	$(13/2^+)$	172.7	$9/2^-$	[M2]	3.39×10^4 99	$\alpha(L)=2.50 \times 10^4$ 73; $\alpha(M)=6.9 \times 10^3$ 21 $\alpha(N)=1.73 \times 10^3$ 51; $\alpha(O)=2.96 \times 10^2$ 87; $\alpha(P)=14.3$ 42 E_γ : unobserved transition.
166.40 5	100 5	172.7	$9/2^-$	6.3	$5/2^-$	E2	0.684	L1:L2:L3=35 10:115 25:60 20; $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.19$ 5 $\alpha(K)=0.267$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.314$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0805$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.0197$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.00310$ 5; $\alpha(P)=2.53 \times 10^{-5}$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{189}Au ε decay (4.59 min) [1973Ja16](#),[1970Fi16](#),[1970ErZX](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{189}\text{Pt})$ (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>$I_\gamma^\ddagger$</u>	<u>$E_i(\text{level})$</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>δ</u>	<u>$\alpha^\dagger$</u>	<u>Comments</u>
									4 %I γ =59.3, using the calculated normalization. E_γ : from 1970Fi16 . α : from 1970Fi16 . $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0522$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0220$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00549$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001346$ 21; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000220$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.31 \times 10^{-6}$ 8 E_γ : From 1970Fi16 . Transition placed by the evaluators on the basis of Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset. I_γ : from 1973Ja16 . Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
321.1 5	20 4	493.8	11/2 ⁻	172.7	9/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-16.2 +17-21	0.0813	

[†] From BrIcc v2.3b (16-Dec-2014) [2008Ki07](#), “Frozen Orbitals” appr.

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.594 30.

^{189}Au ε decay (4.59 min) 1973Ja16,1970Fi16,1970ErZX

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- γ Decay (Uncertain)

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
