

$^{189}\text{Ir } \varepsilon$ decay (13.2 d) 1970Ma37, 1969Gr10, 1962Ha24

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. D. Johnson, Balraj Singh		NDS 142, 1 (2017)	15-Apr-2017

Parent: ^{189}Ir : E=0.0; $J^\pi=3/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=13.2$ d *I*; $Q(\varepsilon)=537$ *I3*; % ε decay=100.0

$^{189}\text{Ir}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{189}Ir Adopted Levels.

$^{189}\text{Ir}-Q(\varepsilon)$: From 2017Wa10.

1970Ma37: measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $E(\text{ce})$, $I(\text{ce})$, $(\text{ce})\text{ce}(\text{t})$.

1969Gr10: measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, (x ray)(ce)(t).

Others: 1971Be71 ($\gamma\gamma(\theta)$), 1966Sy01, 1963Cr06.

 ^{189}Os Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	$3/2^-$		
30.79 4	$9/2^-$	5.81 h <i>I0</i>	
36.173 25	$1/2^-$	0.53 ns <i>3</i>	
69.512 24	$5/2^-$	1.62 ns <i>4</i>	$T_{1/2}$: weighted average of delayed coincidence measurements 1.59 ns <i>8</i> (1970Ma37), 1.63 ns <i>4</i> (1969Gr10), and 1.7 ns <i>I</i> (1969An17) in $^{189}\text{Ir } \varepsilon$ decay.
95.23 3	$3/2^-$	0.23 ns <i>3</i>	$T_{1/2}$: other: <0.3 ns (1969Gr10).
216.64 4	$7/2^-$		
219.36 5	$7/2^-$		
233.53 6	$5/2^-$		
275.84 5	$5/2^-$	0.17 ns <i>3</i>	J^π : 3/2,5/2 from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in 1971Be71.
438.64 6	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$		

[†] From Adopted Levels.

[‡] From 1970Ma37, except where noted otherwise, based on $\gamma\gamma(\text{t})$.

 ε radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ [†]	Log <i>ft</i>	Comments
(98 <i>I3</i>)	438.64	0.076 9	7.8 3	$\varepsilon K=0.27$ <i>I7</i> ; $\varepsilon L=0.53$ <i>I2</i> ; $\varepsilon M+=0.20$ 6
(261 <i>I3</i>)	275.84	10.1 <i>I1</i>	7.0 1	$\varepsilon K=0.724$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.206$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0694$ 22
(303 <i>I3</i>)	233.53	1.09 <i>I2</i>	8.1 1	$\varepsilon K=0.743$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.193$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0642$ 14
(442 <i>I3</i>)	95.23	11.6 <i>I7</i>	7.5 1	$\varepsilon K=0.7740$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.1705$ 14; $\varepsilon M+=0.0555$ 6
(467 <i>I3</i>)	69.512	35 5	7.1 1	$\varepsilon K=0.7774$ 17; $\varepsilon L=0.1680$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=0.0546$ 5
(501 <i>I3</i>)	36.173	2.0 <i>I7</i>	8.4 4	$\varepsilon K=0.7811$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.1653$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0535$ 4
(537 <i>I3</i>)	0.0	40 7	7.2 1	$\varepsilon K=0.7846$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.1628$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.0526$ 4

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹⁸⁹Ir ε decay (13.2 d) 1970Ma37,1969Gr10,1962Ha24 (continued) $\gamma(^{189}\text{Os})$

I γ normalization: From I(K x ray)=1270 70 (1970Ma37) and corrections for fluorescence yield, internal conversion, and I(K x ray)/I ε .

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ angular correlations (1971Be71). NaI(Tl), resolving time of 50 ns, chemical separation of sources. Assignments below were made by evaluators assuming $J^\pi(0)=3/2^-$, $J^\pi(69)=5/2^-$, and $J^\pi(95)=3/2^-$ from Adopted Levels. All parities are from Adopted Levels.

Experimental conversion coefficients from 1970Ma37, 1962Ha24. Other: 1966Sy01. Normalized assuming $\alpha(\text{K})\exp(245\gamma)=0.101$.

E γ ^a	I γ ^b	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	δ	α ^a	I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$ ^b	Comments
25.65 [‡] 10	0.40 5	95.23	3/2 ⁻	69.512	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.11 +5-3	84 34		$\alpha(L)=64.26; \alpha(M)=15.3.65$ $\alpha(N)=3.7.16; \alpha(O)=0.60.23; \alpha(P)=0.0293.6$ $\alpha(L1)\exp=55.18; L1:L2:L3=22.7:12.5.38:4.2+70-42$
30.80 [‡] 4		30.79	9/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M3+E4	0.04 2	$3.13\times10^5.10$	124 4	$\text{ce}(L)/(\gamma+ce)=0.707.19; \text{ce}(M)/(\gamma+ce)=0.227.11$ $\text{ce}(N)/(\gamma+ce)=0.057.3; \text{ce}(O)/(\gamma+ce)=0.0085.5;$ $\text{ce}(P)/(\gamma+ce)=0.000152.6$ $\alpha(L)=2.21\times10^5.6; \alpha(M)=7.1\times10^4.4$ $\alpha(N)=1.79\times10^4.9; \alpha(O)=2.66\times10^3.10; \alpha(P)=47.6.8$ L1:L2:L3:M1:M3=17.4 10:1.8 30:90 6:6.0 15:26 8. Others: 1962Ha24, 1966Sy01.
33.31 [‡] 4	0.113 14	69.512	5/2 ⁻	36.173	1/2 ⁻	E2		723		I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from intensity balance assuming no direct ε feeding. Other: I $_{(\gamma+ce)}=153.10$ from ce(L3)(30.8 γ)/I γ (69.5 γ)=90.5/59.6.
36.17 [‡] 4	11.2 10	36.173	1/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.046 5	20.2 4		$\alpha(L)=546.9; \alpha(M)=138.5.21$ $\alpha(N)=33.0.5; \alpha(O)=4.83.8; \alpha(P)=0.00382.6$ L2/L3=0.94 8 (1970Ma37) I $_\gamma$: from ce(L3)(33 γ)/I γ (69.6)=33.2/59.6.
56.50 [‡] 4	2.5 5	275.84	5/2 ⁻	219.36	7/2 ⁻	M1		5.17		$\alpha(L)=15.6.3; \alpha(M)=3.60.7$ $\alpha(N)=0.877.17; \alpha(O)=0.150.3; \alpha(P)=0.01064.16$ $\alpha(L1)\exp=12.1; L1:L2:L3=135.6:21.3.6:4.4.20$ L1:L2:L3:M:N=360:50:13:140:45 (1962Ha24).
59.05 ^{c‡} 2	20 ^c 2	95.23	3/2 ⁻	36.173	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.085 10	4.83 10		$\alpha(L)\exp=3.6.4; L1:L2:L3:M1=75.8:8.8.10:3.0$ 30:25 19 $\alpha(L)=3.72.8; \alpha(M)=0.860.19$ $\alpha(N)=0.210.5; \alpha(O)=0.0358.7; \alpha(P)=0.00250.4$ δ : other: 0.06 4 from ε decay. I $_\gamma$: I γ (59.0 γ +59.3 γ)=21.2. See comment on 59.3 γ .

<u>$\gamma(^{189}\text{Os})$</u> (continued)									
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma @b$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	$\delta^&$	a^a	Comments
59.05 ^c 2	$\approx 1^c$	275.84	$5/2^-$	216.64	$7/2^-$	(M1+E2)	≈ 0.9	≈ 22.1	$\alpha(L) \approx 16.70; \alpha(M) \approx 4.22$ $\alpha(N) \approx 1.011; \alpha(O) \approx 0.1510; \alpha(P) \approx 0.001547$ L1/L2≈5 (1962Ha24) L1 not completely resolved. I_γ : from ce(L3)(59.3γ)/Iγ(245γ)≈0.03. δ: estimated from intensity balance through 216.7 level assuming no feeding to that level. E_γ : note that this transition is not confirmed in (n,γ) γγ coin measurements.
69.52 ^d 3	59 6	69.512	$5/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$	M1+E2	+0.683 25	8.3 3	$\alpha(L2)\text{exp}=3.0$ 3 L1:L2:L3=100 5:180 5:169 5 $\alpha(L)=6.26$ 23; $\alpha(M)=1.56$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.375$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.0573$ 20; $\alpha(P)=0.00113$ 3 L1:L2:L3:M:N=490:830:780:570:180 (1962Ha24). δ: other: 0.70 3 from ce data in ε decay. Sign from 1968Ku17, 1968Pe09, 1972Wa24.
95.23 ^d 4	6.4 5	95.23	$3/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$	M1+E2	+0.32 2	6.37	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=5.3$ 6 $\alpha(K)=4.93$ 9; $\alpha(L)=1.10$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.262$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.0635$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.0105$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.000577$ 10 δ: other: 0.29 4 from ε decay. K:L1:L2:L3=33.8 8:4.8 8:1.35 15:0.97 20.
^x 97.8 138.3 1	<0.01 1.14 13	233.53	$5/2^-$	95.23	$3/2^-$	M1+E2	-0.8 2	1.84 13	$\alpha(K)=1.29$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.42$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.102$ 11 $\alpha(N)=0.025$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.0039$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.000146$ 23 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.22$; K:L12:L3=16 8:2.0 10:0.8 (138γ)(95γ)(θ): A ₂ =−0.123 19, A ₄ =−0.004 9; for δ(95)=+0.32: J(233)=1/2, δ(138)=+0.19; J(233)=3/2, δ(138)=−0.37; J(233)=5/2, δ(138)=+0.043. K,L12 from 1966Sy01 and L3 from 1962Ha24. From 1962Ha24 K=13, and L1,L2 were reported as a composite of 2 different lines and M was observed but not completely resolved. $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ calculated using intensities from 1962Ha24.
147.1 1	1.80 14	216.64	$7/2^-$	69.512	$5/2^-$	M1+E2	-1.0 +4−3	1.43 21	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.73$ $\alpha(K)=0.96$ 28; $\alpha(L)=0.36$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.088$ 15 $\alpha(N)=0.021$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.0033$ 5; $\alpha(P)=1.07 \times 10^{-4}$ 35 (147γ)(69γ)(θ): A ₂ =−0.184 34, A ₄ =+0.049 19; J(217)=7/2. K:L1:L2:L3=130:~25:~20:~15. Intensities obtained by multiplying by a factor of 10 to compare with γ intensity from 1970Ma37. δ: other: −1.2 +34−4 from ε decay.
149.9 1	1.41 10	219.36	$7/2^-$	69.512	$5/2^-$	E2		0.915	$\alpha(K)=0.352$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.425$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.1082$ 16

$\gamma^{(189)\text{Os}}$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma @b$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	&	δ^a	α^a	Comments
164.0 1	1.23 6	233.53	5/2 ⁻	69.512	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	<1.7	1.1 3		$\alpha(N)=0.0260~4; \alpha(O)=0.00388~6; \alpha(P)=3.20\times 10^{-5}~5$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.43$ (1962Ha24) K:L2:L3:M:N=6:3.5:2.4:2.2:6.3. L2 not completely resolved.
180.5 1	0.49 5	275.84	5/2 ⁻	95.23	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	>0.2	0.75 28		$\alpha(K)=0.82~32; \alpha(L)=0.22~4; \alpha(M)=0.054~12$ $\alpha(N)=0.013~3; \alpha(O)=0.0021~4; \alpha(P)=9.3\times 10^{-5}~40$ K/L1=7.3; $\alpha(K)\exp=1.1$ (1962Ha24) δ: deduced assuming 50% uncertainty for $\alpha(K)\exp$ and K/L1. $\alpha(K)=0.53~32; \alpha(L)=0.166~24; \alpha(M)=0.040~8$ $\alpha(N)=0.0098~18; \alpha(O)=0.00155~19; \alpha(P)=5.9\times 10^{-5}~39$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.86$ (1966Sy01) (180γ)(95γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.087~15, A_4=+0.066~63; J(276)=1/2,3/2,5/2;$ $\delta(95\gamma)=+0.32$. K/L=3.0 15/2.8 10.
185.85 1	3.0 3	216.64	7/2 ⁻	30.79	9/2 ⁻	M1+E2	<0.5	0.91 6		$\alpha(K)\exp$ is calculated using intensities from 1962Ha24 where K=3.2. K/L1≈7.2; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.82$ (1962Ha24) $\alpha(K)=0.74~6; \alpha(L)=0.133~5; \alpha(M)=0.0309~14$ $\alpha(N)=0.0075~4; \alpha(O)=0.00128~4; \alpha(P)=8.6\times 10^{-5}~8$
188.6 1	0.86 7	219.36	7/2 ⁻	30.79	9/2 ⁻	M1+E2	1.5 +10-4	0.57 9		δ: deduced assuming 50% uncertainty for $\alpha(K)\exp$ and K/L1. $\alpha(K)=0.373~97; \alpha(L)=0.147~6; \alpha(M)=0.0362~20$ $\alpha(N)=0.0087~5; \alpha(O)=0.00137~5; \alpha(P)=4.0\times 10^{-5}~12$ K/L3≈10; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.39$ (1962Ha24) δ: deduced assuming $\alpha(K)\exp$ and K/L3 uncertainties are 50%. $\alpha(K)=0.1755~25; \alpha(L)=0.1298~19; \alpha(M)=0.0327~5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00787~12; \alpha(O)=0.001189~17; \alpha(P)=1.642\times 10^{-5}~23$ K/L2≈5.3; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.40$ (1962Ha24) (197γ)(36γ)(θ): isotropic; J(36 level)=1/2.
197.4 1	4.4 2	233.53	5/2 ⁻	36.173	1/2 ⁻	E2		0.347		L2 was not completely resolved.
206.3 1	1.33 5	275.84	5/2 ⁻	69.512	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	>1.9	0.35 5		$\alpha(K)=0.20~5; \alpha(L)=0.1067~20; \alpha(M)=0.0266~7$ $\alpha(N)=0.00641~16; \alpha(O)=0.000984~16; \alpha(P)=2.07\times 10^{-5}~60$ K/L≈2.6; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.15$ (1962Ha24) (206γ)(69γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.100~20, A_4=+0.058~38; J(276)=3/2,5/2,7/2.$
216.7 1	8.6 4	216.64	7/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	E2		0.254		δ: deduced assuming uncertainties for $\alpha(K)\exp$ and K/L to be 50% percent. $\alpha(K)=0.1376~20; \alpha(L)=0.0881~13; \alpha(M)=0.0221~4$ $\alpha(N)=0.00532~8; \alpha(O)=0.000809~12; \alpha(P)=1.307\times 10^{-5}~19$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.14$ (1962Ha24) K:L2:L3:M=15:4.5:3.1:2; L2 not completely resolved.
219.4 1	8.8 4	219.36	7/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	E2		0.244		$\alpha(K)=0.1332~19; \alpha(L)=0.0837~12; \alpha(M)=0.0210~3$ $\alpha(N)=0.00506~8; \alpha(O)=0.000769~11; \alpha(P)=1.268\times 10^{-5}~18$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.93$ (1962Ha24) K:L1:L2:L3:M=13:≈1.5:4.5:2.4:2.1. L2 was not completely resolved.
233.5 1	5.0 2	233.53	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	1.7 +6-3	0.28 4		K/L3=16; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.22$ (1962Ha24) $\alpha(K)=0.19~3; \alpha(L)=0.0658~10; \alpha(M)=0.01612~24$

¹⁸⁹Ir ε decay (13.2 d) 1970Ma37,1969Gr10,1962Ha24 (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{189}\text{Os})$</u> (continued)									
E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\text{@}b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^c	$\delta^{\&}$	$a^{\text{@}}$	Comments
245.1 <i>I</i>	100	275.84	5/2 ⁻	30.79	9/2 ⁻	E2		0.1703	$\alpha(N)=0.00389$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000614$ 11; $\alpha(P)=2.1\times 10^{-5}$ 4 δ : other: 1.4 +10-4 from ε decay. $\alpha(K)=0.0997$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.0535$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.01338$ 19 $\alpha(N)=0.00322$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000493$ 7; $\alpha(P)=9.67\times 10^{-6}$ 14 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.59$ (1962Ha24) K:L1:L2:L3:M:N=100:~15:25:18:14:4.
275.8 <i>I</i>	9.0 5	275.84	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	1.8 2	0.167 10	1962Ha24 note this a recurring transition listed twice. $\alpha(K)=0.120$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0360$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.00874$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.00211$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000337$ 7; $\alpha(P)=1.29\times 10^{-5}$ 11 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.2$ K:L1:L2:L3:M1=14:2.7:~1.3:0.8:1.
343.2 [#] <i>I</i>	0.91 6	438.64	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	95.23	3/2 ⁻	[M1]		0.180	δ : deduced assuming 50 percent uncertainty for $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ and all ratios. $\alpha(K)=0.1491$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.0237$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00543$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.001327$ 19; $\alpha(O)=0.000229$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.717\times 10^{-5}$ 24
^x 369.1	<0.01								
402.8 [#] <i>I</i>	0.11 1	438.64	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	36.173	1/2 ⁻	[M1]		0.1173	$\alpha(K)=0.0973$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.01541$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.00353$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000861$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.0001489$ 21; $\alpha(P)=1.117\times 10^{-5}$ 16 E $_\gamma$: somewhat poor fit, level-energy difference=402.46.
438.5 [#] <i>I</i>	0.06 2	438.64	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	[M1]		0.0936	$\alpha(K)=0.0777$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.01228$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.00281$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000686$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.0001186$ 17; $\alpha(P)=8.91\times 10^{-6}$ 13

^d Weighted average of 1963Cr06 and 1970Ma37 (from ¹⁸⁹Ir ε decay), and 1973Ho27 and 1979Sa18 (from ¹⁸⁹Re β^- decay), unless otherwise noted. Uncertainty of 0.1 keV assumed when not available.

^e From electron measurements in 1970Ma37.

[#] Only in 1970Ma37.

[@] From 1970Ma37.

[&] From Adopted Gammas.

^a From BrIcc v2.3b (16-Dec-2014) 2008Ki07, "Frozen Orbitals" appr.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.060 6.

^c Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{189}Ir ϵ decay (13.2 d) 1970Ma37,1969Gr10,1962Ha24

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

@ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

