

$^{191}\text{Ir}(\text{p},\text{t}) \quad 1978\text{Lo07}, 1978\text{St09}$ 

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. D. Johnson, Balraj Singh	NDS 142, 1 (2017)	15-Apr-2017

 $J^\pi(^{191}\text{Ir g.s.})=3/2^+$ .

**1978Lo07:** E=18 MeV. Measured triton spectra,  $\sigma(\theta)$  from  $10^\circ$  to  $75^\circ$  in steps of  $5^\circ$  using Enge-split pole magnetic spectrograph and nuclear emulsions. Estimated FWHM $\approx$ 10 keV, as in authors' other (p,t) experiments for example in **1975St08** for  $^{165}\text{Er}$  experiment. DWBA analysis of  $\sigma(\theta)$  data. Enriched target. Deduced levels, L-transfer,  $J^\pi$ , Q value.

**1978St09:** E=34.7 MeV. Measured triton spectra,  $\sigma(\theta)$  from  $15^\circ$  to  $60^\circ$  in steps of  $5^\circ$  using a Q3D magnetic spectrograph and a position-sensitive wire proportional counter, FWHM=13 keV. Absolute cross sections accurate to 25% while the relative values are within 4%. Enriched target. Deduced levels, L-transfers, J,  $\pi$ .

 $^{189}\text{Ir}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>a</sup>	L <sup>b</sup>	dσ/dΩ ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ) <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0 <sup>c</sup>	0	469	E=0, $\sigma=243.8$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). $3/2^+$ bandhead of $\pi 3/2[402]$ band.
95 <sup>d</sup>	3	(2)	E=93, $\sigma=2.2$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). $1/2^+$ bandhead of $\pi 1/2[400]$ band.
114 <sup>c</sup>	3	(2)	E=112, $\sigma=15.8$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). $5/2^+$ member of $\pi 3/2[402]$ band.
178 <sup>d</sup>	3	6	E=173, $\sigma=1.4$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). L: $\sigma(\theta)$ for 173 level in <b>1978St09</b> is given under L=0 cases in their Fig. 3, but $\sigma(\theta)$ distribution for this level in <b>1978St09</b> as well as in <b>1978Lo07</b> differs significantly from those for other L=0 transitions. $3/2^+$ member of $\pi 1/2[400]$ band.
301 <sup>c</sup>	3	(2)	E=296, $\sigma=16.6$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). $7/2^+$ member of $\pi 3/2[402]$ band.
318 <sup>d</sup>	3	(2)	E=312, $\sigma=3.1$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). $5/2^+$ member of $\pi 1/2[400]$ band.
371 <sup>@</sup>	3	<sup>a</sup>	Tentatively interpreted as $\pi 5/2[402]$ state ( <b>1978St09</b> ). $\sigma=0.2$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). Possible $\pi h_{11/2}$ , $J^\pi=11/2^-$ state.
454 <sup>c</sup>	3	<sup>a</sup>	E=453, $\sigma=3.9$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). $9/2^+$ member of $\pi 3/2[402]$ band.
644 <sup>@</sup>	3	(2)	$\sigma=0.3$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). Tentatively interpreted as $\pi 5/2[402]$ state ( <b>1978St09</b> ).
722 <sup>e</sup>	3	0	E=719, $\sigma=6.2$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). $3/2^+$ member of $\pi 1/2[411]$ band.
753	3	(2)	E=748, $\sigma=3.4$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). Interpreted as $7/2^+$ , $\gamma$ -vibrational state based on the g.s. ( <b>1978Lo07</b> ).
794 <sup>@</sup>	3	<sup>a</sup>	$\sigma=0.3$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ).
838 <sup>@</sup>	3	<sup>a</sup>	$\sigma=0.4$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ).
914	3	0	E=916, $\sigma=8.0$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ).
964 <sup>@</sup>	3	<sup>a</sup>	$\sigma=1.2$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ).
1052 <sup>@</sup>	3	(0)	$\sigma=0.3$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ). L: from <b>1978St09</b> , fit only at lower angles.
1175 <sup>b</sup>	3	3	E=1184, $\sigma=3.4$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ) The 1184 keV value is used in the Adopted Levels supported by $\gamma$ transitions.
1202 <sup>b</sup>	3	0	E=1213, $\sigma=5.4$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ).
1248 <sup>b</sup>	3	0	E=1261, $\sigma=19.1$ mb ( <b>1978St09</b> ).
1345 <sup>&amp;</sup>	3	4	L: from <b>1978Lo07</b> .
1506 <sup>&amp;</sup>	3	0	13

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 **$^{191}\text{Ir}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t})$     1978Lo07,1978St09 (continued)**

---

 **$^{189}\text{Ir}$  Levels (continued)**

<sup>†</sup> From 1978Lo07, except where indicated. Values from 1978St09 are given in comments.

<sup>‡</sup> L=0 values are from 1978St09 and 1978Lo07, unless otherwise stated. The L=2 and other assignments are from 1978St09.

Evaluators treat L=2 assignments in 1978St09 as tentative since these are not supported by DWBA analysis or any other scattering model considerations.

<sup>#</sup> From 1978Lo07 at 20°. Authors give values at 40° also. Relative cross sections are known to 10% whereas absolute  $\sigma$  are within 20%. Summed cross sections in  $\mu\text{b}$  are provided by 1978St09 and listed here under comments.

<sup>@</sup> Level observed only by 1978St09. Energy uncertainty is not given by the authors, assigned here as 3 keV by the evaluators.

<sup>&</sup> Level observed only by 1978Lo07.

<sup>a</sup> Not 0 or 2.

<sup>b</sup> Taken from 1978Lo07.

<sup>c</sup> Band(A):  $\pi 3/2[402]$  band.

<sup>d</sup> Band(B):  $\pi 1/2[400]$  band.

<sup>e</sup> Band(C):  $\pi 1/2[411]$  band.

$^{191}\text{Ir}(\text{p},\text{t})$     **1978Lo07,1978St09**

Band(C):  $\pi 1/2[411]$  band

722

Band(A):  $\pi 3/2[402]$  band

454

Band(B):  $\pi 1/2[400]$  band

318

301

178

114

95

0

$^{189}_{77}\text{Ir}_{112}$