

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Juutinen, D. J. Hartley		NDS 150, 1 (2018)	1-Feb-2018

Q( $\beta^-$ )=5056 55; S(n)=4788 78; S(p)=8084 5Y; Q( $\alpha$ )=375 5Y 2017Wa10  
 $\Delta$ (S(p))=303,  $\Delta$ (Q( $\alpha$ ))=303 (syst,2017Wa10).

<sup>188</sup>Ta Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A <sup>9</sup>Be(<sup>208</sup>Pb,X $\gamma$ )

E(level)	J $^\pi$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0	(1 $^-$ )	19.6 s 20	A	<p><math>\% \beta^- = 100</math></p> <p>J<math>^\pi</math>: Based on the large total intensity for the 142.9<math>\gamma</math>, depopulating the 2<math>^+</math> state of <sup>188</sup>W, which is fed in <math>\beta</math>-decay of <sup>188</sup>Ta and the proposed configuration. The assignment is tentative. The ground state for even-N Ta (Z=73) nuclei are assigned 7/2<math>^+</math>, resulting from the <math>\pi</math>7/2[404] orbital. Considering the observed neutron states in <sup>187</sup>W (N=113), a neighbouring nucleus that is expected to have similar deformation as <sup>188</sup>Ta, (0.0 keV, 3/2[512]; 145.7 keV, 1/2<math>^-</math>[510]; 330 keV, 7/2[503]; 350 keV, 9/2[505] and 410 keV, 11/2[615]), one may expect that the <math>\nu</math>7/2[503] orbital is closest to the Fermi surface in <sup>188</sup>Ta (N=115), and hence, the <math>\pi</math>7/2[404]<math>\otimes</math><math>\nu</math>7/2[503] configuration. The Gallagher-Moskowsky rule favours the K<math>^\pi</math>=0<math>^-</math> over 7<math>^-</math> assignment. The J<math>^\pi</math>=1<math>^-</math> state within this configuration may be lower than the expected K<math>^\pi</math>=0<math>^-</math> bandhead, depending on the size and sign of the Newby shift, which are unknown at present. The <math>\pi</math>7/2[404]<math>\otimes</math><math>\nu</math>9/2[505] configuration should be considered as an alternative, but in such a case the Gallagher-Moskowsky rule would favor K<math>^\pi</math>=8<math>^-</math> over 1<math>^-</math> assignment. Calculations in 1997Mo25 favour the <math>\pi</math>7/2[404]<math>\otimes</math><math>\nu</math>7/2[503] assignment.</p> <p>T<sub>1/2</sub>: From <math>\beta\gamma</math>(t) in <sup>188</sup>Ta <math>\beta</math>- decay, using the 143-, 297- and 434-keV transitions (2009A130), where the existence of an isomer with a similar lifetime as for the ground state was suggested (2009A130). The assignment is tentative. Others:&gt;100 s (calculated,1997Mo25).</p>
99 33	(7 $^-$ )	19.6 s 20	A	<p><math>\% \beta^- = ?</math>; <math>\% IT = ?</math></p> <p>E(level): From 2012ReZZ, assumed by the evaluators to be the excitation energy of the (7<math>^-</math>) isomer. The assignment is tentative.</p> <p>J<math>^\pi</math>: Direct <math>\beta</math>-decay feeding to the K<math>^\pi</math>=8<math>^-</math> isomer in <sup>188</sup>W and the proposed <math>\pi</math>7/2[404]<math>\otimes</math><math>\nu</math>7/2[503] configuration. The non observation of 348<math>\gamma</math>, the (9<math>^-</math>) to (8<math>^-</math>), K<math>^\pi</math>=8<math>^-</math> in band transition in <sup>188</sup>W (2009A130), would argue against J=8 or 9 assignment. Alternatively, if the <math>\pi</math>7/2[404]<math>\otimes</math><math>\nu</math>9/2[505] configuration is considered, then the Gallagher-Moskowsky rule would favor K<math>^\pi</math>=1<math>^-</math> for the isomer and 8<math>^-</math> for the g.s.. The assignment is tentative.</p> <p>T<sub>1/2</sub>: From <math>\beta\gamma</math>(t) in <sup>188</sup>Ta <math>\beta</math>- decay, using 143-, 297- and 434-keV transitions (2009A130), where the existence of an isomer with a similar lifetime as the ground state was suggested (2009A130). The assignment is tentative.</p>
391 33		3.7 $\mu$ s 4	A	<p>E(level): Based on the preferential populaton of high-spin states in fragmentaton reactions, evaluators assume that 292.4<math>\gamma</math> populates the (7<math>^-</math>) isomer. It is reported in 2005Ca02 that a low-energy (&lt;50 keV) <math>\gamma</math> ray may precede or follow the 292.4 keV transition. The assignment is tentative.</p> <p>T<sub>1/2</sub>: Weighted average of 5 <math>\mu</math>s 2 (from 292.4<math>\gamma</math>(t) in 2005Ca02), 4.4 <math>\mu</math>s 10 (from 292<math>\gamma</math>(t) in 2009A130) and 3.5 <math>\mu</math>s 4 (from 291.9<math>\gamma</math>(t) in 2011St21).</p> <p>An isomeric ratio (number of ions found in isomeric state to the total number of ions produced for that nuclide) was measured to be 0.5 % +3-1 in 2005Ca02, but 8 % 2 in 2011St21.</p>

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $\gamma(^{188}\text{Ta})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
292.4 2	100	391	99	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : From <a href="#">2005Ca02</a> . Others: 291.9 keV 5 ( <a href="#">2011St21</a> ) and 292 keV ( <a href="#">2009A130,2012A105</a> ). Mult.: The authors in <a href="#">2005Ca02</a> ruled out a M2 assignment due to the lack of tantalum x rays in the observed $\gamma$ -ray spectrum (high conversion coefficient of a M2 transition would lead to an x-ray peak with approximately 70% of the counts observed in a $\gamma$ -ray peak). However, M1 or E2 assignments cannot be unambiguously excluded.

Adopted Levels, GammasLevel SchemeIntensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 