

¹⁸⁸W β⁻ decay (69.78 d) 1972Sh13,2002Po17

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Juutinen, D. J. Hartley		NDS 150, 1 (2018)	1-Feb-2018

Parent: ¹⁸⁸W: E=0; J^π=0⁺; T_{1/2}=69.78 d 12; Q(β⁻)=349 3; %β⁻ decay=100.0

1972Sh13: Chemically purified source; Measured E_γ, I_γ.

2002Po17: Chemically purified source; Measured E_γ, I_γ.

Others: 1964Bu10, 1962Ro16.

¹⁸⁸Re Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]
0.0	1 ⁻
63.6048 13	2 ⁻
169.43 8	3 ⁻
205.3636 14	2 ⁻
207.8478 10	0 ⁺
290.6796 12	1 ⁻

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ's.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

β⁻ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁻ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(58 3)	290.6796	0.89 5	6.80 8	av Eβ=14.92 79
(141 3)	207.8478	0.0105 12	9.91 6	av Eβ=37.43 85
(144 3)	205.3636	≤0.004	≥9.8 ^{1u}	av Eβ=43.52 99
(285 3)	63.6048	0.12 8	9.5 ^{1u} 3	av Eβ=89.91 98
(349 3)	0.0	98.98 9	7.180 13	Eβ ⁻ =285 3 (1964Bu10). av Eβ=99.73 96 Eβ ⁻ =349 3 (1964Bu10).

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(¹⁸⁸Re)

I_γ normalization: from %I_γ(290.7γ)=0.416 14, deduced from I_γ(290.7γ,¹⁸⁸Re)/I_γ(155.041γ,¹⁸⁸Os)=0.0269 9 (1964Bu10) and %I_γ(155.041γ)=15.46 14 from ¹⁸⁸Re β⁻ decay.

E _γ [†]	I _γ ^{‡@}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [†]	δ [†]	α [#]	Comments
63.583 3	0.27 4	63.6048	2 ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	M1+E2	0.061 23	3.42 10	%I _γ =0.112 17 α(L)=2.64 8; α(M)=0.606 18 α(N)=0.147 5; α(O)=0.0245 7; α(P)=0.001742 25
85.32 3	0.006 2	290.6796	1 ⁻	205.3636	2 ⁻	M1		8.15	%I _γ =0.0025 8 α(K)=6.74 10; α(L)=1.091 16; α(M)=0.250 4 α(N)=0.0605 9; α(O)=0.01017 15; α(P)=0.000742 11
105.8530 & 3	<0.003	169.43	3 ⁻	63.6048	2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.44 19	4.21 15	%I _γ <0.0013

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{188}W β^- decay (69.78 d) [1972Sh13,2002Po17](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{188}\text{Re})$ (continued)									
E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\ddagger\&$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	δ^\dagger	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
141.7588 3	0.016 2	205.3636	2 ⁻	63.6048	2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.38 23	1.80 13	$\alpha(\text{K})=3.2$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.80$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.19$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.046$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0072$ 14; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00034$ 4 % $I_\gamma=0.0067$ 9
207.8477 10	0.0238 24	207.8478	0 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻	E1		0.0585	$\alpha(\text{K})=1.43$ 18; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.29$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.067$ 11 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0161$ 24; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0026$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000155$ 21 % $I_\gamma=0.0099$ 11
227.0731 8	0.5659 23	290.6796	1 ⁻	63.6048	2 ⁻	M1(+E2)	0.2 2	0.50 3	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0484$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00778$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001775$ 25 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000425$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.87\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.10\times 10^{-6}$ 6 I_γ : weighted average of 0.020 4 (1972Sh13) and 0.026 3 (2002Po17).
290.6828 12	1.00 3	290.6796	1 ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	M1+E2	0.42 17	0.235 18	% $I_\gamma=0.235$ 8 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.41$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0673$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0154$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00374$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000625$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.5\times 10^{-5}$ 4 I_γ : weighted average of 0.55 2 (1972Sh13) and 0.5661 23 (2002Po17). Mult.: (227 γ)(64 γ)(θ): $A_2=0.05$ 5, $A_4=-0.04$ 6. δ : ≤ 0.4 from $\text{K/L}12=6.2$ 13 and $\alpha(\text{K})=0.42$ 4 (1968Su01).
									% $I_\gamma=0.416$ 14 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.193$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0328$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00756$ 19 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00183$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000304$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.07\times 10^{-5}$ 19

[†] From adopted gammas.

[‡] From [1972Sh13](#), unless otherwise stated.

[#] [Additional information 1](#).

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.416 14.

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^{188}W β^- decay (69.78 d) 1972Sh13,2002Po17

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- \longrightarrow $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- \longrightarrow $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- \longrightarrow $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- \dashrightarrow γ Decay (Uncertain)

