

^{188}Au ε decay (8.84 min) 1978CoYZ,1972Fi12

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Juutinen, D. J. Hartley		NDS 150, 1 (2018)	1-Feb-2018

Parent: ^{188}Au : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=1^-$; $T_{1/2}=8.84$ min δ ; $Q(\varepsilon)=5450$ eV; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+ \text{ decay}=100.0$

1978CoYZ: ^{188}Tl parent nuclei produced using $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{16}\text{O},9n)$ and $^{180}\text{W}(^{14}\text{N},6n)$ reactions at beam energies of 143-145 MeV and 184-188 MeV, respectively. The residues were mass separated using the UNISOR on-line mass separator at ORNL and implanted on an aluminium mylar tape. The ^{188}Au decay was studied by collecting activities for six minutes and then multiscanned to acquire six one minute spectra. γ -time and γ - γ -t events were detected using two Ge(Li) detectors. Measured: $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, γ - γ coin. Deduced $T_{1/2}$, level scheme.

1972Fi12,1972HuZL,1970Fi16: ^{188}Hg parent nuclei were produced using spallation reactions in bombardment of a natural lead target with 600 MeV proton beams. The residues were mass separated on-line at the ISOLDE facility (CERN) and collected on Al foil, which was manually removed from the vacuum chamber of the separator and transferred by a pneumatic transport system to the counting station. Measured γ singles, γ - γ coin, ce singles, ce- γ coin using several Ge(Li) detectors and a 3-mm thick Si(Li) detector. A double-lens spectrometer and a fast plastic scintillator detector were used for short (ps) lifetime measurement. Deduced $T_{1/2}$, level scheme, ICC, Mult.

Others: **1971Hu02**, **1970Jo02**, **1969Na10**, **1984DaZJ**. **1975Ho03** and **1970Du09** reported measurements of β strength functions using total absorption γ -ray spectrometer.

The decay scheme is from **1978CoYZ**, but the placement of several γ rays was made by the evaluators. It is consistent with that of **1972Fi12** and **1972HuZL**. The authors of **1978CoYZ**, **1972Fi12**, **1972HuZL**, and **1970Fi16** reported precise γ -ray intensities, but these are often discrepant within the quoted uncertainties. A large number of γ rays were not placed in the decay scheme and there is a large number of transitions with significant E0 components, whose ICC are unknown. In addition, the decay scheme is incomplete (pandemonium) and the ground-state (parent) to ground-state (daughter) decay is unknown. Thus, the β -feeding intensities and corresponding LOGFT values were not determined.

 ^{188}Pt Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	0^+		
265.61 5	2^+	72 ps 13	$T_{1/2}$: From β -266 γ (t) in 1972Fi12 .
605.69 6	2^+		
670.96 6	4^+		
798.75 8	0^+		
936.41 6	3^+		
1085.38 8	4^+		
1115.22 5	2^+		
1214.69 9	$(2)^+$		
1312.73 6	2^+		
1349.99 6	3^-		
1528.04 13	2^+		
1625.71 8	1^+		
1674.53 22	$(0^+,1,2)$		
1685.6 4	$(0^+,1,2)$		
1776.08 7	(1^-)		
1810.57 9	$(2)^+$		
1954.26 14	$(1^+,2)$		
2171.4 4	$(0^+,1,2)$		
2210.2? 3			
2295.61 12	$(1,2^+)$		
2446.89 22	$(1,2^+)$		
2468.4? 5	$(1,2^+)$		
2497.50 13			
2524.65? 19			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 ^{188}Au ε decay (8.84 min) **1978CoYZ,1972Fi12** (continued) ^{188}Pt Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>J^π[‡]</u>
2588.6? 3	
2798.1? 5	
2909.6? 3	(2 ⁺)
3046.73 14	
3232.50 17	
3260.66 18	

[†] From least-squares fit to E γ 's.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

γ(¹⁸⁸Pt)

I_γ normalization: Given that the decay scheme is incomplete (see the general comment above) and that the gs to gs decay branch is not known, no reliable normalization is possible.

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ[†]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[@]</u>	<u>α^{&}</u>	<u>Comments</u>
^x 87.26 19	0.52 12							
^x 132.40 14	0.51 7							
^x 186.62 18	0.37 8							
192.89 19	0.17 4	798.75	0 ⁺	605.69	2 ⁺	[E2]	0.407	α(K)=0.186 3; α(L)=0.1662 25; α(M)=0.0425 7 α(N)=0.01038 16; α(O)=0.001649 24; α(P)=1.77×10 ⁻⁵ 3
198.1 3	0.21 7	1312.73	2 ⁺	1115.22	2 ⁺	[E2+M1]	0.959	α(K)=0.790 12; α(L)=0.1300 19; α(M)=0.0300 5 α(N)=0.00743 11; α(O)=0.001337 20; α(P)=9.02×10 ⁻⁵ 14
^x 221.9# 5	0.04# 1							
234.8 3	0.24 7	1349.99	3 ⁻	1115.22	2 ⁺	[E1]	0.0471	α(K)=0.0388 6; α(L)=0.00640 10; α(M)=0.001476 22 α(N)=0.000361 6; α(O)=6.28×10 ⁻⁵ 9; α(P)=3.45×10 ⁻⁶ 5
^x 237.4# 5	0.20# 2							
^x 238.8# 5	0.13# 2							
^x 245.2# 5	0.03# 1							
^x 253.5# 5	0.13# 2							
265.63 6	100 3	265.61	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.1425	α(K)=0.0829 12; α(L)=0.0451 7; α(M)=0.01137 16 α(N)=0.00278 4; α(O)=0.000450 7; α(P)=8.21×10 ⁻⁶ 12 E _γ : from ce data (1970Jo02), 266.02 2 (1978CoYZ), 265.6 5 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from K/L1=7.4 14, L1/L2=0.44 9, L1/L3=0.77 17 (1970Jo02), K/L3=5.8 7 and α(L3)exp=0.0143 7 (1972Fi12); K/L=2.4, α(L)exp=0.032 (1971Hu02).
^x 295.53 7	1.00 8							
313.0‡ 5	0.16 2	1625.71	1 ⁺	1312.73	2 ⁺	[M1]	0.272	α(K)=0.225 4; α(L)=0.0366 6; α(M)=0.00845 13 α(N)=0.00209 3; α(O)=0.000376 6; α(P)=2.55×10 ⁻⁵ 4
316.53 9	0.95 9	1115.22	2 ⁺	798.75	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.0841	α(K)=0.0535 8; α(L)=0.0232 4; α(M)=0.00579 9 α(N)=0.001418 20; α(O)=0.000232 4; α(P)=5.42×10 ⁻⁶ 8
^x 320.2# 5	0.13# 2					M1+E2	0.256	α(K)=0.211 3; α(L)=0.0344 5; α(M)=0.00794 12 α(N)=0.00197 3; α(O)=0.000354 6; α(P)=2.39×10 ⁻⁵ 4 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.15 5 (1972HuZL).
330.76 5	4.98 19	936.41	3 ⁺	605.69	2 ⁺	E2(+M1)	0.234	α(K)=0.193 3; α(L)=0.0315 5; α(M)=0.00727 11 α(N)=0.00180 3; α(O)=0.000324 5; α(P)=2.19×10 ⁻⁵ 3 I _γ : Other: 7.9 12 (1971Hu02) and 4.8 2 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(L3)exp=0.0055 7 (1972HuZL).
340.04 5	23.9 8	605.69	2 ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	E2(+M1)	0.218	α(K)=0.180 3; α(L)=0.0292 4; α(M)=0.00674 10 α(N)=0.001668 24; α(O)=0.000300 5; α(P)=2.03×10 ⁻⁵ 3 E _γ : from ce data (1970Jo02), 340.42 2 (1978CoYZ), 339.9 5 (1972Fi12). I _γ : Other: 22.7 30 (1971Hu02) and 23.5 5 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(L3)exp=0.0060 4 (1972HuZL). Others: α(K)exp=0.055 5 (1970Jo02)

γ(¹⁸⁸Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ[†]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[@]</u>	<u>α^{&}</u>	<u>Comments</u>
^x 359.3 5	0.15 8							and K/M=13.9; α(K)exp=0.055, α(L)exp=0.004 (1971Hu02). However, 1972Fi12 pointed out that ce(K)(340γ) is complex.
^x 373.5 3	0.25 8							
376.70 15	0.51 9	1312.73	2 ⁺	936.41	3 ⁺	E2+M1	0.1652	α(K)=0.1364 20; α(L)=0.0221 4; α(M)=0.00511 8 α(N)=0.001263 18; α(O)=0.000227 4; α(P)=1.542×10 ⁻⁵ 22 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.074 7 (1972HuZL). Also α(L)exp≈0.040 (1972Fi12).
^x 381.6 [#] 5	0.08 [#] 2							
405.49 5	9.1 3	670.96	4 ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	E2	0.0422	α(K)=0.0295 5; α(L)=0.00967 14; α(M)=0.00238 4 α(N)=0.000584 9; α(O)=9.73×10 ⁻⁵ 14; α(P)=3.06×10 ⁻⁶ 5 E _γ : 405.49 in ce data (1970Jo02), 405.0 5 (1972Fi12). I _γ : Other: 7.7 20 (1971Hu02) and 8.2 2 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(L3)exp=0.0023 3 (1972HuZL). Also α(M)exp=0.0027 5 (1972Fi12), but the authors pointed out that this line is complex in the ce data.
413.3 ^a 5	0.51 3	1349.99	3 ⁻	936.41	3 ⁺	[E1]	0.01250	α(K)=0.01039 15; α(L)=0.001627 24; α(M)=0.000373 6 α(N)=9.17×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α(O)=1.617×10 ⁻⁵ 23; α(P)=9.77×10 ⁻⁷ 14 Mult.: see comment for 414.79γ.
414.79 10	0.90 10	1085.38	4 ⁺	670.96	4 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.1277	α(K)=0.1056 15; α(L)=0.01708 24; α(M)=0.00394 6 α(N)=0.000974 14; α(O)=0.0001755 25; α(P)=1.190×10 ⁻⁵ 17 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.078 11 (1972Fi12) for 413.3γ+414.79γ.
426.5 3	0.33 9	1776.08	(1 ⁻)	1349.99	3 ⁻	(E2)	0.0370	α(K)=0.0262 4; α(L)=0.00818 12; α(M)=0.00201 3 α(N)=0.000493 7; α(O)=8.23×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α(P)=2.73×10 ⁻⁶ 4 Mult.: α(K)exp≈0.018 (1972HuZL).
444.18 8	1.08 9	1115.22	2 ⁺	670.96	4 ⁺	E2	0.0333	α(K)=0.0239 4; α(L)=0.00717 10; α(M)=0.001754 25 α(N)=0.000431 6; α(O)=7.22×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(P)=2.50×10 ⁻⁶ 4 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.023 2 (1972HuZL). Also α(L3)exp=0.0066 25, α(M)exp=0.0050 18 (1972Fi12). Authors' α(L3)exp agrees with α(L) rather than with α(L3). Ice(L3) given by 1972Fi12 should possibly be interpreted as Ice(L).
^x 447.9 [#] 5	0.11 [#] 2					(E2+M1+E0)	0.1042	α(K)=0.0861 13; α(L)=0.01390 20; α(M)=0.00321 5 α(N)=0.000793 12; α(O)=0.0001428 21; α(P)=9.70×10 ⁻⁶ 14 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.18 4 (1972HuZL) suggests E0 admixtures.
^x 452.8 [#] 5	0.06 [#] 2					(M1)	0.1012	α(K)=0.0837 12; α(L)=0.01351 20; α(M)=0.00311 5 α(N)=0.000770 11; α(O)=0.0001387 20; α(P)=9.42×10 ⁻⁶ 14 Mult.: α(K)exp≈0.083 (1972HuZL).
^x 455.8 [#] 5	0.16 [#] 2					M1(+E2)	0.0995 15	α(K)=0.0823 12; α(L)=0.01327 19; α(M)=0.00306 5 α(N)=0.000757 11; α(O)=0.0001363 20; α(P)=9.26×10 ⁻⁶ 14 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.084 17 (1972HuZL).
^x 465.6 [#] 5	0.17 [#] 1					M1,E2	0.0940	α(K)=0.0778 12; α(L)=0.01254 18; α(M)=0.00289 5 α(N)=0.000715 11; α(O)=0.0001288 19; α(P)=8.75×10 ⁻⁶ 13 Mult.: from α(K)exp≈0.059 (1972HuZL).

4

¹⁸⁸Au ε decay (8.84 min) **1978CoYZ,1972Fi12** (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁸Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ[†]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[@]</u>	<u>α&</u>	<u>Comments</u>
^x 470.4 [#] 5	0.06 [#] 1							
471.1 5	0.22 12	1685.6	(0 ⁺ ,1,2)	1214.69	(2) ⁺			ce(K)(471γ)/ce(K)(266γ)=0.16 3 (1970Jo02) gives ce(K)(471γ)=2.8 5 using ce(K)(266γ)=17.3 7 (1972Fi12). However, no such transition reported in the ce data of 1972Fi12.
479.40 9	1.28 13	1085.38	4 ⁺	605.69	2 ⁺	E2	0.0275	α(K)=0.0201 3; α(L)=0.00563 8; α(M)=0.001370 20 α(N)=0.000337 5; α(O)=5.67×10 ⁻⁵ 8; α(P)=2.11×10 ⁻⁶ 3 I _γ : Other: 1.09 3 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(L)exp=0.0057 10 (1972HuZL).
^x 492.2 [#] 5	0.05 [#] 1							
498.6 [‡] 5	0.54 14	1810.57	(2) ⁺	1312.73	2 ⁺	(E0+M1+E2)	0.225 14	α(K)=0.0650 10; α(L)=0.01045 15; α(M)=0.00241 4 α(N)=0.000596 9; α(O)=0.0001073 16; α(P)=7.30×10 ⁻⁶ 11 E _γ : From 1972Fi12. Other: 499.58 25 in 1978CoYZ deviates by 5σ. I _γ : Other: 0.13 1 (1972Fi12). Mult.: α(K)exp=0.11 1 and α(L)exp=0.089 8 (1972HuZL). α: 0.225 14 deduced from α(K)exp + α(L)exp × (1 + M/L + N/L).
^x 523.8 3	0.38 10							
^x 529.7 6	0.17 11							
533.4 3	5.86 22	798.75	0 ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	E2	0.0212	α(K)=0.01585 23; α(L)=0.00407 6; α(M)=0.000983 14 α(N)=0.000242 4; α(O)=4.10×10 ⁻⁵ 6; α(P)=1.672×10 ⁻⁶ 24 I _γ : Other: 4.1 20 (1971Hu02) and 5.49 11 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(L)exp=0.0039 4, α(K)exp=0.014 (1971Hu02). α(K)=0.00583 9; α(L)=0.000894 13; α(M)=0.000205 3 α(N)=5.03×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(O)=8.92×10 ⁻⁶ 13; α(P)=5.58×10 ⁻⁷ 8 I _γ : Other: 0.50 3 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(K)exp≈0.0083 (1972HuZL). Note misprint in 1972Fi12: ce(K) should read≈0.05 instead of≈0.5.
^x 541.4 2	0.73 11					E1	0.00699	
^x 550.2 [#] 5	0.10 [#] 2					(M1)	0.0607	α(K)=0.0502 8; α(L)=0.00805 12; α(M)=0.00186 3 α(N)=0.000459 7; α(O)=8.27×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α(P)=5.63×10 ⁻⁶ 8 Mult.: from α(K)exp≈0.050 (1972HuZL).
^x 553.9 3	0.26 8							
^x 558.2 11	0.08 8							
^x 589.5 [#] 5	0.04 [#] 2							
591.4 ^a 5	0.15 2	1528.04	2 ⁺	936.41	3 ⁺	(M1)	0.0503	α(K)=0.0416 6; α(L)=0.00666 10; α(M)=0.001534 22 α(N)=0.000379 6; α(O)=6.84×10 ⁻⁵ 10; α(P)=4.66×10 ⁻⁶ 7 Mult.: from α(K)exp≈0.056 (1972HuZL).
605.3 2	16.3 6	605.69	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.01578	α(K)=0.01208 17; α(L)=0.00282 4; α(M)=0.000677 10 α(N)=0.0001667 24; α(O)=2.85×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.279×10 ⁻⁶ 18 E _γ : from ce data (1970Jo02), 606.06 2 (1978CoYZ), 605.6 5 (1972Fi12). I _γ : Other: 15.5 21 (1971Hu02) and 14.6 3 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.0114 4 (1972HuZL); α(K)exp=0.009 (1971Hu02).
^x 618.8 [#] 5	0.10 [#] 2							

¹⁸⁸Au ε decay (8.84 min) **1978CoYZ,1972Fi12** (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁸Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ[†]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[@]</u>	<u>α^{&}</u>	<u>I_(γ+ce)</u>	<u>Comments</u>
641.82 18	0.65 11	1312.73	2 ⁺	670.96	4 ⁺	(E2)	0.01383		α(K)=0.01069 15; α(L)=0.00240 4; α(M)=0.000574 8 α(N)=0.0001413 20; α(O)=2.43×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.132×10 ⁻⁶ 16 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0071 26 (1972HuZL).
^x 667.9 2	0.65 11								I _γ : Other: 0.48 2 (1972Fi12).
670.83 5	8.0 3	936.41	3 ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0363		α(K)=0.0301 5; α(L)=0.00479 7; α(M)=0.001102 16 α(N)=0.000273 4; α(O)=4.91×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(P)=3.35×10 ⁻⁶ 5 I _γ : Other: 5.2 21 (1971Hu02) and 7.35 15 (1972Fi12).
679.13 6	2.01 12	1349.99	3 ⁻	670.96	4 ⁺	E1	0.00441		α(K)=0.00369 6; α(L)=0.000556 8; α(M)=0.0001270 18 α(N)=3.13×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=5.56×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(P)=3.57×10 ⁻⁷ 5 Mult.: from α(K)exp≤0.0036 (1972HuZL).
689.1 [‡] 3	0.39 11	1625.71	1 ⁺	936.41	3 ⁺	E2	0.01182		α(K)=0.00923 13; α(L)=0.00198 3; α(M)=0.000472 7 α(N)=0.0001163 17; α(O)=2.01×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(P)=9.77×10 ⁻⁷ 14 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.010 3 (1972HuZL).
695.4 [‡] 5	0.19 2	1810.57	(2) ⁺	1115.22	2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.0331		α(K)=0.0274 4; α(L)=0.00436 7; α(M)=0.001004 15 α(N)=0.000248 4; α(O)=4.48×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(P)=3.06×10 ⁻⁶ 5 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.031 11 (1972HuZL).
707.08 14	0.91 11	1312.73	2 ⁺	605.69	2 ⁺	E0+M1+E2	0.076 5		α(K)=0.0263 4; α(L)=0.00418 6; α(M)=0.000961 14 α(N)=0.000238 4; α(O)=4.29×10 ⁻⁵ 6; α(P)=2.93×10 ⁻⁶ 5 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.061 3 and α(L)exp=0.011 2 (1972HuZL) indicate E0 admixtures.
^x 713.5 [#] 5	0.12 [#] 2					(M1)	0.0309		α: 0.076 5 deduced from α(K)exp + α(L)exp×(1 + M/L + N/L). α(K)=0.0257 4; α(L)=0.00408 6; α(M)=0.000939 14 α(N)=0.000232 4; α(O)=4.19×10 ⁻⁵ 6; α(P)=2.86×10 ⁻⁶ 4 Mult.: from α(K)exp≈0.04 (1972HuZL).
^x 726.3 [#] 5	0.13 [#] 2								
736.4 6	0.43 19	3260.66		2524.65?					
^x 749.5 3	0.47 12					(E0+M1+E2)	0.0273		α(K)=0.0226 4; α(L)=0.00359 5; α(M)=0.000826 12 α(N)=0.000204 3; α(O)=3.68×10 ⁻⁵ 6; α(P)=2.52×10 ⁻⁶ 4 I _γ : Other: <0.05 (1972Fi12). Mult.: α(K)exp≥0.60 (1972HuZL) suggests E0 admixtures.
^x 772.5 9						E0			E _γ : E(ce) from 1971Hu02. Mult.: α(K)exp>3 (1971Hu02).
799.2 5		798.75	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0		≤0.10	Mult.: α(K)exp≥1.3 (1972HuZL); α(K)exp≥2 (1971Hu02).
^x 814.1 [#] 5	0.08 [#] 2								
819.4 4	0.34 12	1085.38	4 ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	[E2]	0.00819		α(K)=0.00652 10; α(L)=0.001277 18; α(M)=0.000301 5 α(N)=7.42×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(O)=1.295×10 ⁻⁵ 19; α(P)=6.90×10 ⁻⁷ 10
^x 821.8 [#] 5	0.08 [#] 3								
^x 840.7 [#] 5	≤0.05 [#]					(E0+M1+E2)	0.0203		α(K)=0.01687 24; α(L)=0.00267 4; α(M)=0.000614 9 α(N)=0.0001518 22; α(O)=2.74×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.87×10 ⁻⁶ 3 Mult.: α(K)exp≥0.20 (1972HuZL) suggests E0 admixtures.
849.3 6	0.71 25	1115.22	2 ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	E0+M1+E2	0.27 1		α(K)=0.01644 24; α(L)=0.00260 4; α(M)=0.000598 9

¹⁸⁸Au ε decay (8.84 min) 1978CoYZ,1972Fi12 (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁸Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ[†]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[@]</u>	<u>α&</u>	<u>Comments</u>
								α(N)=0.0001479 21; α(O)=2.67×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.83×10 ⁻⁶ 3 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.22 1, α(L)exp=0.038 2, and α(M)exp=0.0098 20 (1972HuZL). α: 0.27 1 from α(K)exp + α(L)exp + α(M)exp.
857.0 [‡] 5	0.11 2	1528.04	2 ⁺	670.96	4 ⁺	[E2]	0.00746	α(K)=0.00597 9; α(L)=0.001145 17; α(M)=0.000270 4 α(N)=6.64×10 ⁻⁵ 10; α(O)=1.161×10 ⁻⁵ 17; α(P)=6.31×10 ⁻⁷ 9
874.66 24	0.59 14	1810.57	(2) ⁺	936.41	3 ⁺	[M1]	0.0184	α(K)=0.01526 22; α(L)=0.00241 4; α(M)=0.000554 8 α(N)=0.0001371 20; α(O)=2.47×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.694×10 ⁻⁶ 24
^x 884.1 4	0.38 14							I _γ : Other: 0.16 3 (1972Fi12).
922.23 18	0.87 13	1528.04	2 ⁺	605.69	2 ⁺	E0+M1+E2	0.029 3	α(K)=0.01334 19; α(L)=0.00210 3; α(M)=0.000484 7 α(N)=0.0001196 17; α(O)=2.16×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(P)=1.480×10 ⁻⁶ 21 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.024 2 (1972HuZL). α: 0.029 3 from K/T and α(K)exp. I _γ : Other: 0.16 3 (1972Fi12).
^x 933.9 4	0.40 13							α(K)=0.01240 18; α(L)=0.00195 3; α(M)=0.000449 7
949.09 8	2.44 17	1214.69	(2) ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	E2(+M1)	0.01494	α(N)=0.0001111 16; α(O)=2.00×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(P)=1.375×10 ⁻⁶ 20 I _γ : Other: 1.97 6 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.0046 5 (1972HuZL).
^x 968.7 12						E0		E _γ : E(ce) from 1971Hu02. Mult.: α(K)exp>1 (1971Hu02).
977.27 10	2.06 17	1776.08	(1 ⁻)	798.75	0 ⁺	(E1)	0.00221	α(K)=0.00186 3; α(L)=0.000273 4; α(M)=6.22×10 ⁻⁵ 9 α(N)=1.532×10 ⁻⁵ 22; α(O)=2.74×10 ⁻⁶ 4; α(P)=1.82×10 ⁻⁷ 3 I _γ : Other: 1.97 6 (1972Fi12). Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0032 9 (1972HuZL).
^x 1004.4 [#] 5	0.12 [#] 2							
^x 1007.2 [#] 5	0.20 [#] 2							
^x 1013.6 3	0.72 17					M1,E2	0.01265	α(K)=0.01051 15; α(L)=0.001652 24; α(M)=0.000380 6 α(N)=9.39×10 ⁻⁵ 14; α(O)=1.694×10 ⁻⁵ 24; α(P)=1.163×10 ⁻⁶ 17 I _γ : Other: 0.31 3 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.0091 36 (1972HuZL). I _γ : Other: 1.11 4 (1972Fi12). Mult.: α(K)exp=0.022 2 (1972HuZL), possible E0 admixtures.
1017.91 18	1.70 23	1954.26	(1 ⁺ ,2)	936.41	3 ⁺			α(K)=0.01034 15; α(L)=0.001625 23; α(M)=0.000373 6 α(N)=9.24×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α(O)=1.666×10 ⁻⁵ 24; α(P)=1.145×10 ⁻⁶ 16
1020.1 4	0.77 22	1625.71	1 ⁺	605.69	2 ⁺	[M1]	0.01245	α(K)=0.00968 14; α(L)=0.001521 22; α(M)=0.000349 5 α(N)=8.64×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α(O)=1.559×10 ⁻⁵ 22; α(P)=1.072×10 ⁻⁶ 15 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.065 2, α(L)exp=0.0075 8 (1972HuZL) indicate E0 admixtures. α: 0.076 3 deduced from α(K)exp + α(L)exp×(1 + M/L + N/L).
1046.99 11	1.93 19	1312.73	2 ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	E0+M1+E2	0.076 3	
^x 1068.3 [#] 5	0.20 [#] 3							
1079.7 5	0.36 15	1685.6	(0 ⁺ ,1,2)	605.69	2 ⁺			
1084.33 [#] 5	6.6 [#] 3	1349.99	3 ⁻	265.61	2 ⁺	E1	0.00183	α(K)=0.001539 22; α(L)=0.000225 4; α(M)=5.12×10 ⁻⁵ 8

¹⁸⁸Au ε decay (8.84 min) **1978CoYZ,1972Fi12** (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁸Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ[†]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult. @</u>	<u>α&</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1115.25 5	4.98 19	1115.22	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	(E2)	0.00442	α(N)=1.262×10 ⁻⁵ 18; α(O)=2.26×10 ⁻⁶ 4; α(P)=1.514×10 ⁻⁷ 22 Mult.: from α(K)exp≤0.0015 (1972HuZL). α(K)=0.00361 5; α(L)=0.000627 9; α(M)=0.0001458 21 α(N)=3.60×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=6.36×10 ⁻⁶ 9; α(P)=3.79×10 ⁻⁷ 6; α(IPF)=3.24×10 ⁻⁷ 5 I _γ : Other: 2.5 15 (1971Hu02) and 4.05 10 (1972Fi12). Mult.: α(K)exp≈0.002 (1972HuZL).
1139.7 [‡] 4	0.36 12	1810.57	(2) ⁺	670.96	4 ⁺	[E2]	0.00424	α(K)=0.00346 5; α(L)=0.000598 9; α(M)=0.0001390 20 α(N)=3.43×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=6.06×10 ⁻⁶ 9; α(P)=3.64×10 ⁻⁷ 6; α(IPF)=8.06×10 ⁻⁷ 16
1170.49 9	2.63 19	1776.08	(1 ⁻)	605.69	2 ⁺	(E1)	1.61×10 ⁻³	α(K)=0.001344 19; α(L)=0.000196 3; α(M)=4.45×10 ⁻⁵ 7 α(N)=1.098×10 ⁻⁵ 16; α(O)=1.97×10 ⁻⁶ 3; α(P)=1.325×10 ⁻⁷ 19; α(IPF)=9.10×10 ⁻⁶ 13 I _γ : Other: 2.42 6 (1972Fi12). Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0023 4 (1972HuZL).
1204.60 13	1.63 17	1810.57	(2) ⁺	605.69	2 ⁺	[E2+M1]	0.00820	α(K)=0.00681 10; α(L)=0.001065 15; α(M)=0.000244 4 α(N)=6.05×10 ⁻⁵ 9; α(O)=1.091×10 ⁻⁵ 16; α(P)=7.52×10 ⁻⁷ 11; α(IPF)=7.29×10 ⁻⁶ 11
1214.2 ^{#a} 5	0.48 [#] 3	1214.69	(2) ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.00376	α(K)=0.00308 5; α(L)=0.000521 8; α(M)=0.0001210 17 α(N)=2.98×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=5.29×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(P)=3.23×10 ⁻⁷ 5; α(IPF)=5.53×10 ⁻⁶ 10 Mult.: α(K)exp≈0.085 (1972HuZL) indicates E0 mixture, implying a probable doublet in the ce data.
^x 1244.1 9	0.34 7							I _γ : Other: 0.35 3 (1972Fi12).
1262.46 19	1.26 18	1528.04	2 ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	E0+M1+E2	0.037 4	α(K)=0.00605 9; α(L)=0.000945 14; α(M)=0.000217 3 α(N)=5.37×10 ⁻⁵ 8; α(O)=9.69×10 ⁻⁶ 14; α(P)=6.68×10 ⁻⁷ 10; α(IPF)=1.746×10 ⁻⁵ 25 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.031 3 (1972HuZL). α: 0.037 4 from K/T and α(K)exp.
^x 1284.5 [#] 5	0.26 [#] 2							
1306.4 3	0.81 17	3260.66		1954.26	(1 ⁺ ,2)			
1312.62 9	2.96 21	1312.73	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.00326	α(K)=0.00266 4; α(L)=0.000442 7; α(M)=0.0001024 15 α(N)=2.53×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(O)=4.49×10 ⁻⁶ 7; α(P)=2.79×10 ⁻⁷ 4; α(IPF)=1.87×10 ⁻⁵ 3 I _γ : Other: 2.06 7 (1972Fi12). Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0029 4 (1972HuZL).
^x 1332.9 5	0.40 16							
^x 1341.2 10	0.10 10							
1348.50 19	1.17 17	1954.26	(1 ⁺ ,2)	605.69	2 ⁺			
^x 1352.4 3	0.82 17							
1360.10 [‡] 7	4.13 22	1625.71	1 ⁺	265.61	2 ⁺	[M1]	0.00608	α(K)=0.00502 7; α(L)=0.000783 11; α(M)=0.000180 3

∞

¹⁸⁸Au ε decay (8.84 min) **1978CoYZ,1972Fi12** (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁸Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ[†]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[@]</u>	<u>α&</u>	<u>Comments</u>
								α(N)=4.44×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(O)=8.02×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(P)=5.54×10 ⁻⁷ 8; α(IPF)=4.35×10 ⁻⁵ 6
^x 1365.2 5	0.39 18							
^x 1377.6 3	0.61 14							I _γ : Other: 0.16 3 (1972Fi12).
^x 1405.4 [#] 5	0.23 [#] 3							
1408.92 21	0.96 15	1674.53	(0 ⁺ ,1,2)	265.61	2 ⁺			I _γ : Other: 0.60 3 (1972Fi12).
^x 1451.5 4	0.61 16							I _γ : Other: 0.22 2 (1972Fi12).
^x 1476.7 6	0.32 15							
1484.55 23	0.88 16	3260.66		1776.08	(1 ⁻)			I _γ : Other: 0.45 4 (1972Fi12).
^x 1497.1 [#] 5	0.20 [#] 2							
1510.38 9	2.74 18	1776.08	(1 ⁻)	265.61	2 ⁺	(E1)	1.21×10 ⁻³	α(K)=0.000867 13; α(L)=0.0001248 18; α(M)=2.83×10 ⁻⁵ 4 α(N)=6.99×10 ⁻⁶ 10; α(O)=1.256×10 ⁻⁶ 18; α(P)=8.58×10 ⁻⁸ 12; α(IPF)=0.000185 3 I _γ : Other: 2.15 6 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(K)exp≤0.00077 (1972HuZL).
1528.3 [‡] 3	0.72 15	1528.04	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.00251	α(K)=0.00202 3; α(L)=0.000324 5; α(M)=7.46×10 ⁻⁵ 11 α(N)=1.84×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(O)=3.29×10 ⁻⁶ 5; α(P)=2.11×10 ⁻⁷ 3; α(IPF)=7.53×10 ⁻⁵ 11
1545.00 10	2.32 18	1810.57	(2 ⁺)	265.61	2 ⁺	[E2+M1]	0.00452	α(K)=0.00366 6; α(L)=0.000568 8; α(M)=0.0001304 19 α(N)=3.22×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=5.82×10 ⁻⁶ 9; α(P)=4.03×10 ⁻⁷ 6; α(IPF)=0.0001238 18 I _γ : Other: 1.85 10 (1972Fi12). I _γ : Other: 0.71 3 (1972Fi12).
^x 1555.4 2	1.13 16							
1565.6 5	0.41 15	2171.4	(0 ⁺ ,1,2)	605.69	2 ⁺			
1596.9 3	0.68 15	2909.6?	(2 ⁺)	1312.73	2 ⁺	(E0+M1+E2)	0.0200 25	α(K)=0.00337 5; α(L)=0.000523 8; α(M)=0.0001200 17 α(N)=2.97×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=5.36×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(P)=3.71×10 ⁻⁷ 6; α(IPF)=0.0001516 22 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.016 2 (1972HuZL) suggests E0 admixtures. α: 0.0200 25 deduced from K/T and α(K)exp.
^x 1603.6 [#] 5	0.22 [#] 5							
1626.2 [‡] 8	0.9 6	1625.71	1 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	M1	0.00404	α(K)=0.00322 5; α(L)=0.000500 7; α(M)=0.0001146 17 α(N)=2.84×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(O)=5.12×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(P)=3.54×10 ⁻⁷ 5; α(IPF)=0.0001678 24 I _γ : Other: 0.63 4 (1972Fi12). Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.0054 18 (1972HuZL).
1669.6 5	0.46 16	2468.4?	(1,2 ⁺)	798.75	0 ⁺			
^x 1691.4 7	0.30 14							I _γ : Other: 0.15 4 (1972Fi12).
1697.2 4	0.56 15	3046.73		1349.99	3 ⁻			I _γ : Other: 0.45 4 (1972Fi12).
^x 1703.4 [#] 5	0.27 [#] 3							
^x 1723.8 [#] 5	≤0.16 [#]					E0+(M1+E2)	0.00357	α(K)=0.00279 4; α(L)=0.000432 6; α(M)=9.90×10 ⁻⁵ 14

¹⁸⁸Au ε decay (8.84 min) [1978CoYZ](#),[1972Fi12](#) (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁸Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ[†]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Comments</u>
						α(N)=2.45×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(O)=4.42×10 ⁻⁶ 7; α(P)=3.06×10 ⁻⁷ 5; α(IPF)=0.000224 4 Mult.: α(K)exp≥0.09 (1972HuZL) suggests a dominant E0 contribution.
^x 1760.5 3	1.14 20					I _γ : Other: 0.82 5 (1972Fi12).
^x 1782.5 3	1.09 24					I _γ : Other: 0.073 4 (1972Fi12).
^x 1810.9 4	0.53 15					
^x 1847.3 3	0.83 15					I _γ : Other: 0.28 5 (1972Fi12).
1882.45 18	1.48 19	3232.50		1349.99	3 ⁻	I _γ : Other: 1.21 5 (1972Fi12).
1905.9 4	0.65 17	2171.4	(0 ⁺ ,1,2)	265.61	2 ⁺	
1917.6 3	0.90 17	2588.6?		670.96	4 ⁺	
1944.6 3	0.85 19	2210.2?		265.61	2 ⁺	I _γ : Other: 0.44 3 (1972Fi12).
^x 1994.0 3	0.85 16					
^x 2010.3 4	0.61 17					
2030.02 12	2.54 23	2295.61	(1,2 ⁺)	265.61	2 ⁺	
^x 2053.2 8	0.26 14					
^x 2161.5 6	0.51 18					
^x 2180.1 3	0.92 19					
2231.88 12	2.62 22	2497.50		265.61	2 ⁺	
2259.07 19	0.20 13	2524.65?		265.61	2 ⁺	
2295.48 23	1.28 19	2295.61	(1,2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	
^x 2392.9 4	0.66 18					
^x 2428.9 5	0.62 17					
2441.3 3	1.08 20	3046.73		605.69	2 ⁺	
2446.87 22	1.38 20	2446.89	(1,2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	
^x 2509.3 3	0.89 18					
2532.5 5	0.75 21	2798.1?		265.61	2 ⁺	
2626.9 3	1.8 4	3232.50		605.69	2 ⁺	
2780.97 15	2.23 21	3046.73		265.61	2 ⁺	
2994.9 4	0.80 16	3260.66		265.61	2 ⁺	
^x 3129.2 7	1.2 7					

[†] From [1978CoYZ](#), unless otherwise stated. The uncertainties quoted by [1978CoYZ](#) on some of the γ-ray energies are very precise, < 0.05 keV. These have been increased to 0.05 keV by the evaluators.

[‡] Assignment in the level scheme is made by the evaluators on the basis of level energy differences.

[#] Reported only by [1972Fi12](#),[1972HuZL](#),[1970Fi16](#).

[@] From ce data in [1972Fi12](#),[1972HuZL](#) using α(K)exp(266γ; mult=E2)=0.083 for normalization, unless otherwise stated. Ice(L3) values are given for selected transitions in [1972Fi12](#). From the sample spectrum shown in [1972Fi12](#), evaluators note that L1, L2, and L3 lines are not resolved. In a similar table given by [1972HuZL](#), these L3 intensities were incorrectly labeled as total L-shell intensities.

& [Additional information 1](#).

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{188}Au ϵ decay (8.84 min) 1978COYZ,1972FI12

