

$^{188}\text{Pt } \varepsilon \text{ decay (10.16 d)}$ **1978El11**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Juutinen, D. J. Hartley		NDS 150, 1 (2018)	1-Feb-2018

Parent: ^{188}Pt : E=0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=10.16$ d 18; $Q(\varepsilon)=524$ 9; $\%_\varepsilon$ decay=100.0

^{188}Pt - $\%_\varepsilon$ decay: $\%_\varepsilon=99.999974$ 3, $\%_\alpha=2.6\times 10^{-5}$ 3.

1978El11: Measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$, ce- γ coin.

Others:

γ , $\gamma\gamma$: [1970Ba53](#), [1970Ba10](#), [1969Pl07](#), [1968Ha23](#), [1967Ko22](#), [1965Ja06](#).

ce: [1963Pr12](#), [1962Ca27](#), [1964Sa30](#), [1962Kr05](#), [1961Kr02](#), [1958FiZZ](#).

ce $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$: [1969Ma37](#), [1970Ba53](#), [1965Ja06](#), [1965Kr03](#).

 ^{188}Ir Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	1^-		
54.80 4	2^-	1.93 ns 10	$T_{1/2}$: From 54.8e($L_{ii}+L_{iii}$)–140.3e(K)(t) in 1969Ma37 . Others: 2.3 ns 2 (1965Ja06) and 2.7 ns 8 (1965Kr03 , 1970Ba53), but the accuracy of those values is disputed in 1969Ma37 .
96.74 4	2^-	1.59 ns 12	$T_{1/2}$: From 41.9e(L)–381.6 γ (t) in 1969Ma37 .
187.61 7	$(1)^-$	56 ps 13	$T_{1/2}$: From KLL-187.59(L_1)(t) in 1969Ma37 .
195.10 5	1^-	51 ps 10	$T_{1/2}$: From KLL-195.05(L_1)(t) in 1969Ma37 .
280.30 15	$(1,2)^-$		
478.17 7	1^+	<150 ps	$T_{1/2}$: From LMM – 300 (and higher) γ (t) in 1969Ma37 .

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(46 9)	478.17	≈ 12.8	≈ 4.6	$\varepsilon L=0.65$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.35$ 5 $\varepsilon K/\varepsilon(\text{exp})<0.003$ (1978El11), $\varepsilon L/\varepsilon(\text{exp})=0.67$ (1978El11).
(244 9)	280.30	≈ 0.37	≈ 8.3	$\varepsilon K=0.706$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.219$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0748$ 19
(329 9)	195.10	≈ 44	≈ 6.5	$\varepsilon K=0.746$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.1905$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.0637$ 8 $\varepsilon K/\varepsilon(\text{exp})=0.741$ 12. Weighted average of 0.740 15 (1978El11) and 0.744 20 (1968Ha23).
(336 9)	187.61	≈ 27.8	≈ 6.8	$\varepsilon K=0.748$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.1889$ 20; $\varepsilon M+=0.0630$ 8 $\varepsilon K/\varepsilon(\text{exp})=0.753$ 13. Weighted average of 0.747 15 (1978El11) and 0.766 23 (1968Ha23).
(524 9)	0.0	≤ 15	≥ 7.5	$\varepsilon K=0.7796$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.1662$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.05420$ 25

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹⁸⁸Pt ε decay (10.16 d) 1978EI11 (continued) $\gamma^{(188\text{Ir})}$

I γ normalization: from $\Sigma I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ (γ 's to g.s.) > 85%, by assuming <15% feeding to the g.s. From the measured I(K x ray) in 1968Ha23, it has been concluded by the authors that the direct feeding to the first three levels at 0.0, 54.8 and 96.7 keV is 5(10)%. However, from intensity balances in the present decay scheme no direct feeding to the 54.8 and 96.7 keV levels is deduced.

I(K x ray)=506 50 (1978EI11), 486 50 (1969Pl07), 520 78 (1968Ha23).

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger\&}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. ‡	$\delta^{\#}$	α^{\circledast}	Comments
41.98 5	2.7 3	96.74	2 $^{-}$	54.80	2 $^{-}$	M1+E2	0.070 7	14.8 4	%I γ >0.52 $\alpha(L)=11.37$ 25; $\alpha(M)=2.64$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.649$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.1134$ 24; $\alpha(P)=0.00789$ 12 Mult., δ : from L1/L2/L3=100/11/5.7 (1963Pr12) and L1/L2=7.1 10 (1962Ca27).
54.85 5	3.9 4	54.80	2 $^{-}$	0.0	1 $^{-}$	M1+E2	0.65 4	24.5 17	%I γ >0.75 $\alpha(L)=18.6$ 13; $\alpha(M)=4.7$ 4 $\alpha(N)=1.13$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.176$ 12; $\alpha(P)=0.00269$ 9 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(L)\exp=12.7$, L1/L2/L3=39/100/100 (1963Pr12) and L1/L2=0.27 6, L1/L3=0.29 6 (1962Ca27). I $_{\gamma}$: Note that I $\gamma=1.6$ 8 in 1968Ha23.
92.9 ^a 2	\approx 0.12	280.30	(1,2) $^{-}$	187.61	(1) $^{-}$	[M1,E2]		7.56 12	%I γ >0.023 $\alpha(K)=6.23$ 10; $\alpha(L)=1.028$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.237$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0582$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.01031$ 16; $\alpha(P)=0.000776$ 12
96.70 5	0.9 4	96.74	2 $^{-}$	0.0	1 $^{-}$	E2+M1	1.41 4	5.78 9	%I γ >0.17 $\alpha(K)=2.38$ 7; $\alpha(L)=2.57$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.652$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.158$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.0245$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.000297$ 9 Mult., δ : from K/L1/L2/L3=100/14/54/38 (1963Pr12).
98.37 5	1.75 17	195.10	1 $^{-}$	96.74	2 $^{-}$	M1(+E2)	<0.1	6.41	%I γ >0.34 $\alpha(K)=5.27$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.882$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.204$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.0501$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.00884$ 17; $\alpha(P)=0.000655$ 10 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=6.7$ and K/L1/L2=100/15/1.5 (1963Pr12).
132.86 10	1.3 3	187.61	(1) $^{-}$	54.80	2 $^{-}$	E2		1.503	%I γ >0.25 $\alpha(K)=0.456$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.788$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.202$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.0489$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.00751$ 11; $\alpha(P)=4.60\times 10^{-5}$ 7 Mult.: $\alpha(L2)\exp=0.69$; L2/L3=1.5 (1962Ca27).
140.35 10	12.0 6	195.10	1 $^{-}$	54.80	2 $^{-}$	M1(+E2)	<0.13	2.32	%I γ >2.30 $\alpha(K)=1.91$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.316$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.0730$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.0180$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.00317$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.000236$ 4 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.32$ and K/L1/L2/L3=100/21/1.5/<0.2 (1963Pr12).
187.59 10	100 5	187.61	(1) $^{-}$	0.0	1 $^{-}$	E2(+M1)	\approx 29	0.431	%I γ >19.1 $\alpha(K)\approx 0.201$; $\alpha(L)\approx 0.1734$; $\alpha(M)\approx 0.0441$ $\alpha(N)\approx 0.01068$; $\alpha(O)\approx 0.001662$; $\alpha(P)\approx 2.01\times 10^{-5}$ Mult., δ : K/L1/L2/L3/M/N=1.0/0.16/0.02/0.002/0.044/0.01 (1964Sa30).

¹⁸⁸Pt ε decay (10.16 d) 1978EI11 (continued) $\gamma(^{188}\text{Ir})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^@$	Comments
						M1(+E2)	<0.1	0.918 14	
195.05 10	96 5	195.10	1 ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻				%I γ >18.4 $\alpha(K)=0.757$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.1238$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.0285$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00701$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.001241$ 18; $\alpha(P)=9.32\times 10^{-5}$ 14 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.89$, K/L=6.55 7, L/M=3.47 3 (1962Ca27). Other: K/L1/L2/L3/M/N=1.0/0.17/0.01/0.002/0.043/0.01 (1964Sa30).
197.8 ^a 4	<0.3	478.17	1 ⁺	280.30 (1,2) ⁻	(E1)			0.0702 11	%I γ >0.03 $\alpha(K)=0.0578$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.00957$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.00220$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000535$ 8; $\alpha(O)=9.09\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(P)=5.45\times 10^{-6}$ 8 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<2.6$.
280.30 15	1.6 2	280.30	(1,2) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	E2+M1	1.16 +27-21	0.211 23	%I γ >0.31 $\alpha(K)=0.160$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.0388$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.00932$ 23 $\alpha(N)=0.00228$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000382$ 14; $\alpha(P)=1.9\times 10^{-5}$ 3 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.16$.
283.15 20	0.54 27	478.17	1 ⁺	195.10	1 ⁻	[E1]		0.0290	%I γ >0.10 $\alpha(K)=0.0240$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00384$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000882$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.000215$ 3; $\alpha(O)=3.69\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(P)=2.36\times 10^{-6}$ 4
290.64 20	0.55 20	478.17	1 ⁺	187.61 (1) ⁻	[E1]			0.0272	%I γ >0.11 $\alpha(K)=0.0226$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00360$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000826$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.000201$ 3; $\alpha(O)=3.46\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=2.23\times 10^{-6}$ 4
381.43 10	38.5 20	478.17	1 ⁺	96.74	2 ⁻	E1		0.01445	%I γ >7.4 $\alpha(K)=0.01202$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.00188$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000429$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0001047$ 15; $\alpha(O)=1.81\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.217\times 10^{-6}$ 17 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0144$.
423.34 10	22.5 12	478.17	1 ⁺	54.80	2 ⁻	E1		0.01144	%I γ >4.3 $\alpha(K)=0.00953$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.001474$ 21; $\alpha(M)=0.000337$ 5 $\alpha(N)=8.22\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(O)=1.429\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(P)=9.72\times 10^{-7}$ 14 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0078$.
478.3 5	9.2 15	478.17	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻	E1		0.00876	%I γ >1.8 $\alpha(K)=0.00731$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.001119$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.000256$ 4 $\alpha(N)=6.24\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=1.087\times 10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(P)=7.52\times 10^{-7}$ 11 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.02$.

[†] From 1978EI11. The I γ values reported in 1978EI11, 1968Ha23 and 1969Pi07 are consistent, except as noted.

[‡] From the ce data of 1963Pr12 and I γ data of 1978EI11, and sub-shell ratios of 1962Ca27, 1964Sa30 and 1963Pr12.

[#] Deduced using the BrIccmixing program (v.23). Uncertainties of 10% are assumed for the input data, if not specifically given by the authors.

[&] Additional information 1.

[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≥ 0.191 .

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{188}\text{Pt } \varepsilon \text{ decay (10.16 d)} \quad 1978\text{El11}$ 