

$^{188}\text{Hg } \varepsilon$ decay (3.25 min) 1985Ab03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	F. G. Kondev, S. Juutinen, D. J. Hartley		NDS 150, 1 (2018)	1-Feb-2018

Parent: ^{188}Hg : E=0.0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=3.25$ min 15; $Q(\varepsilon)=2169$ 13; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0

^{188}Hg -% ε +% β^+ decay: % ε +% β^+ =99.99963 8, % α = 3.7×10^{-5} 8.

1985Ab03: Mass separated source from $^{197}\text{Au}(p,xn)$ reaction at 200 MeV. Measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$, ce, γ x, ce ce(t), ce γ (t). Magnetic spectrometer used for ce data for γ 's below 350 keV. Others (from the same laboratory): [1972HuZL](#), [1971JoZK](#).

Others: [1984DaZJ](#), [1978CoYZ](#), [1971Hu02](#), [1970Fi16](#), [1960Al20](#), [1960Po07](#).

 ^{188}Au Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	1^-	8.84 min 6	$T_{1/2}$: From β -265.6 γ (t) and β -339.9 γ (t) in 1972Fi12 , 1970Fi16 .
16.0 1	$(2)^-$	0.67 ns 8	$T_{1/2}$: From (ce(M) 16 γ)(ce(L) 66 γ , ce(L) 98 γ , ce(K) 190 γ)(t) (1985Ab03).
82.7 1	1^+	1.4 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$: From (ce(L) 66 γ)(KLL Auger)(t) (1985Ab03).
114.2 1	$(1)^-$		
114.8 1	$(2)^-$	0.22 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$: From (ce(K) 115 γ)(ce(K) 190 γ , γ)(t) (1985Ab03).
172.0 1	$(1,2)^-$		
217.5 1	$(1)^+$		
297.1 3	$(0,1)^-$		
304.9 1	$(1)^-$		
442.7 4	$(0,1)^+$		
447.1 2	$(0,1)^+$		
566.6 3	(1^-)		
567.3 2	$(0,1)^-$		
606.0 2	$(2)^+$		
859.8 3	$(2)^+$		
961.3 1	$(0^-,1)$		
1012.1 3	$(1)^+$		
1047.8 2	(1)		
1103.0 3	$(1)^+$		
1123.4 3	(1)		
1205.0 3	$(1)^+$		

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

$\beta^++\varepsilon$ decay strength measurements using total absorption technique ([1975Ho03](#), [1970Du09](#)) suggest that >98% of the strength is below 600 keV, implying that the main $\beta^++\varepsilon$ feedings proceed to levels below 600 keV.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [†]	$I\varepsilon$ [†]	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ [†]	Comments
(964 13)	1205.0		1.02 15	5.64 7	1.02 15	$\varepsilon K=0.7956$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.1542$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.05018$ 10
(1046 13)	1123.4		0.198 22	6.43 6	0.198 22	$\varepsilon K=0.7976$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.15273$ 22; $\varepsilon M+=0.04963$ 9
(1121 13)	1047.8		0.64 6	5.98 5	0.64 6	$\varepsilon K=0.7992$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.15160$ 19; $\varepsilon M+=0.04919$ 7
(1157 13)	1012.1		0.24 8	6.44 15	0.24 8	$\varepsilon K=0.7999$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.15112$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.04901$ 7
(1208 13)	961.3		0.23 5	6.50 10	0.23 5	$\varepsilon K=0.8007$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.15050$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.04876$ 6
(1309 [‡] 13)	859.8		≤ 0.08	≥ 7.0	≤ 0.08	$\varepsilon K=0.8022$ 2; $\varepsilon L=0.1494$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.04833$ 6
(1563 13)	606.0	≤ 0.0002	≤ 0.2	≥ 6.8	≤ 0.2	av $E\beta=264.1$ 58; $\varepsilon K=0.8042$; $\varepsilon L=0.1471$ 1; $\varepsilon M+=0.04748$ 4
(1602 13)	567.3	0.00181 19	1.19 6	6.04 3	1.19 6	av $E\beta=281.3$ 58; $\varepsilon K=0.8043$; $\varepsilon L=0.1468$ 1;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{188}Hg ε decay (3.25 min) 1985Ab03 (continued)

ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^+ [†]	I e^+ [†]	Log $f\tau$	I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$) [†]	Comments
(1602 13)	566.6	0.00073 9	0.48 4	6.44 5	0.48 4	$\varepsilon M+=0.04736$ 4 av $E\beta=281.6$ 58; $\varepsilon K=0.8043$; $\varepsilon L=0.1468$ 1; $\varepsilon M+=0.04736$ 4
(1722 13)	447.1	0.0022 2	0.69 6	6.34 5	0.69 6	av $E\beta=334.5$ 58; $\varepsilon K=0.80395$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.1459$ 1; $\varepsilon M+=0.04701$ 4
(1726 13)	442.7	0.00075 20	0.23 6	6.82 12	0.23 6	av $E\beta=336.5$ 58; $\varepsilon K=0.80392$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.1458$ 1; $\varepsilon M+=0.04700$ 4
(1864 13)	304.9	0.046 4	7.2 4	5.40 4	7.2 4	av $E\beta=397.1$ 57; $\varepsilon K=0.8024$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.1447$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.04658$ 4
(1872 13)	297.1	0.0031 4	0.47 5	6.59 5	0.47 5	av $E\beta=400.5$ 57; $\varepsilon K=0.8023$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.1446$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.04656$ 4
(1952 13)	217.5	0.0109 14	1.20 14	6.22 6	1.21 14	av $E\beta=435.4$ 57; $\varepsilon K=0.8008$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.1439$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.04631$ 5
(1997 13)	172.0	0.0143 23	1.33 20	6.19 7	1.34 20	av $E\beta=455.4$ 57; $\varepsilon K=0.7997$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.1435$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.04616$ 5
(2054 13)	114.8	≤ 0.002	≤ 0.6	$\geq 7.7^{1u}$	≤ 0.6	av $E\beta=490.8$ 56; $\varepsilon K=0.7946$; $\varepsilon L=0.1528$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.04974$ 6
(2055 13)	114.2	0.033 4	2.5 3	5.95 6	2.5 3	av $E\beta=480.7$ 57; $\varepsilon K=0.7980$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.1429$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.04596$ 5
(2086 13)	82.7	0.94 7	64 4	4.55 4	65 4	av $E\beta=494.5$ 57; $\varepsilon K=0.7970$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.1426$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.04585$ 5
(2169 13)	0.0	0.32 23	17 12	5.2 3	17 12	av $E\beta=530.7$ 57; $\varepsilon K=0.7940$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.14169$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.04554$ 5 I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$): From Auger electron and K x ray intensities in 1985Ab03.

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable.

¹⁸⁸Hg ε decay (3.25 min) 1985Ab03 (continued) $\gamma(^{188}\text{Au})$ I γ normalization: From I($\varepsilon+\beta^+$)(g.s.)=17% 12 (1985Ab03).

E γ	I γ &	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{\#}$	$\alpha^{@}$	Comments
16.0 1	5.5 4	16.0	(2) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	M1+E2	0.044 3	352 13	%I γ =0.20 3 $\alpha(L)=268$ 10; $\alpha(M)=64.2$ 25 $\alpha(N)=15.9$ 6; $\alpha(O)=2.85$ 10; $\alpha(P)=0.158$ 4 I γ : From I($\gamma+ce$)=1940 (1985Ab03). The value of I γ =11, also quoted by 1985Ab03, would give I($\gamma+ce$)=3509, implying a large $\beta^++\varepsilon$ feeding to the 16.0 level. Mult., δ : From M1/M2/M3=100/21/17 in 1985Ab03. %I γ =0.0024 5
^x 54.9 1	0.066 7			M2			285 5		$\alpha(L)=212$ 4; $\alpha(M)=55.8$ 9 $\alpha(N)=14.14$ 23; $\alpha(O)=2.51$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.1331$ 22 I γ : from I(ce)=19 2 (1985Ab03) and the assumed transition multipolarity. Mult.: from L1/L2/L3=100/11/39 (1985Ab03). %I γ =52 8
66.7 1	1430 70	82.7	1 ⁺	16.0 (2) ⁻	(E1)		0.248		$\alpha(L)=0.190$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0446$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.01086$ 16; $\alpha(O)=0.00182$ 3; $\alpha(P)=7.01\times 10^{-5}$ 11 I γ : from I($\gamma+ce$)=1790 90 (1985Ab03) and α for E1 Mult. Mult.: L1/L2/L3=100/54/68 in 1985Ab03 allows E1 or M1+E2 with $\delta=0.38$ 2.
82.7 1	59 6	82.7	1 ⁺	0.0 1 ⁻	(E1)		0.659		%I γ =2.1 4 $\alpha(K)=0.521$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.1060$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.0248$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00605$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.001027$ 15; $\alpha(P)=4.27\times 10^{-5}$ 6 Mult.: L1/L2/L3=100/41/34 in 1985Ab03 allows E1 or M1+E2 with $\delta=0.36$ 2.
98.2 1	3.3 8	114.2	(1) ⁻	16.0 (2) ⁻	M1+E2	<0.03	7.64		%I γ =0.12 4 $\alpha(K)=6.27$ 9; $\alpha(L)=1.058$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.246$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0612$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.01124$ 17; $\alpha(P)=0.000758$ 11 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=7.6$ (1985Ab03). Other: $\alpha(L)\exp=0.51$ (1970Fi16). %I γ =0.31 6
98.8 1	8.7 9	114.8	(2) ⁻	16.0 (2) ⁻	M1+E2	<0.17	7.48		$\alpha(K)=6.08$ 12; $\alpha(L)=1.07$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.251$ 11 $\alpha(N)=0.062$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.0114$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.000736$ 14 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=8.3$ (1985Ab03). %I γ =0.072 22
102.0 1	2.0 5	1205.0	(1) ⁺	1103.0 (1) ⁺	M1+E2	<0.2	6.82 11		$\alpha(K)=5.53$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.99$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.231$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.058$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.0105$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.000668$ 15 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=6.9$ in 1985Ab03. %I γ =0.50 9
114.2 1	13.8 8	114.2	(1) ⁻	0.0 1 ⁻	M1+E2	2.02 +18-12	3.40 7		$\alpha(K)=1.26$ 10; $\alpha(L)=1.61$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.415$ 11

¹⁸⁸Hg ε decay (3.25 min) 1985Ab03 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{188}\text{Au})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ &	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	$\delta^{\#}$	$\alpha^{@}$	Comments
114.8 1	27.4 12	114.8	(2) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	M1+E2	0.34 2	4.69	$\alpha(N)=0.1021$ 25; $\alpha(O)=0.0166$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.000151$ 11 I_γ : from Ice=61 3 (1985Ab03) and α . Mult., δ : from K/L3=2.0 (1985Ab03). $\%I_\gamma=0.99$ 17 $\alpha(K)=3.65$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.790$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.188$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.0468$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.00832$ 18; $\alpha(P)=0.000440$ 8 I_γ : from Ice=156 7 (1985Ab03) and α . Mult., δ : from K/L3=44.5 (1985Ab03).
^x 126.5 1	1.7 4					M1+E2		3.70	$\%I_\gamma=0.061$ 18 $\alpha(K)=3.04$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.510$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.1183$ 17 $\alpha(N)=0.0295$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.00542$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.000366$ 6 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.1$ in 1985Ab03.
134.8 1	14.2 9	217.5	(1) ⁺	82.7	1 ⁺	M1+E2	0.68 2	2.61 5	$\%I_\gamma=0.51$ 9 $\alpha(K)=1.87$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.563$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.138$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.0342$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.00589$ 10; $\alpha(P)=0.000223$ 5 I_γ : from Ice=51 3 (1985Ab03). Mult., δ : from K/L3=10.4 (1985Ab03); $\alpha(L)\exp=0.20$ (1970Fi16).
^x 141.4 1	3.2 8					M1+E2		2.70	$\%I_\gamma=0.12$ 4 $\alpha(K)=2.22$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.371$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.0860$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.0214$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.00394$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.000266$ 4 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.0$ in 1985Ab03.
^x 142.4 1	5.5 6					M1+E2		2.64	$\%I_\gamma=0.20$ 4 $\alpha(K)=2.17$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.363$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.0843$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.0210$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.00386$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.000261$ 4 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.0$ in 1985Ab03.
152.3 1	2.2 5	1012.1	(1) ⁺	859.8	(2) ⁺	M1+E2	1.08 16	1.54 10	$\%I_\gamma=0.079$ 22 $\alpha(K)=1.00$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.408$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.102$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.0251$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.00425$ 17; $\alpha(P)=0.000117$ 15 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.0$ in 1985Ab03.
155.8 [†] 1	13 2	172.0	(1,2) ⁻	16.0	(2) ⁻	M1+E2	0.94 18	1.52 12	$\%I_\gamma=0.47$ 11 $\alpha(K)=1.04$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.361$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.089$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0221$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.00377$ 18; $\alpha(P)=0.000122$ 18 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.15$ in 1985Ab03. Other: $\alpha(L)\exp=0.59$ in 1970Fi16.
^x 167.0 1	2.8 7					(M1+E2)		1.685	$\%I_\gamma=0.10$ 3 $\alpha(K)=1.385$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.231$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.0536$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.01335$ 19; $\alpha(O)=0.00245$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.0001658$ 24 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp<1.0$ in 1985Ab03.
172.1 [†] 1	1.8 4	172.0	(1,2) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	M1+E2	<0.3	1.51 5	$\%I_\gamma=0.065$ 18 $\alpha(K)=1.23$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.215$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0503$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.0125$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00229$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.000147$ 6 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.4$ in 1985Ab03.
182.9 3	7.8 8	297.1	(0,1) ⁻	114.2	(1) ⁻	M1+E2	1.9 +3-2	0.68 4	$\%I_\gamma=0.28$ 6 $\alpha(K)=0.40$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.215$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.0543$ 12

¹⁸⁸Hg ε decay (3.25 min) 1985Ab03 (continued)

$\gamma(^{188}\text{Au})$ (continued)										
E_γ	I_γ &	$E_i(\text{level})$	J^π_i	E_f	J^π_f	Mult. [‡]	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^@$	Comments	
^x 185.8 1	9.4 10					M1+E2		1.249	$\alpha(N)=0.0134$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.00223$ 4; $\alpha(P)=4.5\times10^{-5}$ 5 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.4$ in 1985Ab03 . $\%I_\gamma=0.34$ 7 $\alpha(K)=1.026$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.1709$ 24; $\alpha(M)=0.0396$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00988$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.00182$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.0001227$ 18 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(L)\exp=0.20$ in 1970Fi16 .	
190.1 1	100 5	304.9	(1) ⁻	114.8	(2) ⁻	M1+E2	0.06 3	1.168	$\%I_\gamma=3.6$ 6 $\alpha(K)=0.960$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.1603$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.0372$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00927$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.001704$ 24; $\alpha(P)=0.0001147$ 17 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.0$, L12/L3=104 (1985Ab03), $\alpha(L)\exp=0.10$ (1970Fi16).	
190.7 1	4.5 11	304.9	(1) ⁻	114.2	(1) ⁻	M1+E2	>0.7	0.68 25	$\%I_\gamma=0.16$ 5 $\alpha(K)=0.45$ 26; $\alpha(L)=0.178$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.045$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0110$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.00186$ 9; $\alpha(P)=5.1\times10^{-5}$ 32 Mult., δ : from L2/L3=1.7 in 1985Ab03 .	
192.9 1	2.1 5	1205.0	(1) ⁺	1012.1	(1) ⁺	[M1+E2]		1.124	$\%I_\gamma=0.076$ 22 $\alpha(K)=0.924$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.1538$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.0357$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.00889$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.001634$ 23; $\alpha(P)=0.0001104$ 16	
^x 196.6 1	3.3 8								$\%I_\gamma=0.12$ 4 $\%I_\gamma=0.09$ 3	
^x 220.7 3	2.6 7								$\%I_\gamma=0.14$ 5 $\alpha(K)=0.616$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.1023$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.0237$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00591$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.001087$ 16; $\alpha(P)=7.35\times10^{-5}$ 11 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.28$ in 1985Ab03 .	
^x 223.1 3	4.0 10					M1+E2		0.750	$\%I_\gamma=0.13$ 4 $\alpha(K)=0.592$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.0996$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.0231$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00576$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.001057$ 16; $\alpha(P)=7.05\times10^{-5}$ 16 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.73$ in 1985Ab03 .	
225.2 3	3.7 9	442.7	(0,1) ⁺	217.5	(1) ⁺	M1+E2	<0.2	0.721 14	$\%I_\gamma=0.079$ 22 $\alpha(K)=0.593$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0983$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.0228$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00568$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.001045$ 16; $\alpha(P)=7.07\times10^{-5}$ 11 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.77$ in 1985Ab03 .	
^x 226.3 3	2.2 5					M1+E2		0.721 11	$\%I_\gamma=0.24$ 5 $\alpha(K)=0.562$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.0943$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.0219$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00546$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.001002$ 15; $\alpha(P)=6.70\times10^{-5}$ 13 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.94$ in 1985Ab03 .	
229.6 3	6.7 7	447.1	(0,1) ⁺	217.5	(1) ⁺	M1+E2	<0.18	0.685 13	$\%I_\gamma=0.09$ 3 $\alpha(K)=0.478$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.0802$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.0186$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.00464$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.000852$ 13; $\alpha(P)=5.69\times10^{-5}$ 13 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.63$ in 1985Ab03 .	
243.2 3	2.4 6	1103.0	(1) ⁺	859.8	(2) ⁺	M1+E2	<0.21	0.582 12	$\%I_\gamma=0.43$ 8 $\alpha(K)=0.35$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0682$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.0161$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00401$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.000721$ 22; $\alpha(P)=4.1\times10^{-5}$ 5 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.35$ in 1985Ab03 .	
253.8 3	12 1	859.8	(2) ⁺	606.0	(2) ⁺	M1+E2	0.57 19	0.44 5	$\%I_\gamma=0.43$ 8 $\alpha(K)=0.35$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0682$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.0161$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00401$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.000721$ 22; $\alpha(P)=4.1\times10^{-5}$ 5 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.35$ in 1985Ab03 .	

From ENSDF

188Au 109-5

¹⁸⁸Hg ε decay (3.25 min) 1985Ab03 (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{188}\text{Au})$ (continued)</u>									
E_γ	I_γ &	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	$\delta^{\#}$	$\alpha^{@}$	Comments
262.4 3	21 1	567.3	(0,1) ⁻	304.9	(1) ⁻	E2(+M1)	3.5 +12-7	0.178 13	%I γ =0.76 13 $\alpha(K)=0.110$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.0520$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.01308$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.00323$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000541$ 10; $\alpha(P)=1.19\times10^{-5}$ 15 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.11$ in 1985Ab03.
263.5 3		1123.4	(1)	859.8	(2) ⁺				E γ : placement is from γ - γ coin. I γ not available.
x268.4 3	5.4 6								%I γ =0.19 4
x281.8 3	3.4 9								%I γ =0.12 4
297.1 <i>b</i> 3	4.6 9	297.1	(0,1) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	[M1+E2]		0.341	%I γ =0.17 5 $\alpha(K)=0.281$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0463$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.01073$ 16 $\alpha(N)=0.00267$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000492$ 7; $\alpha(P)=3.33\times10^{-5}$ 5
x299.6 3	3.1 8								%I γ =0.11 4
304.9 <i>b</i> 3	1.1 3	304.9	(1) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	[M1+E2]		0.318	%I γ =0.040 13 $\alpha(K)=0.262$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0431$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.00999$ 15 $\alpha(N)=0.00249$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000458$ 7; $\alpha(P)=3.10\times10^{-5}$ 5
x332.4 3	9.4 10								%I γ =0.34 7
345.2 3	6.6 7	1205.0	(1) ⁺	859.8	(2) ⁺	[M1+E2]		0.227	%I γ =0.24 5 $\alpha(K)=0.187$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0307$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00712$ 11 $\alpha(N)=0.00177$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.000326$ 5; $\alpha(P)=2.21\times10^{-5}$ 4
364.4 3	6.6 7	447.1	(0,1) ⁺	82.7	1 ⁺	M1+E2	<0.6	0.178 19	%I γ =0.24 5 $\alpha(K)=0.145$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.0250$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.0058$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00145$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.000265$ 17; $\alpha(P)=1.71\times10^{-5}$ 20 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.15$ in 1985Ab03.
x398.1 3	3.6 9								%I γ =0.13 4
406.1 3	4.9 12	1012.1	(1) ⁺	606.0	(2) ⁺	(M1+E2)		0.1467	%I γ =0.18 6 $\alpha(K)=0.1210$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.0198$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00458$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.001142$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.000210$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.428\times10^{-5}$ 21
451.8 3	12 1	566.6	(1) ⁻	114.8	(2) ⁻	M1+E2	<0.3	0.107 4	Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp<0.2$ in 1985Ab03. %I γ =0.43 8 $\alpha(K)=0.088$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0146$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00337$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.000840$ 21; $\alpha(O)=0.000154$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.04\times10^{-5}$ 4
x459.7 3	4.7 12								%I γ =0.17 5
x479.1 3	4.5 11								%I γ =0.16 5
x489.4 3	3.0 7								%I γ =0.11 3
523.3 3	15 2	606.0	(2) ⁺	82.7	1 ⁺	M1+E2	<0.5	0.070 6	%I γ =0.54 12 $\alpha(K)=0.057$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0095$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00221$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.00055$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000101$ 6; $\alpha(P)=6.7\times10^{-6}$ 6
x544.2 3	6.7 7					(M1+E2)		0.0677	Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.06$ in 1985Ab03. %I γ =0.24 5 $\alpha(K)=0.0559$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.00907$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.00210$ 3
x554.0 3	3.1 8								$\alpha(N)=0.000522$ 8; $\alpha(O)=9.62\times10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(P)=6.56\times10^{-6}$ 10 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp<0.07$ in 1985Ab03. %I γ =0.11 4

¹⁸⁸Hg ε decay (3.25 min) 1985Ab03 (continued) $\gamma(^{188}\text{Au})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ &	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^@$	Comments
567.2 3	8 I	567.3	(0,1) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	M1+E2	<0.5	0.057 5	%I γ =0.29 6 $\alpha(K)=0.047$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00077$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00178$ 11 $\alpha(N)=0.00044$ 3; $\alpha(O)=8.1\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=5.5\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.05$ in 1985Ab03.
^x 576.5 3	2.9 7								%I γ =0.10 3
^x 599.4 3	8.5 9					M1(+E2)		0.0526	%I γ =0.31 6 $\alpha(K)=0.0435$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00703$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001625$ 23 $\alpha(N)=0.000405$ 6; $\alpha(O)=7.45\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=5.09\times 10^{-6}$ 8 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.05$ in 1985Ab03.
606.0 3	9 I	606.0	(2) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻	E1		0.00576	%I γ =0.32 7 $\alpha(K)=0.00481$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.000737$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0001691$ 24 $\alpha(N)=4.19\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=7.61\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=4.82\times 10^{-7}$ 7 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(K)\exp<0.006$ in 1985Ab03.
^x 614.3 3	3.8 9								%I γ =0.14 4
^x 764.9 3	2.9 7								%I γ =0.10 3
^x 792.2 3	2.7 7								%I γ =0.10 3
^x 830.8 3	4.6 11								%I γ =0.17 5
^x 835.6 3	1.8 4								%I γ =0.065 18
^x 849.4 3	4.1 10								%I γ =0.15 5
^x 851.1 3	5.1 5								%I γ =0.18 4
944.9 [†] 3	2.4 6	961.3	(0 ⁻ ,1)	16.0	(2) ⁻				%I γ =0.09 3
961.3 [†] 3	4.1 10	961.3	(0 ⁻ ,1)	0.0	1 ⁻				%I γ =0.15 5
^x 964.9 3	2.9 7								%I γ =0.10 3
^x 988.2 3	4.0 10								%I γ =0.14 5
1031.8 3	4.7 12	1047.8	(1)	16.0	(2) ⁻				%I γ =0.17 5
1040.7 3	5.5 6	1123.4	(1)	82.7	1 ⁺				%I γ =0.20 4
^x 1046.9 ^a 3	13 ^a I								%I γ =0.47 9 I γ : for 1046.9 γ + 1047.7 γ .
1047.7 ^a 3	13 ^a I	1047.8	(1)	0.0	1 ⁻				%I γ =0.47 9 I γ : for 1046.9 γ +1047.7 γ .
^x 1125.2 3	3.2 8								%I γ =0.12 4
^x 1214.2 3	3.6 9								%I γ =0.13 4
^x 1242.1 3	5.6 6								%I γ =0.20 4
^x 1284.4 3	5.6 6								%I γ =0.20 4
^x 1304.5 3	6.7 7								%I γ =0.24 5
^x 1311.3 3	12 I								%I γ =0.43 8

[†] Placement in the level scheme made by the evaluators, based on the observed γ -ray decay pattern.[‡] From ce data in 1985Ab03, normalized to 266 γ , E2 in ¹⁸⁸Pt. The uncertainties were not reported, but probably about 10% for strong lines and \approx 25% for weak and unresolved lines.

$^{188}\text{Hg } \varepsilon$ decay (3.25 min) 1985Ab03 (continued)

$\gamma(^{188}\text{Au})$ (continued)

From least square fits to experimental I(ce) and α (K) data (1985Ab03) using the BrIccMixing code. 10% uncertainty was assumed to the experimental values, as those were not reported in 1985Ab03.

@ Additional information 1.

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.036 6.

^a Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

^b Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{188}Hg ε decay (3.25 min) 1985Ab03

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
& Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

