

^{187}Ta β^- decay (283 s) 2022Mu10

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Update	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	31-Mar-2022

Parent: ^{187}Ta : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=(7/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=283$ s 10; $Q(\beta^-)=3010$ 60; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{187}Ta - J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From 2022Mu10, measured by growth and decay time spectrum of γ rays in coincidence with β^- . Same value is given in ^{187}Ta Adopted Levels.

^{187}Ta - $Q(\beta^-)$: From 2021Wa16.

2022Mu10: ^{187}Ta was produced at the KEK Isotope Separation System (KISS) of RIBF-RIKEN facility in multinucleon transfer (MNT) reaction $\text{W}(^{136}\text{Xe}, \text{X})$, $E=7.2$ MeV/nucleon at the RIKEN Ring Cyclotron. Target-like fragments were thermalized, with element-selectively reionized by using a laser resonance ionization method, followed by A/Q selection using a dipole magnet with a high mass resolving power. Measured E_γ , I_γ , β^- , $\beta^- \gamma$ -coin, half-life of ^{187}Ta decay.

 ^{187}W Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	$3/2^-$	23.80 h 3	
77.2 1	$5/2^-$		
201.34 8	$7/2^-$		
350.22 9	$7/2^-$	5 ns 1	$T_{1/2}$: from ^{187}W Adopted Levels.

[†] Deduced by evaluator from least-squares fit to E_γ values.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log f_t	Comments
(2.66×10^3 6)	350.22	95 7	6.01 6	av $E\beta=1035$ 27 $I\beta^-$: deduced by evaluator from $I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ for 148.8, 273.0 and 350.5 transitions. 2022Mu10 give 87 16.
(2.81×10^3 6)	201.34	6 4	7.3 3	av $E\beta=1101$ 27 $I\beta^-$: deduced by evaluator from $I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ balance. 2022Mu10 give 9 3.
(2.93×10^3 6)	77.2	<4	>7.6	av $E\beta=1156$ 27 $I\beta^-$: -7 11 deduced by evaluator from $I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ balance. 2022Mu10 give 0 8.
(3.01×10^3 6)	0.0	<14	>8.4 ^{1u}	av $E\beta=1190$ 27 $I\beta^-$: 5 9 deduced by evaluator from $I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ balance. 2022Mu10 give 3 13.

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{187}\text{W})$

I_γ normalization: Absolute γ -ray intensities (per 100 decays) are given in 2022Mu10.

E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J^π_i	E_f	J^π_f	Mult.	δ	$\alpha^@$	Comments
77.24 8	6.8 8	77.2	$5/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$	M1+E2 [‡]	0.45 [‡] 2	10.17	$\alpha(\text{K})=7.03$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.40$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.580$ 23 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.138$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0204$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000722$ 14 E_γ : 77.3 in Fig. 3 of 2022Mu10; 77.28 4 in

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{187}Ta β^- decay (283 s) **2022Mu10** (continued) $\gamma(^{187}\text{W})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†#}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α [@]	Comments
									Adopted Gammas. Conversion coefficients for $E_\gamma=77.28$ 4 in ^{187}W Adopted Gammas.
123.9 2	3.1 5	201.34	$7/2^-$	77.2	$5/2^-$	$M1+E2$ [‡]	1.3 [‡] +6-3	2.01 13	$\alpha(K)=1.14$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.66$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.162$ 19 $\alpha(N)=0.038$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.0055$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.000106$ 24 E_γ : 124.2 in Fig. 3 of 2022Mu10 ; 124.23 3 in the Adopted Gammas. Conversion coefficients for $E_\gamma=124.23$ 3 in the Adopted Gammas. $I_\gamma(123.9)/I_\gamma(201.3)=0.246$ 41 as compared to 0.126 2 in ^{187}W Adopted Gammas, and 0.186 10 in (n, γ) (2014Hu02).
148.8 1	8.8 4	350.22	$7/2^-$	201.34	$7/2^-$	(M1,E2)		1.2 4	$\alpha(K)=0.8$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.29$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.070$ 25 $\alpha(N)=0.017$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.0025$ 7; $\alpha(P)=8\times 10^{-5}$ 5 E_γ : 149.0 in Fig. 3 of 2022Mu10 ; 148.96 4 in the Adopted Gammas. $I_\gamma(148.8)/I_\gamma(273.0)=0.144$ 8 as compared to 0.114 3 in the Adopted Gammas, and 0.153 9 in (n, γ) (2014Hu02).
201.3 1	12.6 6	201.34	$7/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$	[E2]		0.302 5	$\alpha(K)=0.1658$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.1032$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.0257$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00607$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.000856$ 13; $\alpha(P)=1.314\times 10^{-5}$ 19 E_γ : 201.4 in Fig. 3 of 2022Mu10 ; 201.42 1 in the Adopted Gammas.
273.0 1	61 2	350.22	$7/2^-$	77.2	$5/2^-$	(M1,E2)		0.20 9	$\alpha(K)=0.15$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.034$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.0079$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.00190$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.00029$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.5\times 10^{-5}$ 9 E_γ : 273.1 in Fig. 3 of 2022Mu10 ; 273.14 1 in the Adopted Gammas.
350.5 2	2.1 5	350.22	$7/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$	[E2]		0.0540 8	$\alpha(K)=0.0381$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.01217$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.00294$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000700$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.0001032$ 15; $\alpha(P)=3.35\times 10^{-6}$ 5 E_γ : 350.4 in Fig. 3 of 2022Mu10 ; 350.40 11 in the Adopted Gammas. $I_\gamma(350.5)/I_\gamma(273.0)=0.034$ 8 as compared to 0.025 2 in the Adopted Gammas, and 0.0164 19 in (n, γ) (2014Hu02).

[†] From Table I in **2022Mu10**. Note that E_γ values listed in authors' level-scheme Fig. 3 seem to be either from level-energy differences, or rounded values from ^{187}W Adopted Gammas, thus, are slightly different from those in authors' Table I.

[‡] From ^{187}W Adopted Gammas.

[#] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (**2008Ki07**) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{187}Ta β^- decay (283 s) 2022Mu10

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

