

¹⁸⁶Au ε decay (10.7 min) [1972Fi12,1985Va07](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. C. Batchelder and A. M. Hurst, M. S. Basunia		NDS 183, 1 (2022)	1-Mar-2022

Parent: ¹⁸⁶Au: E=0.0; J^π=3⁻; T_{1/2}=10.7 min 5; Q(ε)=6.15×10³ 3; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

Others: [1970Jo02](#), [1995AnZZ](#), [1996St12](#).

[1985Va07](#): measured low-temperature nuclear orientation; deduced multipolarity, δ from γ(θ,H,T) data; revised level scheme.

[1972Fi12](#): measured Eγ, Iγ, I(ce), γγ coin, ce-γ coin.

[1970Jo02](#): measured I(ce), E(ce).

The decay scheme is essentially that of [1985Va07](#).

¹⁸⁶Pt Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	2.10 h 5	
191.53 4	2 ⁺	260 ps 10	g=0.27 3 (1996St12) J ^π : 2 ⁺ from 192γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07). T _{1/2} : from 1972Fi12 . g-factor, relative to g[¹⁹² Pt, 2 ⁺]=0.30 I, is from transient field IMPAC measurements of 1996St12 .
471.53 18	0 ⁺		
490.34 9	4 ⁺		J ^π : 4 ⁺ from 299γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
607.15 12	2 ⁺		
798.49 12	2 ⁺		
877.3 4	6 ⁺		J ^π : 6 ⁺ from 387γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
956.55 14	3 ⁺		
991.51 22	4 ⁺		
1176.03 20	2 ⁺		J ^π : 2 ⁺ from 985γ(θ,H,T) or 704γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
1222.59 15	4 ⁺		J ^π : 4 ⁺ from 424γ(θ,H,T) or 1031γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
1363.40 21	(5 ⁺)		J ^π : 3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺ from 873γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07); however, J=5 is also consistent with experiment.
1407.79 15	3 ⁻		J ^π : 3 ⁻ from 1216γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
1417.90 18	(3 ⁺)		J ^π : From Adopted Levels. 2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺ from 1226γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
1612.2 4			
1633.00 17	4 ⁻		
1671.8 5	3 ⁺ ,4		J ^π : 3 ⁺ ,4 from 1182γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
1814.0 5			
1838.11 18	(4 ⁻)		J ^π : (4 ⁻) from 882γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
1896.5 3	2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺		J ^π : 2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺ from 1289γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
2159.6 3	4 ⁺		J ^π : 2 ⁺ ,4 ⁺ from 1203γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
2216.2 4	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺		J ^π : 3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺ from 1726γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
2227.6 3	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺		J ^π : 3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺ from 1738γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).

[†] From least-squares adjustment of Eγ.

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ [†]	Iε [†]	Log ft	I(ε+β ⁺) [†]	Comments
(3.92×10 ³ 3)	2227.6	1.5 1	3.7 4	6.83 5	5.2 5	av Eβ=1308 14; εK=0.587 5; εL=0.1004 9; εM+=0.0319 3
(3.93×10 ³ 3)	2216.2	0.91 9	2.3 2	7.04 5	3.2 3	av Eβ=1314 14; εK=0.585 5; εL=0.1001 9; εM+=0.0318 3
(3.99×10 ³ 3)	2159.6	0.35 4	0.83 8	7.50 5	1.18 12	av Eβ=1339 14; εK=0.575 5; εL=0.0984 9; εM+=0.0312 3
(4.25×10 ³ 3)	1896.5	0.84 7	1.6 1	7.28 5	2.4 2	av Eβ=1458 14; εK=0.531 5; εL=0.0907 9; εM+=0.0288 3
(4.31×10 ³ 3)	1838.11	2.9 2	5.1 4	6.78 4	8.0 6	av Eβ=1485 14; εK=0.521 5; εL=0.0890 9; εM+=0.0282 3
(4.34×10 ³ 3)	1814.0	0.18 3	0.32 4	7.99 7	0.50 7	av Eβ=1496 14; εK=0.517 5; εL=0.0883 9; εM+=0.0280 3
(4.48×10 ³ 3)	1671.8	0.25 3	0.37 5	7.94 6	0.62 8	av Eβ=1560 14; εK=0.494 5; εL=0.0842 9; εM+=0.0267 3

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{186}Au ε decay (10.7 min) [1972Fi12,1985Va07](#) (continued) ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ †	$I\varepsilon$ †	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ †	Comments
(4.52×10^3) 3)	1633.00	0.44 12	0.66 18	7.71 12	1.1 3	av $E\beta=1578$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.487$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0831$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.0264$ 3
(4.54×10^3) 3)	1612.2	0.18 3	0.25 4	8.12 8	0.43 7	av $E\beta=1587$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.484$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0825$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.0262$ 3
(4.73×10^3) 3)	1417.90	0.77 7	0.95 8	7.59 5	1.72 15	av $E\beta=1676$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.453$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0771$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.0245$ 3
(4.74×10^3) 3)	1407.79	2.0 3	2.4 4	7.18 8	4.4 7	av $E\beta=1680$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.451$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0768$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.0244$ 3
(4.79×10^3) 3)	1363.40	0.23 3	0.70 8	9.66 ^{1u} 6	0.93 11	av $E\beta=1661$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.615$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.1077$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.03432$ 23 Additional information 1.
(4.93×10^3) 3)	1222.59	1.5 1	1.7 2	7.38 5	3.2 3	av $E\beta=1765$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.423$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0719$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.02282$ 25
(4.97×10^3) 3)	1176.03	0.92 10	0.96 10	7.63 6	1.88 20	av $E\beta=1787$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.416$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0707$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.02243$ 25
(5.16×10^3) 3)	991.51	2.0 3	1.9 2	7.37 6	3.9 5	av $E\beta=1871$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.389$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0661$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.02097$ 24
(5.19×10^3) 3)	956.55	3.5 4	3.1 4	7.16 6	6.6 8	av $E\beta=1887$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.384$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0653$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.02071$ 23
(5.35×10^3) 3)	798.49	2.9 5	2.4 4	7.30 8	5.3 9	av $E\beta=1960$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.363$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0616$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.01953$ 22
(5.54×10^3) 3)	607.15	4.9 9	3.5 7	7.16 9	8.4 16	av $E\beta=2048$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.338$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0574$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.01819$ 21
(5.66×10^3) 3)	490.34	8.8 11	5.8 7	6.96 6	14.6 18	av $E\beta=2102$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.324$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0549$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.01741$ 20
(5.96×10^3) 3)	191.53	16 5	8.9 25	6.82 13	25 7	av $E\beta=2241$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.290$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0491$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.01556$ 18

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹⁸⁶Au ε decay (10.7 min) **1972Fi12,1985Va07 (continued)**

γ(¹⁸⁶Pt)

I_γ normalization: Normalized assuming I(γ+ce)(191.5+471.6+607.2+798.7+1176.1)= 100.

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^{π}	E_f	J_f^{π}	Mult. &	$\delta \& c$	α^b	Comments
191.53 4	100 7	191.53	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.417	%I _γ =62.4 11 α(K)=0.189 3; α(L)=0.1712 24; α(M)=0.0438 7 α(N)=0.01069 15; α(O)=0.001699 24; α(P)=1.80×10 ⁻⁵ 3 E _γ : from ce data of 1970Jo02; 191.5 3 from 1972Fi12. K:L1:L2:L3=100:20 3:54 5:42 5 (1970Jo02). (L1+L2)/L3=1.89 23, L1/L2=0.34 5 from 1970Jo02). α _k =0.19 (1972Fi12).
205.0 3	3.3 2	1838.11	(4) ⁻	1633.00	4 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.309 23	0.824 14	%I _γ =2.06 18 α(K)=0.669 12; α(L)=0.1190 18; α(M)=0.0278 5 α(N)=0.00686 11; α(O)=0.001220 18; α(P)=7.62×10 ⁻⁵ 14 α _k =0.72 13 (1972Fi12) suggesting M1 multipolarity. δ: -0.309 23 or +1.79 9 from γ(θ,H,T); 0.3 3 from α(K)exp.
225.1 3	0.70 5	1633.00	4 ⁻	1407.79	3 ⁻	M1+E2	1.3 +14-5	0.40 11	%I _γ =0.44 5 α(K)exp=0.29 11 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.29 11; α(L)=0.0887 16; α(M)=0.0218 5 α(N)=0.00534 10; α(O)=0.000894 22; α(P)=3.1×10 ⁻⁵ 13 δ: from α(K)exp.
231.7 3	0.93 7	1407.79	3 ⁻	1176.03	2 ⁺	(E1)		0.0487	%I _γ =0.58 6 α(K)exp=0.07 4 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0401 6; α(L)=0.00662 10; α(M)=0.001527 22 α(N)=0.000374 6; α(O)=6.49×10 ⁻⁵ 10; α(P)=3.56×10 ⁻⁶ 5 Mult.: E1 or E2 from α(K)exp; E2 is inconsistent with level scheme.
^x 257.9 3	1.40 8								%I _γ =0.87 8
266.5 ^f 4	0.42 4	1222.59	4 ⁺	956.55	3 ⁺				%I _γ =0.26 3 Assignment to ¹⁸⁶ Au ε decay is not certain (1972Fi12).
279.7 3	2.2 1	471.53	0 ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	E2		0.1216	%I _γ =1.37 11 α(K)exp=0.09 3 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0728 11; α(L)=0.0369 6; α(M)=0.00928 14 α(N)=0.00227 4; α(O)=0.000369 6; α(P)=7.26×10 ⁻⁶ 11
298.84 10	41 2	490.34	4 ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	E2		0.0996	%I _γ =25.6 19 α(K)exp=0.065 6 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0617 9; α(L)=0.0287 4; α(M)=0.00719 11 α(N)=0.001762 25; α(O)=0.000287 4; α(P)=6.21×10 ⁻⁶ 9 E _γ : from ce data of 1970Jo02; 298.6 3 from 1972Fi12.
307.9 3	0.66 5	798.49	2 ⁺	490.34	4 ⁺	E2		0.0912	%I _γ =0.41 4 α(K)exp=0.10 6 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0573 9; α(L)=0.0257 4; α(M)=0.00642 10 α(N)=0.001573 23; α(O)=0.000257 4; α(P)=5.78×10 ⁻⁶ 9
326.8 3	1.30 10	798.49	2 ⁺	471.53	0 ⁺	E2		0.0766	%I _γ =0.81 8

¹⁸⁶Au ε decay (10.7 min) 1972Fi12,1985Va07 (continued)

									<u>γ(¹⁸⁶Pt) (continued)</u>	
<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{†d}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.&</u>	<u>δ&c</u>	<u>α^b</u>	Comments	
349.4 3	2.10 13	956.55	3 ⁺	607.15	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+2.7 3	0.080 4	α(K)exp=0.08 5 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0494 7; α(L)=0.0206 3; α(M)=0.00514 8 α(N)=0.001259 19; α(O)=0.000206 3; α(P)=5.03×10 ⁻⁶ 8 %I _γ =1.31 12	
384.3 3	3.0 5	991.51	4 ⁺	607.15	2 ⁺	E2		0.0487	α(K)exp=0.057 19 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.057 4; α(L)=0.0175 4; α(M)=0.00429 9 α(N)=0.001054 22; α(O)=0.000176 4; α(P)=6.1×10 ⁻⁶ 4 δ: +0.70 6 or +2.8 3 from γ(θ,H,T); >1.7 from α(K)exp. %I _γ =1.9 4	
387.0 3	1.7 5	877.3	6 ⁺	490.34	4 ⁺	E2		0.0478	α(K)exp=0.051 30 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0334 5; α(L)=0.01161 17; α(M)=0.00287 4 α(N)=0.000703 10; α(O)=0.0001166 17; α(P)=3.46×10 ⁻⁶ 5 Mult.: D admixture possible; δ(M1,E2)>1.1 from α(K)exp. %I _γ =1.1 4	
415.56 16	13.7 6	607.15	2 ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.38 4	0.116 3	α(K)=0.0329 5; α(L)=0.01133 17; α(M)=0.00280 4 α(N)=0.000686 10; α(O)=0.0001138 17; α(P)=3.40×10 ⁻⁶ 5 %I _γ =8.6 7	
423.9 3	1.0 1	1222.59	4 ⁺	798.49	2 ⁺	E2		0.0375	α(K)exp=0.097 12 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0953 23; α(L)=0.0160 3; α(M)=0.00370 7 α(N)=0.000915 17; α(O)=0.000164 3; α(P)=1.07×10 ⁻⁵ 3 E from ce data of 1970Jo02; 415.5 3 from 1972Fi12. Other α(K)exp: 0.072 30 (1970Jo02). δ: -0.38 4 or +19 +20-8 from γ(θ,H,T); 0.3 3 from α(K)exp. -0.38 results in α _k =0.95 which fits value from (1972Fi12). %I _γ =0.62 8	
430.3 3	2.9 2	1838.11	(4) ⁻	1407.79	3 ⁻	M1+E2	+6.6 9	0.0379 8	α(K)exp=0.04 3 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0266 4; α(L)=0.00835 12; α(M)=0.00205 3 α(N)=0.000503 8; α(O)=8.40×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α(P)=2.77×10 ⁻⁶ 4 %I _γ =1.81 17	
^x 440.3 3	2.0 1					(E1,E2)			α(K)exp=0.027 12 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0272 7; α(L)=0.00812 13; α(M)=0.00198 3 α(N)=0.000488 8; α(O)=8.17×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α(P)=2.86×10 ⁻⁶ 8 δ: +0.353 22 or +6.6 9 from γ(θ,H,T); >2 from α(K)exp. %I _γ =1.25 10	
461.8 3	≤0.16	1417.90	(3) ⁺	956.55	3 ⁺	M1+(E2+E0)			α(K)exp=0.021 15 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.017 8; α(L)=0.0044 30; α(M)=0.0011 8 %I _γ =0.100 7	
466.3 3	2.1 1	956.55	3 ⁺	490.34	4 ⁺	(M1+E2)		0.062 33	α(K)exp≥0.5 (1972Fi12) I _γ : limit, given as ≥0.16 in 1972Fi12, is presumed to mean ≤0.16. %I _γ =1.31 11	
									α(K)=0.049 28; α(L)=0.0093 32; α(M)=0.00219 70 α(N)=5.4×10 ⁻⁴ 18; α(O)=9.5×10 ⁻⁵ 34; α(P)=5.5×10 ⁻⁶ 33 δ(D,Q)=+0.42 7 or +3.8 9 from γ(θ,H,T); Δπ=no from level scheme.	

¹⁸⁶Au ε decay (10.7 min) [1972Fi12,1985Va07](#) (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁶Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{†d}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.&</u>	<u>δ&c</u>	<u>α^b</u>	<u>I_(γ+ce)^{ad}</u>	<u>Comments</u>
471.6 5		471.53	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0				α(K)exp>1.7 (1972Fi12) I _γ : I _γ <0.4. A very weak γ observed by 1972Fi12 at 470.8 keV can be explained, by summing 191.5 + 279.7.
501.3 3	3.5 3	991.51	4 ⁺	490.34	4 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.85 9	0.055 3		%I _γ =2.18 23 α(K)exp=0.06 3 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.045 3; α(L)=0.0080 3; α(M)=0.00188 7 α(N)=0.000464 17; α(O)=8.2×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=5.0×10 ⁻⁶ 3 δ: -0.85 9 or +10 +11-3 from γ(θ,H,T); 0.3 +16-3 from α(K)exp. Tentative placement from 1972Fi12 ; confirmed in (α,6nγ).
607.01 15	#	798.49	2 ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	(E0+M1+E2)#			0.85# 14	E _γ : from ce data of 1970Jo02 for doublet which is apparently dominated by this transition.
607.2 3	8.5# 20	607.15	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]#		0.01567	#	%I _γ =5.3 13 α(K)=0.01200 17; α(L)=0.00280 4; α(M)=0.000671 10 α(N)=0.0001652 24; α(O)=2.83×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.270×10 ⁻⁶ 18
609.4 3	2.4 6	1407.79	3 ⁻	798.49	2 ⁺					%I _γ =1.5 4
615.6 ^{e†} 3	0.50 ^e 5	1222.59	4 ⁺	607.15	2 ⁺					%I _γ <0.31
615.6 ^{e†} 3	0.50 ^e 5	1838.11	(4) ⁻	1222.59	4 ⁺					%I _γ <0.31
676.5 3	5.3 2	1633.00	4 ⁻	956.55	3 ⁺	E1(+M2)	-0.14 10	0.0054 9		%I _γ =3.31 25 α(K)exp=0.0051 37 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.00387 19; α(L)=0.00059 4; α(M)=0.000135 9 α(N)=3.32×10 ⁻⁵ 21; α(O)=5.9×10 ⁻⁶ 4; α(P)=3.80×10 ⁻⁷ 25
704.4 3	0.9 1	1176.03	2 ⁺	471.53	0 ⁺					%I _γ =0.56 8 α(K)exp=0.017 13 (1972Fi12)
732.4 3	1.8 1	1222.59	4 ⁺	490.34	4 ⁺	E0+M1+E2		0.07 3		%I _γ =1.12 10 α(K)exp=0.057 22 (1972Fi12) 1985Va07 deduce δ=+0.07 10 or +0.83 17 from γ(θ,H,T).
765.4 3	17.0 9	956.55	3 ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+16 +4-3	0.00950		%I _γ =10.6 9 α(K)exp=0.0094 16 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.00752 11; α(L)=0.001520 22; α(M)=0.000360 6 α(N)=8.86×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α(O)=1.540×10 ⁻⁵ 22; α(P)=7.96×10 ⁻⁷ 12 δ: +0.322 16 or +16 +4-3 from γ(θ,H,T); 2.6 +45-8 from α(K)exp.

¹⁸⁶Au ε decay (10.7 min) **1972Fi12,1985Va07** (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁶Pt) (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ † ^d	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	α^b	Comments
^x 791.0 3	2.0 1							%I _γ =1.25 10
796.4 4	0.5 1	2159.6	4 ⁺	1363.40	(5 ⁺)			%I _γ =0.31 7
798.7 4	8.6 10	798.49	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺		0.00863	%I _γ =5.4 7 α(K)exp≤0.022 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.00686 10; α(L)=0.001360 20; α(M)=0.000321 5 α(N)=7.92×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α(O)=1.379×10 ⁻⁵ 20; α(P)=7.26×10 ⁻⁷ 11
800.4 4	<2.1 @	1407.79	3 ⁻	607.15	2 ⁺			%I _γ =0.7 7
810.7 3	0.61 6	1417.90	(3) ⁺	607.15	2 ⁺			%I _γ =0.38 5
873.1 2	2.0 1	1363.40	(5 ⁺)	490.34	4 ⁺			%I _γ =1.25 10 E _γ : ΔE=2 keV in 1972Fi12 is probably a typographical error.
881.6 3	3.4 2	1838.11	(4) ⁻	956.55	3 ⁺			%I _γ =2.12 19 α(K)exp≤0.018 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.0023 10; α(L)=0.00034 17; α(M)=8.E-5 4 α(N)=1.9×10 ⁻⁵ 10; α(O)=3.4×10 ⁻⁶ 18; α(P)=2.3×10 ⁻⁷ 12 (1/δ)=-24 18 (1985Va07) from γ(θ,H,T); δ≤0.9 from α(K)exp.
905.1 3	0.6 1	1896.5	2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺	991.51	4 ⁺			%I _γ =0.37 7
^x 907.7 4	0.5 1							%I _γ =0.31 7
917.5 4	0.7 1	1407.79	3 ⁻	490.34	4 ⁺			%I _γ =0.44 7
927.3 4	0.4 1	1417.90	(3) ⁺	490.34	4 ⁺			%I _γ =0.25 7
^x 956.7 4	≈0.1							%I _γ =0.06 4 Placed from 957 level by 1985Va07.
984.5 4	2.1 2	1176.03	2 ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	M1+E2		%I _γ =1.31 15
1031.0 3	2.2 1	1222.59	4 ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	E2	0.00515	Mult.,δ: from γ(θ,H,T); δ=-0.12 6 or +3.2 8 (1985Va07). %I _γ =1.37 11 α(K)=0.00418 6; α(L)=0.000746 11; α(M)=0.0001741 25 α(N)=4.29×10 ⁻⁵ 6; α(O)=7.56×10 ⁻⁶ 11; α(P)=4.41×10 ⁻⁷ 7 Mult.: Q from γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07).
1098.0 ^f 3	0.68 7	1896.5	2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺	798.49	2 ⁺			%I _γ =0.42 6 Assignment to ¹⁸⁶ Au ε decay is not certain (1972Fi12).
1121.9 3	0.7 1	1612.2		490.34	4 ⁺			%I _γ =0.44 7
1142.7 3	0.67 7	1633.00	4 ⁻	490.34	4 ⁺	(E1)	1.67×10 ⁻³	%I _γ =0.42 6 α(K)=0.001402 20; α(L)=0.000204 3; α(M)=4.65×10 ⁻⁵ 7 α(N)=1.147×10 ⁻⁵ 16; α(O)=2.06×10 ⁻⁶ 3; α(P)=1.381×10 ⁻⁷ 20; α(IPF)=4.22×10 ⁻⁶ 7 Mult.: D from γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07); Δπ=yes from level scheme.
1176.1 5	1.0 1	1176.03	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			%I _γ =0.62 8
1181.5 5	1.0 1	1671.8	3 ⁺ ,4	490.34	4 ⁺			%I _γ =0.62 8
1203.0 3	1.4 1	2159.6	4 ⁺	956.55	3 ⁺			%I _γ =0.87 9
1216.2 3	6.0 3	1407.79	3 ⁻	191.53	2 ⁺	E1	1.52×10 ⁻³	%I _γ =3.7 3 α(K)exp<0.0028 (1972Fi12) α(K)=0.001257 18; α(L)=0.000183 3; α(M)=4.16×10 ⁻⁵ 6 α(N)=1.024×10 ⁻⁵ 15; α(O)=1.84×10 ⁻⁶ 3; α(P)=1.240×10 ⁻⁷ 18; α(IPF)=2.27×10 ⁻⁵ 4

9

γ(¹⁸⁶Pt) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡d}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1226.1 3	1.6 1	1417.90	(3) ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	%I _γ =1.00 9
1271.1 5	0.8 1	2227.6	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	956.55	3 ⁺	%I _γ =0.50 7
1289.2 5	3.3 2	1896.5	2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺	607.15	2 ⁺	%I _γ =2.06 18
1323.7 4	0.8 1	1814.0		490.34	4 ⁺	%I _γ =0.50 7
^x 1345.5 4	0.8 1					%I _γ =0.50 7
^x 1400.0 4	0.55 6					%I _γ =0.34 5
1441.3 4	0.76 7	1633.00	4 ⁻	191.53	2 ⁺	%I _γ =0.47 6 α(K)=0.007 3; α(L)=0.0013 5 Mult.: δ(E3/M2)=+0.25 ⁺⁴⁴ ₋₂₁ or +3.7 ⁺¹³⁶ ₋₂₃ from γ(θ,H,T), however an E3/M2 1141 keV transition would not compete with a 1143 keV E1, multiplicity not adopted. δ: +0.25 +44-21 or +3.7 +136-23 from γ(θ,H,T).
^x 1532.7 4	1.6 2					%I _γ =1.00 14
^x 1589.5 4	0.7 1					%I _γ =0.44 7
1725.9 4	2.0 2	2216.2	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	490.34	4 ⁺	%I _γ =1.25 15
1737.6 4	3.0 3	2227.6	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	490.34	4 ⁺	%I _γ =1.87 22
2024.6 5	3.2 3	2216.2	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	%I _γ =2.00 23
2035.6 5	4.6 3	2227.6	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	191.53	2 ⁺	%I _γ =2.9 3

[†] From 1972Fi12, unless noted otherwise.

[‡] Placement from 1222 keV level in 1972Fi12 and from 1838 keV level in 1985Va07. However, 1985Va07 level scheme based on 1972Fi12 and 1978CoYZ. In 1978CoYZ, placement of this γ-ray from both states. Evaluators adopt 1978CoYZ placement.

[#] For the 607 doublet, α(K)exp=0.10 3 (1972Fi12), consistent with a large E0 component. The 607 ce(K) is coincident with the 192γ, but the 607γ is not (1972Fi12). The ce(K) intensity from 1972Fi12 (0.84 12 for doublet) has been divided assuming that the 607 transition from the 799 level is pure E0 (i.e., that none of the observed I(607γ) deexcites that level); the ce(K) component from the 607 level was deduced from I(607.2γ) and α(K)(E2 theory) and, from the remaining ce(K), ce(total) for the 799 to 192 transition was calculated using E0 theory. It should be noted, however, that 607 keV gammas are reported from both the 607 and the 799 levels in (α,6nγ). Mult=(E0+M1+E2) (rather than pure E0) is assigned to the 799 to 192 transition.

[@] I_γ(798.7γ+800.4γ)=2.1 2; based on adopted branching, essentially all the intensity is attributable to the 798.7γ.

[&] From measured α(K)exp (1972Fi12, assuming 192γ is E2) and γ(θ,H,T) (1985Va07). The multipolarity of the 192γ is established from conversion electron subshell ratios (1970Jo02).

^a From I(ce(K)) in 1972Fi12 and ce(K)/ce(total) from E0 theory, except as noted.

^b Additional information 2.

^c If no value given it was assumed δ=1.00 for E2/M1, δ=1.00 for E3/M2 and δ=0.10 for the other multiplicities.

^d For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.62 4.

^e Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

^f Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_(γ+ce) per 100 parent decays
& Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

Legend

- I_γ < 2% × I_γ^{max}
- I_γ < 10% × I_γ^{max}
- I_γ > 10% × I_γ^{max}
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

¹⁸⁶Au₁₀₇ 3⁻ 0.0 10.7 min 5
Q_ε=6.15 × 10³ 3
%ε + %β⁺ = 100.0

