

$^{183}\text{W}(\text{n},\gamma)$ E=7.6 eV **1973Ca02**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 111,275 (2010)	1-Oct-2009

Others: [1963Bo30](#), [1967Ra06](#), [1967Sp03](#), [1968Fa07](#), [1969Sa01](#), [1970We04](#). These authors measured $E(\gamma)$, $I(\gamma)$ from several resonances.

The decay scheme for the 7.6-eV, $J^\pi=1^-$ resonance is that of [1973Ca02](#), with the addition of possible levels at 1322, 1345, 1523 and 1808, and other changes as follows:

- 1) [1973Ca02](#) place the 418.8 γ as deexciting the 1424 level. However, a comparison of branching ratios with those in ^{184}Ta and ^{184}Re decays rules out this placement. In (n,γ) E=th, [1974Gr11](#) propose a level at 1322 depopulated solely by a 418.85 γ .
- 2) the 339.6 γ , unplaced by [1973Ca02](#), may be the same as the 339.34 γ deexciting a 1345 level in (n,γ) E=th ([1974Gr11](#)).
- 3) [1973Ca02](#) place the 1411.9 γ as deexciting the 1775 level. However, the ratio $I(\gamma)/I(872\gamma)$ does not agree with that in (n,γ) E=th ([1974Gr11](#)). [1974Gr11](#) propose a level at 1523 depopulated solely by a 1412.05 γ .
- 4) [1973Ca02](#) place the $\approx 1698\gamma$ as deexciting the 2062 level. However, the ratio $I(\gamma)/I(1949.6\gamma)$ does not agree with that reported in (n,γ) E=th ([1974Gr11](#)). [1974Gr11](#) place a 1697.49 γ as deexciting a level at 1808.
- 5) [1973Ca02](#) report a 635.8 γ which is unplaced. This may be the same transition as the 635.92 γ reported in (n,γ) E=th by [1974Gr11](#), and tentatively placed by these authors as deexciting the 2062 level. However, the ratios $I(\gamma)/I(1949.6\gamma)$ are not in good agreement.
- 6) [1973Ca02](#) show both a 145 γ and a 1570 γ deexciting the 1570 level. A 1570.2 γ is reported in (n,γ) E=th ([1974Gr11](#)) and is unplaced. No 145 γ is seen. If the two 1570 γ 's are the same transition, then the 145 γ does not deexcite the 1570 level.
- 7) [1973Ca02](#) suggest that the 1319.5 γ may deexcite both the 1431 level and a 2223 level. A comparison of the ratio $I(\gamma)/I(1431\gamma)$ with that in Re decay suggests that most of the intensity of the 1319.5 γ should be placed from the 1431 level.

 ^{184}W Levels

E(level) [†]	J π [#]	E(level) [†]	J π [#]	E(level) [†]	J π [#]	E(level) [†]	J π [#]
0.0	0 ⁺	1130.12 20	(2) ⁻	1430.88 16	2 ⁺	2060.6? 3	
111.24 13	2 ⁺	1133.41 20	4 ⁺	1523.15 24	(3) ⁺	2126.2 3	
363.96 17	4 ⁺	1221.03 22	3 ⁻	1570.4 7	(2) ⁺	2167.92 23	(1) ⁺
748 3	6 ⁺	1284.8 3	5 ⁻	1614.95 24	(1) ⁺	2222.7 3	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)
903.16 14	2 ⁺	1321.96 25	(0) ⁺	1627.48 24	(1) ⁺	2246.7 5	(2) ⁺
1002.31 24	0 ⁺	1345.2? 8	(4) ⁻	1774.9 3	(2) ⁺	2328.6? 5	(1,2 ⁺)
1005.63 19	3 ⁺	1386.14 14	2 ⁺	1808.59 22	(2) ⁺	7413.0 [‡] 3	1 ⁻
1121.27 15	2 ⁺	1424.76 18	(3) ⁺	1846.4? 16			

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$, omitting the 1951.7 γ which fits its placement very poorly.

[‡] Resonance capture state. $E(\text{resonance})=7.63$ eV, $J=1$, $L=0$, $\Gamma_\gamma=73$ 2 meV ([2006MuZX](#)).

[#] From Adopted Levels.

$^{183}\text{W}(\text{n},\gamma) \text{E}=7.6 \text{ eV}$ **1973Ca02 (continued)**

$\gamma(^{184}\text{W})$									
E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	δ [#]	α^C	Comments
111.2 7	167 58	111.24	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		2.57	
145.6 ^{bd} 7	4.7 23	1570.4	(2 ⁺)	1424.76	(3 ⁺)				
^x 201.8 7	1.9 10								
204.5 7	1.4 7	1424.76	(3 ⁺)	1221.03	3 ⁻	[E1]		0.0592 11	
215.6 7	10 5	1221.03	3 ⁻	1005.63	3 ⁺	E1		0.0518 9	
226.8 7	72 25	1130.12	(2 ⁻)	903.16	2 ⁺	E1+M2+E3		0.059 5	
252.8 7	85 30	363.96	4 ⁺	111.24	2 ⁺	E2		0.1438	
^x 273.3 7	1.3 7								
295.3 ^d 7	9 4	1424.76	(3 ⁺)	1130.12	(2 ⁻)	E1		0.0238	
318.3 7	17 9	1221.03	3 ⁻	903.16	2 ⁺	E1+M2	-0.020 10	0.0202 5	
339.6 ^d 7	3.6 18	1345.2?	(4 ⁻)	1005.63	3 ⁺	[E1]		0.0170 3	placed by evaluator.
^x 383.3 7	5.5 & 22								
≈384.5	1.4 & 6	748	6 ⁺	363.96	4 ⁺	E2		0.0417	
418.8 2	5.8 12	1321.96	(0 ⁺)	903.16	2 ⁺	[E2]		0.0331	
^x 479.4 2	5.7 11								
483.0 2	2.5 5	1386.14	2 ⁺	903.16	2 ⁺	M1+E2		0.15 10	
^x 551.3 2	1.20 24								
635.8 ^d 2	1.20 24	2060.6?		1424.76	(3 ⁺)				placed by evaluator.
641.8 2	7.7 15	1005.63	3 ⁺	363.96	4 ⁺	M1+E2	-8.5 8	0.01183 18	
^x 646.6 2	3.1 6								
678.1 2	3.1 6	1808.59	(2 ⁺)	1130.12	(2 ⁻)				
^x ≈710.5	4.2 ^a 19								
≈710.5	3.2 ^a 11	1614.95	(1 ⁺)	903.16	2 ⁺				
724.3 2	15 3	1627.48	(1 ⁺)	903.16	2 ⁺				
743.2 2	3.3 7	2167.92	(1 ⁺)	1424.76	(3 ⁺)				
757.4 2	23 5	1121.27	2 ⁺	363.96	4 ⁺	E2		0.00803	
^x 763.1 2	5.8 12								
769.3 2	6.3 [@] 12	1133.41	4 ⁺	363.96	4 ⁺	M1+E2	-6.3 +20-32	0.0080 4	
769.3 2	7 [@] 3	1774.9	(2 ⁺)	1005.63	3 ⁺				
792.0 2	95 18	903.16	2 ⁺	111.24	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-16.8 5	0.00733	
803.3 2	0.80 16	1808.59	(2 ⁺)	1005.63	3 ⁺				
^x 810.3 2	2.1 4								
≈871.7	6.4 13	1774.9	(2 ⁺)	903.16	2 ⁺				
^x 882.6 2	1.9 4								
891.1 2	60 12	1002.31	0 ⁺	111.24	2 ⁺	[E2]			
894.6 2	62 13	1005.63	3 ⁺	111.24	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-13.2 9	0.00569 8	
903.1 2	100	903.16	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.00554 8	
920.8 ^d 2	2.5 5	1284.8	5 ⁻	363.96	4 ⁺	E1+M2+E3		0.0030 2	
996.0 2	4.0 8	2126.2		1130.12	(2 ⁻)				
≈1004.5	2.5 5	2126.2		1121.27	2 ⁺				

$^{183}\text{W}(\text{n},\gamma) \text{E}=7.6 \text{ eV}$ **1973Ca02 (continued)**

$\gamma(^{184}\text{W})$ (continued)									
E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	δ [#]	α ^c	Comments
1010.1 2	35 7	1121.27	2 ⁺	111.24	2 ⁺	M1+E2+E0			
1018.5 2	3.9 8	1130.12	(2) ⁻	111.24	2 ⁺	(E1)			
1022.3 2	4.6 9	1133.41	4 ⁺	111.24	2 ⁺	E2		0.00431 6	
≈ 1033.9 ^d	0.9 4	2167.92	(1) ⁺	1133.41	4 ⁺				E_γ : placement of this transition is doubtful because an M3 multipolarity would be unlikely and the energy is only poorly known. Not included In Adopted Levels, Gammas.
≈ 1045.9	1.7 3	2167.92	(1) ⁺	1121.27	2 ⁺				
1060.7 2	1.20 24	1424.76	(3) ⁺	363.96	4 ⁺	E2		0.00401 6	
^x 1063.1 2	1.20 24								
1109.8 2	2.6 5	1221.03	3 ⁻	111.24	2 ⁺	E1+M2	+0.08 3	0.00159 10	
1121.1 2	14 3	1121.27	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.00359	
≈ 1264.7	1.0 ^{&} 4	2167.92	(1) ⁺	903.16	2 ⁺				E_γ : authors also place this transition from the 1628 level but this is rejected by the evaluator because that implies M3 multipolarity.
1274.8 2	18 4	1386.14	2 ⁺	111.24	2 ⁺	M1+E2			
^x ≈ 1302.9	1.4 3								
^x ≈ 1305.5	≤ 3.1								
1313.5 2	5.1 10	1424.76	(3) ⁺	111.24	2 ⁺	E2		0.00266 4	
1319.5 2	9.3 19	1430.88	2 ⁺	111.24	2 ⁺	M1+E2+E0		0.0038 12	
≈ 1319.5 ^d		2222.7	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	903.16	2 ⁺				tentatively placed from this level by 1973Ca02.
^x 1368.0 2	2.0 4								
^x 1376.4 2	1.10 22								
1386.2 2	15 3	1386.14	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.00242 4	
1411.9 2	7.1 14	1523.15	(3 ⁺)	111.24	2 ⁺				
^x 1421.4 2	1.4 3								
1431.0 2	8.1 16	1430.88	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.00230 4	
^x 1501.3 2	1.7 3								
1503.7 2	1.7 3	1614.95	(1 ⁺)	111.24	2 ⁺				
^x 1545.9 2	1.6 3								
^x 1557.6 2	1.5 6								
≈ 1570.6 ^b	1.8 7	1570.4	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺				
^x 1624.5 2	1.30 26								
^x 1668.9 2	3.2 6								
≈ 1698.1	2.6 5	1808.59	(2 ⁺)	111.24	2 ⁺				
^x ≈ 1849.2	2.4 ^{&} 5								
1858.7 2	0.60 12	2222.7	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	363.96	4 ⁺				
^x 1877.4 2	1.9 4								
^x 1945.2 2	3.8 8								
1951.7 ^d 2	14 3	2060.6?		111.24	2 ⁺				E_γ : significantly higher than adopted $E_\gamma=1949.60$ 25, so placement shown As tentative.
^x 1996.0 2	6.6 13								
^x 2000.5 2	2.4 5								

$\gamma(^{184}\text{W})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
$^{x2004.9\ 2}$	1.7 3					5350.6 10	13.9 21	7413.0	1 ⁻	2060.6?	
2015.0 5	6.1 24	2126.2		111.24 2 ⁺		5566.5 15	1.3 4	7413.0	1 ⁻	1846.4?	
2056.5 5	5.0 20	2167.92	(1) ⁺	111.24 2 ⁺		5603.6 15	3.8 6	7413.0	1 ⁻	1808.59 (2 ⁺)	
$^{x2090.6\ 5}$	1.1 4					5637.9 10	15.7 24	7413.0	1 ⁻	1774.9 (2) ⁺	
$^{x2097.6\ 5}$	3.7 15					5785.0 10	10 3	7413.0	1 ⁻	1627.48 (1) ⁺	
2135.4 5	3.2 13	2246.7	(2) ⁺	111.24 2 ⁺		5797 3	1.9 6	7413.0	1 ⁻	1614.95 (1) ⁺	
2168.0 5	0.50 20	2167.92	(1) ⁺	0.0 0 ⁺		5841 3	2.0 6	7413.0	1 ⁻	1570.4 (2 ⁺)	
$\approx 2245^d$	1.2& 5	2246.7	(2) ⁺	0.0 0 ⁺		5981.9 10	2.1 3	7413.0	1 ⁻	1430.88 2 ⁺	
2328.7 ^d 5	3.0 12	2328.6?	(1,2 ⁺)	0.0 0 ⁺		6026.6 10	21 3	7413.0	1 ⁻	1386.14 2 ⁺	
5084.8 12	2.3 7	7413.0	1 ⁻	2328.6? (1,2 ⁺)		6292.0 10	36 5	7413.0	1 ⁻	1121.27 2 ⁺	
5166.1 10	15 4	7413.0	1 ⁻	2246.7 (2) ⁺		6411.6 10	69 10	7413.0	1 ⁻	1002.31 0 ⁺	
5190.4 10	3.6 11	7413.0	1 ⁻	2222.7 (2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)		6510.4 10	9.9 15	7413.0	1 ⁻	903.16 2 ⁺	
5245.6 10	8.3 12	7413.0	1 ⁻	2167.92 (1) ⁺		7302.1 10	6.7 20	7413.0	1 ⁻	111.24 2 ⁺	
5286.5 10	21 3	7413.0	1 ⁻	2126.2		7413.1 10	100	7413.0	1 ⁻	0.0 0 ⁺	

[†] From **1973Ca02**. For primary transitions, the uncertainty given is the relative value. Authors suggest absolute uncertainties of 3 keV. Note that **1967Sp03** report additional primary γ -rays with E_γ As follows: 4906, 4862, 4767, 4724, 4709, 4657, 4646, 4615, 4524, 4466 (uncertainty=4-5 keV); most of these transitions are present In thermal N capture also.

[‡] Relative photon intensity; normalized so $I(7413\gamma)=100$ for primary transitions and so $I(903\gamma)=100$ for secondary transitions. Data are from **1973Ca02**. for $E_\gamma<340$, **1973Ca02** report that uncertainty ranges from 35% to 50%, depending on transition strength; the evaluator has assigned 35% uncertainty if $I_\gamma>50$, 50% uncertainty otherwise.

ADOPTED values.

@ $I_\gamma(769\gamma)=13.2\ 26$ (**1973Ca02**). Based on $I(\gamma)/I(1022\gamma)=1.37\ 7$ from Adopted Gammas and $I(1022\gamma)=4.6\ 9$ here, $I(769\gamma$ from 1133 level)=6.3 13; thus, $I(769\gamma$ from 1775 level)=6.9 29.

& Broad peak or partially resolved peak with approximate energies given (**1973Ca02**).

^a $I(710\gamma)=7.4\ 15$ (**1973Ca02**). based on $I(1504\gamma)=1.7\ 4$ and adopted branching, $I(\gamma)=3.2\ 11$ is expected for the component of this complex line which deexcites the 1615 level, leaving $I_\gamma=4.2\ 19$ unplaced.

^b An unplaced 1570.2 γ is seen by **1974Gr11** in (n, γ) E=thermal, but those authors report no 145.6 γ . consequently, the placements of the 146 γ is shown As tentative here. If the two 1570 transitions are the same, then the 145 γ does not deexcite the 1570 level.

^c Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (**2008Ki07**) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^d Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.



