

^{184}Re ε decay (169 d) $^{1974}\text{Mc08}$

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 111,275 (2010)	1-Oct-2009

Parent: ^{184}Re : $E=188.0463$ 17; $J^\pi=8^{(+)}$; $T_{1/2}=169$ d 8; $Q(\varepsilon)=1481$ 4; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=25.5 8

^{184}Re - $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay: Decay scheme normalized assuming $Ti(^{105}, ^{184}\text{Re}^{188}, ^{184}\text{ReI}$ to 1284 level In ^{184}W)=100.

Others: 1960Bo07, 1962B112, 1963Bi04, 1963Dz05, 1963Jo03, 1964Ha06, 1966Ag03, 1966Dz04, 1968Ag01, 1969GI04, 1969Zu01, 1970Ag06, 1970BIZT, 1970Do08, 1970Ku05, 1971Ta19, 1973Ag03, 1973Ag07, 1973Ca08, 1973Hu06, 1973Kr01, 1974SvZY, 1975Bu01, 1976KI06, 1977Ga21, 1982Al05, 1987Bu26, 1988BuZD.

The decay scheme is that proposed by 1974Mc08 based on energy sums and $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence data.

For tests for violation of time-reversal invariance, see 1977Mu06. a time-reversal-violating asymmetry= $(-0.00075$ 59) was obtained from 922γ - $253\gamma(\theta)$ measured In polarized Ni foils At $T=20$ mK.

For (ce)(γ) see 1963Dz05, 1966Dz04.

For $\gamma\gamma$, see 1973Ag03, 1974Mc08.

 ^{184}W Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	0^+		
111.2174 4	2^+		
364.063 8	4^+		
748.315 12	6^+		
903.292 9	2^+		
1005.980 11	3^+		
1130.039 10	$(2)^-$		
1133.848 11	4^+		
1221.303 9	3^-		
1284.992 9	5^-	8.33 μs 18	$T_{1/2}$: from (216γ) - $(200 < E(\gamma) < 1000)$ (t) (1969GI04, 1970GI02).
1446.261 13	6^-		
1501.540 13	7^-	2.35 ns 10	$T_{1/2}$: from (K x ray)- (216γ) (t) (1969GI04).

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] Spin and parity values are from Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I_ε [†]	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ [†]	Comments
(168 4)	1501.540	25.0 16	7.14 5	25.0 16	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.651$ 8; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.260$ 6; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.0896$ 21

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹⁸⁴Re ε decay (169 d) **1974Mc08** (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁴W)

I_γ normalization: decay scheme normalized assuming Ti(¹⁰⁵, ¹⁸⁴Re(¹⁸⁸, ¹⁸⁴ReI to 1284 level In ¹⁸⁴W)=100.
 ce data have been reported by [1970Ag06](#), [1973Ag03](#), [1973Ag07](#), [1973Ca08](#), [1974Mc08](#), [1974SvZY](#), and [1987Bu26](#). Others: [1964Ha06](#), [1966Dz04](#). Extensive
 internal conversion coefficient data have been deduced by [1973Ag07](#), [1974Mc08](#), and [1974SvZY](#) based on their relative I(ce) and I(γ) and normalized so that
 α(K)(903γ)=0.00452 (E2 theory) or α(K)(252)=0.0898 (E2 theory).

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{#b}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>δ</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
55.2790 8	5.9 6	1501.540	7 ⁻	1446.261	6 ⁻	M1+E2	0.051 17	4.68 12	α(L)=3.62 9; α(M)=0.827 22; α(N+..)=0.234 6 α(N)=0.199 5; α(O)=0.0323 8; α(P)=0.00223 4 E _γ : from ce data (1988BuZD). other: 55.278 5 (1974Mc08). Mult.,δ: from L1/L2=9.1 8 (1973Ag07). Other: L1/L2=8.3 22, L1/L3=10 3 implying δ=0.173 +14-15 (1973Ca08).
63.6890 14	0.95 15	1284.992	5 ⁻	1221.303	3 ⁻	E2		25.7	α(L)=19.5 3; α(M)=4.92 7; α(N+..)=1.314 19 α(N)=1.157 17; α(O)=0.1570 22; α(P)=0.000210 3 E _γ : from ce data (1988BuZD). other: 63.715 15 (1974Mc08). Mult.: from L2/L3=1.0 1, L1/L3<0.03, L1/L2<0.03 (1973Ag07). α(K)=0.429 6; α(L)=0.0774 11; α(M)=0.01768 25; α(N+..)=0.00482 7
87.452 10	0.61 3	1221.303	3 ⁻	1133.848	4 ⁺	E1		0.529	α(N)=0.00417 6; α(O)=0.000624 9; α(P)=3.01×10 ⁻⁵ 5 Mult.: from α(K)exp<0.64 (1973Ag07). α(K)=3.98 12; α(L)=1.57 8; α(M)=0.381 19; α(N+..)=0.104 5 α(N)=0.090 5; α(O)=0.0132 6; α(P)=0.000400 13 Mult.: α(K)exp=4.2 17, L1/L2=1.4 4 (1973Ag07). L1/L2=1.03 11, L2/L3=1.26 15 (1973Ca08). δ: from α(K)exp and L-subshell ratios. Others: 0.63 3 (1973Ca08), 1973Ag07 .
91.270 10	0.65 3	1221.303	3 ⁻	1130.039	(2) ⁻	M1+E2	0.62 4	6.03	α(K)=0.720 10; α(L)=1.402 20; α(M)=0.354 5; α(N+..)=0.0949 14 α(N)=0.0834 12; α(O)=0.01145 16; α(P)=5.54×10 ⁻⁵ 8 E _γ : from E(ce(K)) (1988BuZD), others: 111.2172 11 (1988BuZD , from E(ce(L))); 111.207 7 (1974Mc08). Mult.: from L1/L2= 0.124 14, L1/L3=0.132 12, L2/L3=1.07 8, M1/M2=0.110 15, M1/M3=0.12 2, M2/M3=1.1 1 (1970Ag06) and α(K)exp=0.71 3 (1973Ag07).
111.2174 4	14.8 8	111.2174	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		2.57	α(K)=0.1762 25; α(L)=0.0297 5; α(M)=0.00677 10; α(N+..)=0.00186 3 α(N)=0.001603 23; α(O)=0.000245 4; α(P)=1.294×10 ⁻⁵ 19 Mult.: from α(K)exp<1.1 (1973Ag07).
124.060 20	0.37 2	1130.039	(2) ⁻	1005.980	3 ⁺	(E1)		0.215	α(K)=0.61 9; α(L)=0.72 3; α(M)=0.182 8; α(N+..)=0.0488 19 α(N)=0.0429 17; α(O)=0.00593 21; α(P)=4.9×10 ⁻⁵ 9 E _γ : from 1976Kl06 . I _γ : from I(ce(L2)) of 1976Kl06 if mult=E2. Mult.,δ: from L1/L2<0.3, L1/L3<0.3, L2/L3=1.2 6 (1976Kl06).
127.67 10	0.0015 6	1133.848	4 ⁺	1005.980	3 ⁺	E2(+M1)	>2.8	1.57 6	

¹⁸⁴Re ε decay (169 d) **1974Mc08** (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁴W) (continued)

E_γ ‡	I_γ #b	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α^\dagger	Comments
151.134 20	0.124 12	1284.992	5 ⁻	1133.848	4 ⁺	[E1]		0.1286	$\alpha(K)=0.1061$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.01742$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.00396$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001094$ 16
161.269 15	16.6 3	1446.261	6 ⁻	1284.992	5 ⁻	M1+E2	0.53 7	1.09 3	$\alpha(N)=0.000941$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.0001453$ 21; $\alpha(P)=8.02\times 10^{-6}$ 12 $\alpha(K)=0.85$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.183$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.0430$ 15; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0120$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0103$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00160$ 4; $\alpha(P)=8.5\times 10^{-5}$ 4 %I $\gamma=6.56$ 22 assuming recommended normalization. Mult.: $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.85$ 15, L1/L2=3.1 +9-7, L1/L3=5.4 +19-12, L2/L3=1.7 +8-5 (1973Ag07). δ : from L-subshell ratios of 1973Ag07. Others: L1/L2=1.5 3, L1/L3=3.4 8 (1973Ca08), implying $\delta=0.89$ +6-7 and 0.70 +7-8, respectively, in disagreement with $\delta=0.53$ 7 from 1973Ag07. $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}$ data of 1973Ag07 and 1974Mc08 are consistent with δ from 1973Ag07, but not 1973Ca08.
215.326 12	7.1 2	1221.303	3 ⁻	1005.980	3 ⁺	E1		0.0519	$\alpha(K)=0.0431$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00682$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001549$ 22; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000430$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.000369$ 6; $\alpha(O)=5.78\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=3.42\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0441$ 7 (1974Mc08).
216.547 12	24.1 5	1501.540	7 ⁻	1284.992	5 ⁻	E2		0.237	$\alpha(K)=0.1362$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.0764$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0189$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00513$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.00448$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.000635$ 9; $\alpha(P)=1.096\times 10^{-5}$ 16 Mult.: from L2:L3:M=220 22:150 15:200 20 (1968Ag01) and $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.117$ 8 (1974Mc08).
226.748 10	3.77 10	1130.039	(2) ⁻	903.292	2 ⁺	E1+M2+E3		0.059 5	$\alpha(K)=0.059$; $\alpha(L)=0.042$; $\alpha(M)=0.0132$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00088$ Mult.: δ : $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0421$ 12 (1974Mc08), 0.0032 10 (1973Ag07). From $\gamma(\theta,H,T)$ (1973Kr01) and $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}$, $\delta(M2,E1)=-0.02$ 3, $\delta(E3,E1)=0.10$ 3.
230.45 6	0.013 2	1133.848	4 ⁺	903.292	2 ⁺	E2		0.193	$\alpha(K)=0.1152$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.0593$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.01467$ 21; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00398$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00347$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000495$ 7; $\alpha(P)=9.38\times 10^{-6}$ 14 Mult.: from L1/L2=0.43 20, L2/L3=1.8 7 (1976K106).
252.845 10	27.3 7	364.063	4 ⁺	111.2174	2 ⁺	E2		0.1437	$\alpha(K)=0.0898$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.0411$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.01011$ 15; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00275$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00240$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000344$ 5; $\alpha(P)=7.45\times 10^{-6}$ 11 Mult.: from L2:L3:M:N=280 28:120 12:~70:~14 (1968Ag01), $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.086$ 10 (1973Ag07), $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.085$ 8 (1974Mc08).
(279.0)	<0.0021 @	1284.992	5 ⁻	1005.980	3 ⁺	[M2]		1.111	$\alpha(K)=0.867$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.187$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0444$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.01259$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.01074$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.001729$ 25; $\alpha(P)=0.0001136$ 16
318.008 10	14.7 2	1221.303	3 ⁻	903.292	2 ⁺	E1+M2	-0.020 10	0.0202 5	$\alpha(K)=0.0168$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00259$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.000587$ 16; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000164$ 5

3

¹⁸⁴Re ε decay (169 d) **1974Mc08** (continued)

$\gamma(^{184}\text{W})$ (continued)

E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{#b}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α^\dagger	Comments
									$\alpha(\text{N})=0.000140$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.23\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.40\times 10^{-6}$ 4 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0183$ 21 (1974Mc08), 0.022 5 (1973Ag07). δ : unweighted average of -0.01 3 (1973Hu06) and -0.029 8 (1973Kr01) from $\gamma(\theta, \text{H}, \text{T})$; however, the latter δ implies $\text{B}(\text{M}2)(\text{W.u.})=2.7$ 16 which violates RUL ($\delta < 0.017$ needed for $\text{B}(\text{M}2)(\text{W.u.}) < 1$).
381.82 14	0.16 2	1284.992	5 ⁻	903.292	2 ⁺	[E3]		0.1579	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0827$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0570$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01438$ 21; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00392$ 6
384.250 12	8.01 12	748.315	6 ⁺	364.063	4 ⁺	E2		0.0418	$\alpha(\text{N})=0.00342$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000490$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=9.34\times 10^{-6}$ 14 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0302$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00885$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00213$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000585$ 9
(385.53)	<0.005 [@]	1133.848	4 ⁺	748.315	6 ⁺	[E2]		0.0414	$\alpha(\text{N})=0.000507$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.54\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.69\times 10^{-6}$ 4 Mult.: from K/L (1974SvZY), $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.028$ 3 (1974Mc08), $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.028$ 5 (1973Ag07).
536.674 15	8.44 13	1284.992	5 ⁻	748.315	6 ⁺	E1+M2+E3		0.0068 1	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0300$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00876$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00211$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000579$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000502$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.46\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.67\times 10^{-6}$ 4 E_γ : from level energy difference. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0057$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00086$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000195$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000025$ Mult., δ : from $\gamma(\theta, \text{H}, \text{T})$ (1982Al05), $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.00634$ 11 (1987Bu26), and L1/L2=8.0 16 (1987Bu26, reanalysis of data from 1977Ga21), 1987Bu26 obtain $\delta(\text{M}2, \text{E}1)=+0.070$ 6, $\delta(\text{E}3, \text{E}1)=-0.025$ 4, and $\lambda=-2.1$ 2. other $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0064$ 14 (1974Mc08), 0.10 7 (1976Kl06), 0.0059 4 (1977Ga21).
539.220 25	0.081 4	903.292	2 ⁺	364.063	4 ⁺	E2		0.01744	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01349$ 19; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00303$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000716$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000198$ 3
641.915 20	0.87 3	1005.980	3 ⁺	364.063	4 ⁺	M1+E2	-8.5 8	0.01183 18	$\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001710$ 24; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.62\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.238\times 10^{-6}$ 18 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0129$ 16 (1974Mc08). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00938$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00188$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000440$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001225$ 18
769.778 17	0.60 3	1133.848	4 ⁺	364.063	4 ⁺	M1+E2	-6.3 +20-32	0.0080 4	$\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001052$ 15; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.636\times 10^{-5}$ 24; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.70\times 10^{-7}$ 13 Mult.: from L1/L2=3.0 5, L1/L3=8.8 18, L2/L3=2.9 8 (1976Kl06), $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0087$ 13 (1973Ag07), $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0089$ 6 (1974Mc04). δ : from $\gamma(\theta, \text{H}, \text{T})$ (1973Kr01). Others: $-6.7 +15-26$ (1973Hu06), $-8.3 +16-28$ (1973Ca08; $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$). $\alpha=0.0080$ 4; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0065$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00119$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000275$ 9; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=7.68\times 10^{-5}$ 24 $\alpha(\text{N})=6.59\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.04\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.0\times 10^{-7}$ 3 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0065$ 6 (1974Mc08), $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0053$ 13 (1973Ag07). δ : from $\gamma(\theta, \text{H}, \text{T})$ (1973Kr01).

¹⁸⁴Re ε decay (169 d) 1974Mc08 (continued)

γ(¹⁸⁴W) (continued)

E_γ ‡	I_γ #b	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α^\dagger	Comments
792.067 22	9.34 21	903.292	2 ⁺	111.2174	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-16.8 & 5	0.00733 11	$\alpha=0.00733$ 11; $\alpha(K)=0.00593$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.001082$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.000251$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=7.00\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(N)=6.00\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=9.45\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(P)=5.50\times 10^{-7}$ 8 Mult.: $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0063$ 6, L1/L2=3.6 3, L1/L3=10.2 10, L2/L3=2.8 3 (1970Ag06); $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0058$ 3 (1974Mc08); K:L:M:N=132:24:6.2:~1.4 (1966Ag03).
857.25 3	0.415 12	1221.303	3 ⁻	364.063	4 ⁺	E1		0.00238 4	$\alpha(K)=0.00201$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.000288$ 4; $\alpha(M)=6.46\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=1.82\times 10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(N)=1.550\times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(O)=2.52\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.758\times 10^{-7}$ 25 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}\approx 0.003$ (1973Ag07). Note that the value given in 1973Ag07 is misprinted as ≈ 0.0003 .
894.760 19	6.99 25	1005.980	3 ⁺	111.2174	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-13.2 9	0.00569 8	$\alpha=0.00569$ 8; $\alpha(K)=0.00464$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.000808$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.000186$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=5.21\times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=4.46\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=7.08\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=4.32\times 10^{-7}$ 6 Mult.: L1/L2=4.5 4, L1/L3=11.6 15, L2/L3=2.6 4 (1970Ag06), $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0050$ 7 (1973Ag07), $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0046$ 2 (1974Mc08), K:L=47:7.0 (1966Ag03). δ : from $\gamma(\theta, H, T)$ (1973Kr01). Others: -17.5 +8-10 (1973Hu06), -13 +4-12 (1973Ca08); $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$.
903.282 19	9.46 21	903.292	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.00554 8	$\alpha=0.00554$ 8; $\alpha(K)=0.00452$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.000786$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.000181$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=5.07\times 10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(N)=4.34\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=6.89\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=4.20\times 10^{-7}$ 6 Mult.: from L1/L3=12.6 18 (1970Ag06), L1/L2=1.3 +9-5 (1973Ag03), K:L:M:N=100:18:4.2:~0.8 (1966Ag03).
920.933 21	20.8 3	1284.992	5 ⁻	364.063	4 ⁺	E1+M2+E3	^a	0.0030 2	$\alpha(K)=0.0024$; $\alpha(L)=0.00039$; $\alpha(M)=0.000088$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000030$ Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.00283$ 18 (1977Ga21), 0.0028 3 (1974Mc08), 0.0021 4 (1973Ag07); L1/L2=6.6 11 (1977Ga21); K:L:M=9.2:1.1:0.39 (1966Ag03).
1018.93 5	0.240 25	1130.039	(2) ⁻	111.2174	2 ⁺	(E1)		0.001726 25	$\alpha=0.001726$ 25; $\alpha(K)=0.001459$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.000207$ 3; $\alpha(M)=4.64\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=1.308\times 10^{-5}$ 1 $\alpha(N)=1.114\times 10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(O)=1.81\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.282\times 10^{-7}$ 18 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0018$ 12 (1976K106).
1022.63 3	0.46 3	1133.848	4 ⁺	111.2174	2 ⁺	E2		0.00431 6	$\alpha=0.00431$ 6; $\alpha(K)=0.00354$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.000591$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.0001356$ 19; $\alpha(N+..)=3.80\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(N)=3.25\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=5.19\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=3.29\times 10^{-7}$ 5 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0037$ 3 (1974Mc08), 0.0030 4 (1973Ag07); K:L=1.2:0.22 (1966Ag03).
1110.082 26	1.49 8	1221.303	3 ⁻	111.2174	2 ⁺	E1+M2	+0.08 3	0.00159 10	$\alpha(K)=0.00134$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.000191$ 13; $\alpha(M)=4.3\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=1.37\times 10^{-5}$ 9

¹⁸⁴Re ε decay (169 d) **1974Mc08** (continued)

$\gamma(^{184}\text{W})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\#b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\dagger	Comments
1173.77 3	3.10 16	1284.992	5 ⁻	111.2174	2 ⁺	(E3)	0.00698 10	$\alpha(\text{N})=1.03\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.68\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.19\times 10^{-7}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=1.645\times 10^{-6}$ 25 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}<0.0021$ (1974Mc08), 0.0009 4 (1973Ag07). δ : from $\gamma(\theta,\text{H,T})$ (1973Kr01). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00556$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001090$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000254$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=7.19\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=6.11\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=9.63\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.59\times 10^{-7}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=6.64\times 10^{-7}$ 10 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0048$ 9 (1973Ag07), 0.0063 10 (1974Mc08); also consistent with M1 (which is ruled out by the decay scheme). K:L:M=3.4:0.61:0.13 (1966Ag03).
1221.29 4	0.051 4	1221.303	3 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺	(E3)	0.00639 9	$\alpha=0.00639$ 9; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00511$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000982$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000229$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=6.61\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=5.49\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.68\times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.12\times 10^{-7}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=2.01\times 10^{-6}$ 3 %I γ =0.0203 18 assuming adopted normalization. Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0045$ 16 (1973Ag03) and 0.0049 9 (1973Ag07), also consistent with M1 which is ruled out by the decay scheme.

[†] Additional information 1.

[‡] E_γ data are from 1974Mc08, except As noted. Others: 1970Ku05, 1971Ta19, 1973Ag07, 1974Bu01, 1974SvZY, 1988BuZD.

[#] Relative I_γ based on the decay scheme and I_γ from 1974Mc08 measured in a ¹⁸⁴Re ground-state plus metastable-state source in secular equilibrium. The mixed decay can be decomposed to obtain separately the ground-state and metastable-state contributions because 169 d ¹⁸⁴Re ε decay directly populates just one level and that level is not fed in ¹⁸⁴Re(g.s.) ε decay. Other I_γ : 1970Ku05, 1973Ag07, 1973Ca08, 1974SvZY, 1975Bu01.

[@] Photons unobserved. Limit on $I(\gamma)$ from $I(\text{ce}(\text{K}))$ of 1976Kl06 assuming indicated multipolarity.

[&] from $\gamma(\theta,\text{H,T})$; weighted average of -16.7 8 (1972Bu35), -18.2 12 (1973Hu06), -16.1 9 (1973Kr01). Others: 1969Zu01, -19 +3-5 (1970Do08), -22 +6-7 (1973Ca08; $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$), -17.6 +15-18 (1982Al05). Based on $\gamma\text{-}\gamma(\theta)$, $\text{ce-}\gamma(\theta)$, 1969Zu01 and 1970Do08 suggest the possibility of E0 admixture and M1 penetration effects. Taking $\delta(\text{E2},\text{M1})=-18$ 2, and $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0061$ 6, 1969Zu01 obtain $\text{E0}/\text{E2}<0.37$, $-82<\lambda<+196$. Taking $\delta(\text{E2},\text{M1})=-16.7$ +14-12, and $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0064$ 3, 1970Do08 obtain $-0.28<\text{E0}/\text{E2}<+0.38$, $-113<\lambda<+53$. Based on L-subshell ratios, 1972KaYB obtain $\text{E0}/\text{E2}<0.54$, but do not consider penetration effects. In the above, $\text{E0}/\text{E2}$ is the ratio of conversion electron components, and λ is the M1 penetration parameter.

^a $A_2=0.317$ 11, $A_4=+0.08$ 6 from $\gamma(\theta,\text{H,T})$ (1973Kr01) allows two solutions, $\delta(\text{M2},\text{E1})=+0.09$ 2, $\delta(\text{E3},\text{E1})=+0.24$ 5 or $\delta(\text{M2},\text{E1})=-0.14$ 4, $\delta(\text{E3},\text{E1})=-0.19$ 3. Others: 1973Hu06, also 1973Ca08 ($\delta(\text{M2},\text{E1})=+0.159$ 21, $\delta(\text{E3},\text{E1})=+0.17$ 4) from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and 1974MuZA from $\gamma\gamma(\theta,\text{H,t})$. Based on these data and $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ measurements, 1974MuZA show that the pair of negative solutions is more probable than the positive pair.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.395 12.

¹⁸⁴Re ε decay (169 d) ¹⁹⁷⁴Mc08

Legend

- I_γ < 2% × I_{max}
- I_γ < 10% × I_{max}
- I_γ > 10% × I_{max}
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_(γ+ε) per 100 parent decays

