
 $^{184}\text{Re IT decay (169 d)}$

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin		NDS 111,275 (2010)	1-Oct-2009

Parent: ^{184}Re : E=188.0463 17; $J^\pi=8^{(+)}$; $T_{1/2}=169$ d 8; %IT decay=74.5 8

^{184}Re -%IT decay: Decay scheme normalized assuming Ti(105)+Ti(188)+(I(γ +ce) to 1284 level (^{184}W))=100.

 $^{184}\text{Re Levels}$

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	3 ⁽⁻⁾		
104.7395 14	4 ⁽⁻⁾		
188.0463 17	8 ⁽⁺⁾	169 d 8	$T_{1/2}$: from Adopted Levels.

[†] From least-squares fit to E γ .

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

¹⁸⁴Re IT decay (169 d) (continued) $\gamma(^{184}\text{Re})$

I γ normalization: decay scheme normalized assuming Ti(105)+Ti(188)+(I(γ +ce) to 1284 level (¹⁸⁴W))=100.

E γ	I γ [†]	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	δ	α^{\ddagger}	I $_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\dagger}$	Comments
83.3067 8	0.0140 4	188.0463	8 ⁽⁺⁾	104.7395	4 ⁽⁻⁾	M4		1.346×10 ⁴	189 5	ce(K)/(γ +ce)=0.0189 4; ce(L)/(γ +ce)=0.686 8; ce(M)/(γ +ce)=0.229 4; ce(N ⁺)/(γ +ce)=0.0659 <i>I</i> ₃ ce(N)/(γ +ce)=0.0575 11; ce(O)/(γ +ce)=0.00825 17; ce(P)/(γ +ce)=0.000157 4 E γ : from 1988BuZD . other E γ : 83.28 4 (1973Ag07). Mult.: from L-subshell ratios: L1:L2:L3=0.275 19:0.053 10:1.000 (1974Mc08); L1/L2=4.3 10, L1/L3=0.24 3, L2/L3=0.06 1 (1973Ag07); L1/L2=5.5 3, L2/L3=0.044 4 (1974Ga35); (L1+L2)/L3=0.295 13 (1973Ca08). L1:L2:L3:M:N=7.2:2.4:20.0:>9.0:3.0 (1968Ag01). I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from Ti(83 γ)=Ti(105 γ) based on the decay scheme. I $_\gamma$: from I(γ +ce) and α . α (K)=3.61 5; α (L)=0.668 10; α (M)=0.1550 23; α (N ^{..})=0.0440 7 α (N)=0.0375 6; α (O)=0.00615 9; α (P)=0.000394 6 % γ =13.6 3 assuming recommended normalization. E γ : from 1988BuZD , other E γ : 104.729 7 (1973Ag07). I $_\gamma$: from 1974Mc08 . Mult.: L1/L3=14.7 +27–20, α (K)exp=4.1 8 (1973Ag07); K:L1:L3:M:N=24.0:3.2:0.29:1.8: 0.28 (1968Ag01). δ : from $\gamma(\theta,\text{H},\text{T})$ (1973Hu06). Others: +0.226 14 (1973Kr01), 0.190 17 (1973Ag07). ce(K)/(γ +ce)=0.0222 5; ce(L)/(γ +ce)=0.699 8; ce(M)/(γ +ce)=0.215 4; ce(N ⁺)/(γ +ce)=0.0596 <i>I</i> ₂ ce(N)/(γ +ce)=0.0524 10; ce(O)/(γ +ce)=0.00714 14; ce(P)/(γ +ce)=2.64×10 ⁻⁵ 6 E γ : from level energy difference. I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from Ti(188 γ)/Ti(83 γ)=0.00069 (1974Ga35); uncertainty not stated by authors. I $_\gamma$: from I(γ +ce) and α .
104.7395 14	34.4 10	104.7395	4 ⁽⁻⁾	0.0	3 ⁽⁻⁾	M1+E2	+0.220 4	4.48		
188.0462 17	0.00053	188.0463	8 ⁽⁺⁾	0.0	3 ⁽⁻⁾	(E5)		246	0.13	

¹⁸⁴₇₅Re IT decay (169 d) (continued) $\gamma(^{184}\text{Re})$ (continued)

E_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	Comments
Mult.: from L1/L2<0.2 and L2/L3=1.8 8 (1974Ga35), mult=E3,E4 or E5. From decay scheme, mult=E5.		

[†] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.395 4.

[‡] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{184}\text{Re IT decay (169 d)}$ Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
%IT=74.5 8

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$

