

$^{182}\text{W}(\text{d},\text{p}), (\text{pol d},\text{p})$ **2011Bo09,1997Pr02,1972Ca01**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 134, 149 (2016)	15-Apr-2015

 $J^\pi(^{182}\text{W})=0^+$.Others: [1963Is01](#), [1965Er03](#), [1966Si04](#), [1967Si17](#), [1972Is05](#), [1973Ca09](#).**2011Bo09:** E(pol d)=18 MeV, polarization≈60% from Lamb-shift ion source At Munich tandem accelerator; natural W target; Q3d magnetic spectrograph with position-sensitive detector (FWHM≈4 keV for $\theta \leq 20^\circ$); measured $\sigma(\theta)$ and asymmetry ($\theta(\text{lab})=11^\circ-26^\circ$ In 3° steps, 30°–50° In 5° steps); DWBA analysis.**1997Pr02:** ED=26 MeV; carbon-backed, 94.5% enriched ^{182}W target; Q3d spectrograph with position sensitive cathode strip detector (FWHM unstated In [1997Pr02](#), but 3.3–3.9 keV listed In [1995Be02](#) for study using the same spectrograph); $\theta(\text{lab})=60^\circ$; measured spectra (E(level)=0–2.2 MeV), 8 overlapping segments.**1973Ca09:** E(pol d)=12.08, 15.0 MeV; isotopically enriched thick target; $\theta(\text{lab})=30^\circ$ 1255° (7 angles); FWHM=25–35 keV; measured P spectra, analyzing power; DWBA calculations.**1972Ca01,1973Kl07:** E(d)=12.08 MeV; FWHM=10–12 keV.**1966Si04,1967Si17:** E(d)=7.5, 12 MeV.

DWBA analysis of data.

 ^{183}W Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	L @	I(p) (rel.) [#]	Comments
0.0 ^e	1/2 ⁻	1	0.0055 ^c	
46.5 ^e 3	3/2 ⁻	1	0.089 ^c	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.25; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=70 5 (1997Pr02).
99.1 ^e 2	5/2 ⁻	3	0.15	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.25; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=49 3 (1997Pr02).
208.8 ^{df} 2	3/2 ⁻ &7/2 ⁻	1	0.058 ^{cd}	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.2 <i>l</i> for doublet consisting of 3/2 3/2[512] and 7/2 1/2[510] levels and apparently dominated by the former; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=42 3 (1997Pr02).
291.9 ^f 3	5/2 ⁻	3	0.084 ^c	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.24; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=31.0 23 (1997Pr02).
309.2 ^{dg} 4	9/2 ⁻ &11/2 ⁺	5	^d	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.91 for doublet including 9/2, 1/2[510] and 11/2, 11/2[615] levels (apparently dominated by the former since L=5 dominates); Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=11.8 14 (1997Pr02). 3/2[510] band assignment In table 3 of 2011Bo09 is presumed to be a typographical error; In the literature, this doublet includes a member of the 1/2[510] band.
412.3 ^f 2	7/2 ⁻	3	0.044	J^π : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.35; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=32 7 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 67 (11°), 104 (20°), 184 (30°).
452.9 ^h 2	7/2 ⁻	3	0.115	J^π : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.30; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=74 8 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 209 (20°), 394 (30°).
486.1 ^g 2	13/2 ⁺	6	0.097	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=2.7; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=46 6 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09):~16 (11°), 28 (20°).
552.8 ^f 7	9/2 ⁻	≥3		Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV) >0.7 (12 MeV datum is an upper limit); Ip(rel.)=2.0 14 (1997Pr02).
599.4 ^h 9	9/2 ⁻	≥3		Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=1.4; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=4.0 17 (1997Pr02).
689.4 ^g 10	(15/2 ⁺)			Ip(rel.)=3.7 12 (1997Pr02).
740.3 ^f 6	11/2 ⁻	5	0.035	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)>1.3; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=6.6 26 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 13 (20°), 20 (30°).
913? ^{&} 5				E(level): unconfirmed In subsequent studies so indicated As uncertain here.
935.0 ^k 5	1/2 ⁻	1	0.014	J^π : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.22; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=8.3 17 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 29 (11°), 44 (20°), 31 (30°).
964.2 ⁱ 16	(13/2 ⁺)	6		L and Nilsson orbital assignment taken from the literature. Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=3.5

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{182}\text{W}(\text{d,p}), (\text{pol d,p}) \quad 2011\text{Bo09}, 1997\text{Pr02}, 1972\text{Ca01}$ (continued) **^{183}W Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	L @	I(p) (rel.) [#]	Comments
				20 (1997Pr02).
999.2 <i>j</i> 4	7/2 ⁻	3	0.02	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.39; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=15 3 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 46 (11°), 62 (20°), 76 (30°). E(level),L: from 1972Ca01 .
1029 6		1		
1069.3 <i>l</i> 4	7/2 ⁻	3		Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.54; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=9.2 26 (1997Pr02).
1126.2 <i>j</i> 8	9/2 ⁻	≥5		Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=3.2; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=3.2 14 (1997Pr02). L: >3 (1997Pr02); ≥5 for E=1128 7 (1972Ca01). J ^π : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09).
1149.8 <i>m</i> 1	3/2 ⁻	1	0.10	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.21; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=100 6 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 450 (20°), 420 (30°). possibly the E=1156 5 line reported by 1965Er03 ; however, 1973Ca09 deduced L=2 (J=5/2 preferred) from (pol d,p) for an E=1154 7 level, so a separate (5/2 ⁺) level May exist In this vicinity.
1217.2 <i>l</i> 11	9/2 ⁻	≥5		Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=1.6; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=3.2 14 (1997Pr02). L: >3 from 1997Pr02 , ≥5 from 1972Ca01 .
1229.5 <i>m</i> 3	5/2 ⁻	3	0.017 <i>c</i>	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.51; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=15.8 23 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): ≈14 (20°), ≈30 (30°).
1337.9 <i>m</i> 5	(7/2 ⁻ & 3/2 ⁻)	(1+3)		E(level): 1337.1 7 for unresolved doublet In 2011Bo09 . other E: 1338 5 (1965Er03) and 1342 8 (L=(3)) (1972Ca01). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.29; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=8.3 23 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 25 (11°), 30 (20°), 74 (30°). J ^π : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09).
1385.7 <i>o</i> 3	9/2 ⁻	5	0.096	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.79; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=22.1 26 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 6? (11°), 17 (20°), 44 (30°).
1439.8 20		(1)		E(level),L: L from 1972Ca01 for E=1443 9. E=1437 5 from 1965Er03 .
1470.9 <i>n</i> 1	1/2 ⁻	1	0.16	J ^π : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.25; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=66 5 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 365 (20°), 364 (30°).
1476.1 <i>m</i> 8	(9/2 ⁻)			Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=2.9 20 (1997Pr02). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.28; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=10.3 23 (1997Pr02). other E: 1486.2 6 (2011Bo09). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): ≈130 (30°).
1483.7 7		(1)	0.08 <i>c</i>	
1514? 9				from 1972Ca01 alone, so level shown As tentative here.
1542.8 9				Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=5.2 17 (1997Pr02).
1550.3 <i>p</i> 4	5/2 ⁻	3 <i>a</i>	0.11	J ^π : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). (1997Pr02). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.35 but Ip(12 MeV) is for doublet; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=38 3 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 290 (20°), 280 (30°).
1556.7 <i>n</i> 4	3/2 ⁻	1 <i>a</i>	0.04	L: from 1972Ca01 ; L=(1) from 2011Bo09 . Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.35 but Ip(12 MeV) is for doublet; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=28 4 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 150 (20°), 140 (30°).
1577.8 5		5		Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.73; Ip(REL.,26 MeV)=13.8 5 (1997Pr02).
1601.6 5				Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=4.6 14 (1997Pr02).
1628.2 <i>m</i> 5	3/2 ⁻	1	0.024	J ^π : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). E(level): probably the 1636 5 level reported by 1965Er03 . fragment of 3/2[501] orbital. Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.13; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=18 3 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 150 (11°), 100 (20°), 130 (30°).
1663 <i>&</i> 5				E(level): possibly the E≈1651 (L=1) level reported by 1972Ca01 . other E: 1674 1 (2011Bo09). Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=15.8 23 (1997Pr02). dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 60 (11°), 30 (30°).
1676.3 4		(1)		E(level),L: other E: 1683.1 7 (2011Bo09) for line perturbed by impurity. 1972Ca01 report L=3 level At 1679 10 In (d,t) but not in (d,p).
1680.3 4	(7/2 ⁻)	3		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{182}\text{W}(\text{d,p}), (\text{pol d,p}) \quad 2011\text{Bo09}, 1997\text{Pr02}, 1972\text{Ca01}$ (continued) **^{183}W Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	L @	I(p) (rel.) [#]	Comments
1691.2 12				Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.29; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=21.0 26 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): ≈ 40 (11°), ≈ 120 (20°), ≈ 200 (30°). Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=3.2 14 (1997Pr02). other E: 1719.5 3 (1997Pr02) for unresolved doublet for which authors propose L=3 based on Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.44 for doublet; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=39 3 (1997Pr02). 1723 10 (L=3) (1972Ca01).
1716.4 5	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)	(0,2)		
1735.7 5	(5/2 ⁺)	(2)	0.008	J^π, L : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). however, L=1 suggested by 1997Pr02 based on Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.15; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=13.2 23 (1997Pr02). E=1740 10 (L=1) reported by 1972Ca01 . $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 70 (11°), 100 (20°), 110 (30°).
1784.1 5	5/2 ⁺	2	0.014	J^π : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). however, L=1 suggested by 1997Pr02 based on Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.17; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=20.1 26 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 80 (11°), 140 (20°), 120 (30°).
1793.8 6		3		L: other L: 1 from 1972Ca01 for E=1790 11 level. E=1789 5 from 1965Er03 .
1802.1 7				Ip(REL.,26 MeV)=13.5 23 (1997Pr02). Ip(REL.,26 MeV)=8.9 20 (1997Pr02).
1812.4 ⁿ 4	1/2 ⁻	1	0.081	J^π, L : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). however, L=3 suggested by 1997Pr02 based on Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.30; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=36 3 (1997Pr02). E=1812.8 8 (2011Bo09) for line perturbed by impurity line. Other: E=1816 11 ($L \geq 3$) reported by 1972Ca01 . $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 170 (11°), 180 (20°), 190 (30°). other E: 1823.3 4 (2011Bo09), 1827 11 (1972Ca01), 1820 5 (1965Er03). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.07; Ip(REL.,26 MeV)=18.4 26 (1997Pr02).
1821.5 5		1		$d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 90 (11°), 120 (20°), 120 (30°). other E: 1842.7 4 (2011Bo09). J^π, L : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). however, L=1 suggested by 1997Pr02 based on Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.15; Ip(REL.,26 MeV)=48 4 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 300 (20°), 200 (30°). E(level),L: from 1972Ca01 only so shown As uncertain here.
1823.3 4	(1/2 ⁻)	1 0	0.045	Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=6.0 17 (1997Pr02). J^π : (1/2 ⁺) favored by asymmetry In (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=13.2 23 (1997Pr02). other E: 1907.6 8 (2011Bo09). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 60 (11°), 70 (20°), 50 (30°). J^π, L : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). However, L=3 suggested by 1997Pr02 based on Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.34. Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=45 4 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 100 (11°), 150 (20°). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.37; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=23 3 (1997Pr02). other E: 1950 12 (1972Ca01), 1960 5 (1965Er03). L: from 1972Ca01 for E=1969 12. Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=7.5 20 (1997Pr02). J^π, L : from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09). Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=11.2 20 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 65 (11°), 70 (20°), 55 (30°). Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=11.2 20 (1997Pr02). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.25 but Ip(12 MeV) is for doublet; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=9.2 23 (1997Pr02). Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.25 but Ip(12 MeV) is for doublet; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=12.6 23 (1997Pr02). $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) (2011Bo09): 60 (11°), 110 (20°), 80 (30°). Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=10.9 20 (1997Pr02). other: E=2014 12 (L=1,3) proposed by 1972Ca01 ; possibly 2010+2025 doublet. L, J^π : from 2011Bo09 . however, 1997Pr02 propose L=3 based on Ip(26
1847? 11		1		
1892.1? 9				
1905.7 5	(1/2 ^{+,3/2⁺)}	(0,2)		
1944.5 ^m 3	3/2 ⁻	1	0.032	
1962.1 4		3		
1971.6 9		1		
1981.0 ^m 7	3/2 ⁻	1	0.013	
1991.0 7				
2002.2 8		<i>b</i>		
2009.5 7		2,3 ^b		
2024.8 6				
2044.2 6	(1/2 ^{+,3/2⁺)}	(0,2)		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{182}\text{W}(\text{d,p}), (\text{pol d,p})$ 2011Bo09, 1997Pr02, 1972Ca01 (continued) **^{183}W Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	L @	I(p) (rel.) [#]	Comments
2062.5 7		1		MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.39. other: E=2050 12, L=3 reported by 1972Ca01.
2091.0 7		3		Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=12.1 23 (1997Pr02).
2099.9 9				dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 53 (20°), 40 (30°). For unresolved doublet.
2127.4 ^m 6	3/2 ⁻	1	0.023	Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.14; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=10.1 20 (1997Pr02).
				Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.29; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=11.5 23 (1997Pr02).
				Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=8.3 20 (1997Pr02).
2209.6 7	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)	(0,2)		E(level): 2128.2 8 from 2011Bo09 for line perturbed by line from a different W isotope.
				J ^π ,L: from (pol d,p) (2011Bo09).
				Ip(26 MeV)/Ip(12 MeV)=0.11; Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=12.6 23 (1997Pr02).
				dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 120 (11°), 130 (20°), 110 (30°).
				J ^π : asymmetry favors J=1/2 (2011Bo09).
				other E: 2208.9 8 (2011Bo09).
				Ip(rel.,26 MeV)=7.5 17 (1997Pr02).
				dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) (2011Bo09): 30 (20°), 43 (30°).
2256 ^{&} 5				E(level): from 1965Er03.
2279 ^{&} 5				E(level): from 1965Er03.
2308 ^{&} 5				E(level): from 1965Er03.
2330 ^{&} 5				E(level): from 1965Er03.
2412 ^{&} 5				E(level): from 1965Er03.
2500 ^{&} 5				E(level): from 1965Er03.

[†] From 1997Pr02, except As noted. these data agree with those from 2011Bo09, except As noted, but are of slightly higher precision.

[‡] Values proposed in table 1 of 1997Pr02, In accord with their band assignments, except As noted. values adopted by 2011Bo09, based on deduced L and/or measured asymmetry, are indicated In comments on the relevant levels when relevant.

[#] From DWBA analysis by 2011Bo09 of their unpolarized ED=18 MeV data At θ(lab)=40°, except As noted.

④ Deduced by 1997Pr02 from the ratio of their I(p) data for ED=26 MeV to that from 1972Ca01 for ED=12 MeV. Typically, these ratios are≤0.25 for L=1, 0.24-0.54 for L=3, >0.7 for L=5. Note that values were not established for even L transfers. Also, differences In resolution and energy scales In the two studies can make it difficult to correctly identify identical levels In the two experiments At the higher energies.

& From 1965Er03.

^a L=1,3 for 1550+1557 doublet.

^b L=1,3 for 2002+2010 doublet.

^c From DWBA analysis by 2011Bo09 of ED=12 MeV data from 1972Ca01.

^d For unresolved doublet.

^e Band(A): 1/2[510] band.

^f Band(B): 3/2[512] band.

^g Band(C): 11/2[615] band.

^h Band(D): 7/2[503] band.

ⁱ Band(E): 9/2[624] band.

^j Band(F): 5/2[512] band.

^k Band(G): 1/2[521] band.

^l Band(H): 7/2[514] band.

^m Band(I): 3/2[501] band.

ⁿ Band(J): 1/2[501] band.

^o Band(K): 9/2[505] band.

^p Band(L): 5/2[503] band.

$^{182}\text{W}(\text{d,p}), (\text{pol d,p}) \quad 2011\text{Bo09}, 1997\text{Pr02}, 1972\text{Ca01}$

Band(F): 5/2[512] band

 $9/2^- \quad 1126.2$ Band(E): 9/2[624] band $7/2^- \quad 999.2$ $(13/2^+) \quad 964.2$

Band(B): 3/2[512] band

 $11/2^- \quad 740.3$

Band(C): 11/2[615] band

 $(15/2^+) \quad 689.4$

Band(D): 7/2[503] band

 $9/2^- \quad 599.4$ $9/2^- \quad 552.8$ $13/2^+ \quad 486.1$ $7/2^- \quad 452.9$ $7/2^- \quad 412.3$

Band(A): 1/2[510] band

 $9/2^- \& 11/2^+ \quad 309.2$
 $5/2^- \quad 291.9$ $3/2^- \& 7/2^- \quad 208.8$
 $3/2^- \& 7/2^- \quad 208.8$ $5/2^- \quad 99.1$ $3/2^- \quad 46.5$ $1/2^- \quad 0.0$

$^{182}\text{W}(\text{d,p}), (\text{pol d,p}) \quad 2011\text{Bo09,1997Pr02,1972Ca01 (continued)}$

Band(I): 3/2[501] band

$$\underline{\underline{3/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 2127.4}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{3/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1981.0}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{3/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1944.5}}$$

Band(J): 1/2[501] band

$$\underline{\underline{1/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1812.4}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{3/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1628.2}}$$

Band(L): 5/2[503] band

$$\underline{\underline{3/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1556.7}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{5/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1550.3}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{(9/2^-) \qquad \qquad \qquad 1476.1}} \quad \underline{\underline{1/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1470.9}}$$

Band(K): 9/2[505] band

$$\underline{\underline{9/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1385.7}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{(7/2^- \& 3/2^-) \qquad \qquad \qquad 1337.9}}$$

Band(H): 7/2[514] band

$$\underline{\underline{9/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1217.2}} \quad \underline{\underline{5/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1229.5}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{3/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1149.8}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{7/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 1069.3}}$$

Band(G): 1/2[521] band

$$\underline{\underline{1/2^- \qquad \qquad \qquad 935.0}}$$