

¹⁸³Os ε decay (9.9 h) 1983Br24,1970Ak01

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 134, 149 (2016)	15-Apr-2015

Parent: ¹⁸³Os: E=170.70 7; J^π=1/2⁻; T_{1/2}=9.9 h 3; Q(ε)=2150 50; %ε+%β⁺ decay=85 2

¹⁸³Os-%ε+%β⁺ decay: Assuming Σ(I(γ+ce) to g.s.)=85 2. ε branching deduced from %IT(¹⁸³Os)=15 2 if negligible ε+β⁺ branch to g.s., but log f^u_t>8.5 only limits %ε+%β⁺ to <26.

Other references: 1960Ne03, 1968Ha39, 1970PIZZ.

1983Br24: high-purity ¹⁸³Os sources from ¹⁸²W(α,3n) using isotopically enriched targets and followed by chemical separation; additional sources from W(α,xn) using natural W foils and chemical separation; low-energy photon spectrometer (FWHM≈0.55 keV At 122 keV) and large-volume Ge(Li) spectrometers (FWHM≈1.9 keV At 1332 keV); measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ coin, γ(t).
 1970Ak01: sources from Os fraction or Os from Ir fraction decay following 660 MeV proton bombardment of Au, or from decay of separated Ir isotopes produced by 100 MeV ²²Ne bombardment of Ho; β spectrographs and 2π √2 spectrometer (for E(ce)>800); Ge(Li) detectors; measured E_γ, I_γ, I(ce), γγ coin (100 ns resolving time).

The decay scheme is primarily from 1983Br24. the total energy release for this decay scheme is 2102 260 cf.QxBR=1973 63.

¹⁸³Re Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	5/2 ⁺	70.0 d 14	T _{1/2} : from Adopted Levels.
114.33 5	7/2 ⁺		
598.82 6	(5/2) ⁻		
700.61 6	(1/2) ⁻		
828.98 8	(3/2) ⁻		
878.91 5	1/2 ⁺		
954.78 4	(3/2 ⁺)		
1034.73 4	(3/2) ⁺		
1040.70 9	(5/2) ⁺		
1066.09 9	(3/2)		
1101.95 4	(1/2) ⁺		
1107.89 4	(3/2) ⁺		
1353.76 5	(3/2 ⁺)		
1414.60 10	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2)		
1563.13 14	≤(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺)		
1903.93 8	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)		

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ, omitting the 1904γ which fits its placement poorly.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ [†]	Iε [†]	Log ft	I(ε+β ⁺) [†]	Comments
(4.2×10 ² 5)	1903.93		0.87 6	7.11 14	0.87 6	εK=0.774 9; εL=0.171 7; εM+=0.0553 25
(7.6×10 ² 5)	1563.13		0.22 4	8.29 11	0.22 4	εK=0.8003 21; εL=0.1516 15; εM+=0.0481 6
(9.1×10 ² 5)	1414.60		0.40 7	8.20 10	0.40 7	εK=0.8050 14; εL=0.1482 10; εM+=0.0468 4
(9.7×10 ² 5)	1353.76		0.97 19	7.87 10	0.97 19	εK=0.8065 12; εL=0.1471 9; εM+=0.0464 4
(1.21×10 ³ 5)	1107.89		21.9 6	6.73 5	21.9 6	εK=0.8108 7; εL=0.1440 6; εM+=0.04527 20
(1.22×10 ³ 5)	1101.95		53.7 16	6.34 5	53.7 16	εK=0.8108 7; εL=0.1439 6; εM+=0.04525 20
(1.25×10 ³ 5)	1066.09		0.5 4	8.4 4	0.5 4	εK=0.8113 7; εL=0.1436 5; εM+=0.04512 19
(1.28×10 ³ 5)	1040.70		0.42 6	9.25 ^{1u} 10	0.42 6	εK=0.7937 16; εL=0.1563 12; εM+=0.0500 5
(1.29×10 ³ 5)	1034.73		3.1 5	7.63 8	3.1 5	εK=0.8117 6; εL=0.1433 5; εM+=0.04501 18
(1.44×10 ³ 5)	878.91	0.0011 7	2.0 3	7.92 8	2.0 3	av Eβ=208 23; εK=0.8129 3; εL=0.1420 4; εM+=0.04453 15

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{183}Os ϵ decay (9.9 h) $^{1983}\text{Br}24,^{1970}\text{Ak}01$ (continued) ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$I\beta^+$ †</u>	<u>$I\epsilon$ †</u>	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>$I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ †</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(1.49×10^3 5)	828.98		0.4 5	8.7 6	0.4 5	$\epsilon\text{K}=0.8131$ 2; $\epsilon\text{L}=0.1416$ 4; $\epsilon\text{M}+=0.04439$ 14
(2.32×10^3 ‡ 5)	0.0	0.13 13	13 13	8.8 ^{1u} 5	13 13	av $\text{E}\beta=605$ 22; $\epsilon\text{K}=0.8018$ 9; $\epsilon\text{L}=0.1434$ 5; $\epsilon\text{M}+=0.04515$ 18

† For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.85 2.

‡ Existence of this branch is questionable.

¹⁸³Os ε decay (9.9 h) **1983Br24,1970Ak01 (continued)**

γ(¹⁸³Re)

I_γ normalization: assuming Σ (I(γ+ce) to g.s.)=85 2. ε branching deduced from %IT(¹⁸³Os)=15 2 if negligible ε+β⁺ branch to g.s., but log f^{4u}t>8.5 only limits %ε+%β⁺ to <26.

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡b}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ[@]</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>I_(γ+ce)^b</u>	<u>Comments</u>
^x 66.15 3										E _γ : from 1970Ak01 ; placed by authors from a 665 level known from ¹⁸³ Os ε decay (13.0 h) but not expected to be fed in this decay. Placement implies high multipolarity and γ is otherwise unknown so placement is excluded here and in Adopted Levels, Gammas. I(γ+ce)≈1.64 from 1970Ak01 if Ti(1102γ)=100. Mult.: L2/L3≈1 (1970Ak01). α(L)≈2.26; α(M)≈0.520 α(N)≈0.1259; α(O)≈0.0210; α(P)≈0.001477
67.24 3	1.75 18	1101.95	(1/2) ⁺	1034.73	(3/2) ⁺	M1+E2	≈0.075	≈2.93		E _γ : from 1970Ak01 ; not reported by 1983Br24 . Mult.: L1/L2=9 (1970Ak01); α(L1)exp=2.9. L1:L2=65:7.5 (1968Ha39). From 1960Ne03 , 1968Ha39 , and 1970Ak01 . δ calculated from L1/L2=65/7.5 (1968Ha39) and I _γ from relative electron intensities (1968Ha39,1970Ak01) and adopted α. ce(K)/(γ+ce)=0.750 6; ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.1218 22; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.0279 6 ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.00676 13; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=0.001135 22; ce(P)/(γ+ce)=8.28×10 ⁻⁵ 16 α(K)=8.09 12; α(L)=1.315 19; α(M)=0.301 5; α(N)=0.0729 11; α(O)=0.01224 18
80.03 4		1034.73	(3/2) ⁺	954.78	(3/2) ⁺	[M1]		9.79	<1.9	I _γ : I _γ =0.45 11 (1983Br24) is inconsistent with intensity balance through the 955-keV level. Authors indicate that this transition may include a component from ¹⁸³ Os(13 h) decay. The adopted I(γ+ce) is calculated from the intensity balance through the 955-keV level. α(K)=0.816 12; α(L)=2.28 4; α(M)=0.581 9 α(N)=0.1379 20; α(O)=0.0197 3; α(P)=7.42×10 ⁻⁵ 11 α(K)=2.79 6; α(L)=0.516 18; α(M)=0.120 5 α(N)=0.0289 11; α(O)=0.00475 15; α(P)=0.000304 7
101.79 5	0.42 17	700.61	(1/2) ⁻	598.82	(5/2) ⁻	[E2]		3.84		I _γ : calculated from intensity balance through 114-keV level. Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
114.35 7	1.44 12	114.33	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.24 4	3.46 6		I _γ : calculated from intensity balance through 114-keV level. Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
^x 126.2 1	0.38 13									E _γ : from 1970Ak01 ; placed by authors between the 1353 level and an otherwise unknown level. placement rejected by evaluator.
128.30 8	0.28 ^a 6	828.98	(3/2) ⁻	700.61	(1/2) ⁻	[M1]		2.53		α(K)=2.10 3; α(L)=0.337 5; α(M)=0.0770 11 α(N)=0.0187 3; α(O)=0.00314 5; α(P)=0.000229 4
147.11 10	0.91 25	1101.95	(1/2) ⁺	954.78	(3/2) ⁺	(M1)		1.718		α(K)=1.423 21; α(L)=0.228 4; α(M)=0.0521 8

¹⁸³Os ε decay (9.9 h) **1983Br24,1970Ak01** (continued)

γ(¹⁸³Re) (continued)

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡b}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[#]</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
^x 163.2 1								α(N)=0.01264 18; α(O)=0.00212 3; α(P)=0.0001552 22 Mult.: α(K)exp=1.25. K:L1=18:4 (1968Ha39). E _γ : from 1970Ak01; placed by authors from an 828 level to a 664 level known from ¹⁸³ Os ε decay (13.0 h), but adopted spin change is prohibitively large so evaluator has rejected that placement.
230.10 15	0.71 ^a 23	828.98	(3/2) ⁻	598.82	(5/2) ⁻	[M1]	0.492	α(K)=0.408 6; α(L)=0.0648 10; α(M)=0.01481 21 α(N)=0.00359 5; α(O)=0.000604 9; α(P)=4.42×10 ⁻⁵ 7
237.00 15	0.5 5	1066.09	(3/2)	828.98	(3/2) ⁻	[M1]	0.453	α(K)=0.376 6; α(L)=0.0597 9; α(M)=0.01364 20 α(N)=0.00331 5; α(O)=0.000556 8; α(P)=4.08×10 ⁻⁵ 6
245.90 5	0.63 25	1353.76	(3/2 ⁺)	1107.89	(3/2) ⁺	(M1)	0.410	α(K)=0.340 5; α(L)=0.0539 8; α(M)=0.01232 18 α(N)=0.00299 5; α(O)=0.000502 7; α(P)=3.68×10 ⁻⁵ 6 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.50.
251.92 8	0.80 10	1353.76	(3/2 ⁺)	1101.95	(1/2) ⁺	(M1)	0.383	α(K)=0.318 5; α(L)=0.0505 7; α(M)=0.01152 17 α(N)=0.00279 4; α(O)=0.000470 7; α(P)=3.44×10 ⁻⁵ 5 Mult.: K:L1=0.016:0.003 (1960Ne03). α(K)exp=0.36.
365.51 8	0.34 12	1066.09	(3/2)	700.61	(1/2) ⁻	[M1]	0.1400	α(K)=0.1163 17; α(L)=0.0183 3; α(M)=0.00417 6 α(N)=0.001011 15; α(O)=0.0001701 24; α(P)=1.252×10 ⁻⁵ 18
401.32 8	0.85 7	1101.95	(1/2) ⁺	700.61	(1/2) ⁻			
484.49 5	4.52 23	598.82	(5/2) ⁻	114.33	7/2 ⁺	E1	0.00790	α(K)=0.00662 10; α(L)=0.000992 14; α(M)=0.000225 4 α(N)=5.42×10 ⁻⁵ 8; α(O)=8.96×10 ⁻⁶ 13; α(P)=6.07×10 ⁻⁷ 9 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0056 (E _γ misprinted As 84.7 In table 2 of 1970Ak01).
535.62 25	0.009 ^a 5	1414.60	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2)	878.91	1/2 ⁺			
550.28 10	0.38 8	1903.93	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)	1353.76	(3/2 ⁺)			
585.60 10	0.42 ^a 11	1414.60	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2)	828.98	(3/2) ⁻			
714.20 15	0.20 ^a 6	1414.60	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2)	700.61	(1/2) ⁻			
^x 724.60 20	0.18 ^a 8							
734.01 15	0.32 ^a 8	1563.13	≤(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺)	828.98	(3/2) ⁻			
^x 762.8 1	0.25 13							
795.94 15	0.68 7	1903.93	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)	1107.89	(3/2) ⁺			
815.53 20	0.18 5	1414.60	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2)	598.82	(5/2) ⁻			
829.01 18	0.26 7	828.98	(3/2) ⁻	0.0	5/2 ⁺			
840.58 8	1.31 16	954.78	(3/2 ⁺)	114.33	7/2 ⁺			
^x 853.37 15	0.67 9							
878.91 5	4.0 5	878.91	1/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	E2	0.00615	α(K)=0.00499 7; α(L)=0.000892 13; α(M)=0.000207 3 α(N)=4.99×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(O)=8.15×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(P)=5.01×10 ⁻⁷ 7 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0041.
926.06 20	0.26 7	1040.70	(5/2) ⁺	114.33	7/2 ⁺	(E2)	0.00552	α(K)=0.00450 7; α(L)=0.000789 11; α(M)=0.000182 3 α(N)=4.40×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(O)=7.21×10 ⁻⁶ 11; α(P)=4.52×10 ⁻⁷ 7 Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
948.98 15	0.47 4	1903.93	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)	954.78	(3/2 ⁺)			

4

¹⁸³Os ε decay (9.9 h) [1983Br24,1970Ak01](#) (continued)

γ(¹⁸³Re) (continued)

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡b}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
954.88 8	2.82 4	954.78	(3/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁺	E2	0.00519	α(K)=0.00424 6; α(L)=0.000735 11; α(M)=0.0001698 24 α(N)=4.10×10 ⁻⁵ 6; α(O)=6.72×10 ⁻⁶ 10; α(P)=4.25×10 ⁻⁷ 6 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0043.
964.54 20	0.13 2	1563.13	≤(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺)	598.82	(5/2) ⁻			
993.43 15	0.35 4	1107.89	(3/2) ⁺	114.33	7/2 ⁺			
1034.68 5	12.30 12	1034.73	(3/2) ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.007 3	α(K)=0.0058 22; α(L)=0.0009 3; α(M)=0.00021 7 α(N)=5.1×10 ⁻⁵ 17; α(O)=8.E-6 3; α(P)=6.0×10 ⁻⁷ 24 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0072. K:L1=2.1:≈0.7 (1968Ha39).
1040.77 10	0.59 8	1040.70	(5/2) ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	(M1)	0.00944	α(K)=0.00789 11; α(L)=0.001198 17; α(M)=0.000272 4 α(N)=6.60×10 ⁻⁵ 10; α(O)=1.113×10 ⁻⁵ 16; α(P)=8.31×10 ⁻⁷ 12 Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
1101.93 5	100.0 10	1101.95	(1/2) ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	(E2)	0.00390	α(K)=0.00321 5; α(L)=0.000533 8; α(M)=0.0001225 18 α(N)=2.96×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=4.88×10 ⁻⁶ 7; α(P)=3.22×10 ⁻⁷ 5; α(IPF)=2.09×10 ⁻⁷ 3 Mult.: K:L1=6.05:1 (1968Ha39). %I _γ =49.2 12 based on recommended decay scheme normalization.
^x 1104.66 15	1.1 4							
1107.93 5	45.7 4	1107.89	(3/2) ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1	0.00808	α(K)=0.00675 10; α(L)=0.001023 15; α(M)=0.000232 4 α(N)=5.64×10 ⁻⁵ 8; α(O)=9.51×10 ⁻⁶ 14; α(P)=7.11×10 ⁻⁷ 10; α(IPF)=3.96×10 ⁻⁷ 6 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0060. K:L1=5.65:1.1 (1968Ha39).
^x 1110.44 20	0.61 12							
^x 1161.11 20	1.1 3							
^x 1168& 1	0.19 6							
^x 1173.99 10	0.38 ^a 15							
^x 1283.42 15	0.22 ^a 8							
^x 1331.08 10	0.15 8							
1353.57 10	0.35 5	1353.76	(3/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁺	(E2)	0.00265	α(K)=0.00218 3; α(L)=0.000344 5; α(M)=7.86×10 ⁻⁵ 11 α(N)=1.90×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(O)=3.16×10 ⁻⁶ 5; α(P)=2.18×10 ⁻⁷ 3; α(IPF)=2.77×10 ⁻⁵ 4 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0025.
^x 1468.91 25	0.03 1							
^x 1473.13 25	0.03 1							
^x 1562.60 10	0.06 2							
^x 1626.39 10	0.13 ^a 2							
^x 1642& 2	0.08 3							
^x 1650.02 10	0.06 2							
^x 1678.48 10	0.07 2					(E2)	0.00189	α(K)=0.001469 21; α(L)=0.000223 4; α(M)=5.08×10 ⁻⁵ 8 α(N)=1.228×10 ⁻⁵ 18; α(O)=2.05×10 ⁻⁶ 3; α(P)=1.464×10 ⁻⁷ 21; α(IPF)=0.0001329 19 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0016.
^x 1707& 2	0.09 4							

5

¹⁸³Os ε decay (9.9 h) [1983Br24,1970Ak01](#) (continued)

							<u>γ(¹⁸³Re) (continued)</u>			
<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡b}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[#]</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>		
^x 1725& 2	0.08 3									
^x 1787& 2	0.10 4									
^x 1806.71 13	0.19 3					(E2)	1.72×10 ⁻³	α(K)=0.001284 18; α(L)=0.000193 3; α(M)=4.39×10 ⁻⁵ 7 α(N)=1.061×10 ⁻⁵ 15; α(O)=1.777×10 ⁻⁶ 25; α(P)=1.279×10 ⁻⁷ 18; α(IPF)=0.000187 3 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0009.		
^x 1826.06 25	0.16 ^a 2					(M1)	0.00264	α(K)=0.00198 3; α(L)=0.000296 5; α(M)=6.70×10 ⁻⁵ 10 α(N)=1.625×10 ⁻⁵ 23; α(O)=2.74×10 ⁻⁶ 4; α(P)=2.07×10 ⁻⁷ 3; α(IPF)=0.000274 4 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0016.		
1903.50 10	0.23 2	1903.93	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁺	(E2)	1.62×10 ⁻³	α(K)=0.001168 17; α(L)=0.0001746 25; α(M)=3.96×10 ⁻⁵ 6 α(N)=9.58×10 ⁻⁶ 14; α(O)=1.606×10 ⁻⁶ 23; α(P)=1.163×10 ⁻⁷ 17; α(IPF)=0.000230 4 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0018. E _γ : fits placement poorly; omitted from least-squares fit. Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0028.		
^x 1919.00 25	0.04 1									
^x 1948.13 25	0.07 2									

[†] [Additional information 1.](#)

[‡] From [1983Br24](#), except As noted.

[#] Based on conversion electron data from [1970Ak01](#) and I_γ adopted here, except As noted. the γ and ce intensity scales were normalized so α(K)exp(1102γ)=α(K)(E2 theory)=0.00321.

@ From Adopted Gammas.

& Unplaced γ from [1970Ak01](#). I_γ from [1970Ak01](#) renormalized so I(1102γ)=100 as In [1983Br24](#).

^a Transition may include contribution from ¹⁸³Os(13.0 h) ε decay.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.492 12.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

