

$^{187}\text{Pb}$   $\alpha$  decay (15.2 s) 1981Mi12

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 134, 149 (2016)	15-Apr-2015

Parent:  $^{187}\text{Pb}$ : E=33 13;  $J^\pi=(3/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=15.2$  s 3;  $Q(\alpha)=6393$  6;  $\% \alpha$  decay=7 2

$^{187}\text{Pb}$ -E:  $3/2^-$  g.s., 33 keV 13 below  $13/2^+$  state In  $^{187}\text{Pb}$  from mass measurements (2005We11), or  $3/2^-$  level 2 keV 15 above a  $13/2^+$   $^{187}\text{Pb}$  g.s. from  $^{191}\text{Po}$   $\alpha$  decay (2002An19), implying E( $13/2^+$ ) level In  $^{183}\text{Hg}$  at 216 16 or 185 18. The former is preferred by 2013Sa43 because the  $^{183}\text{Hg}$   $13/2^+$  level presumably must deexcite to the  $9/2^-$   $7/2[514]$  level known from Adopted Levels, Gammas to lie 105 keV above the  $7/2^-$   $7/2[514]$  level whose energy is estimated from systematics to be 120 10 (2013Sa43); thus, E( $13/2^+$ ) In  $^{183}\text{Hg}$  should exceed  $\approx 225$  keV.

$^{187}\text{Pb}$ - $\% \alpha$  decay: From  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  correlation data of 1999An36.

Decay scheme based on  $\alpha\gamma$  coincidence measurements on a mass separated source. Substantial  $\varepsilon$  decay branch could not be quantified by 1981Mi12 due to similar  $^{187}\text{Pb}$  g.s.+isomer half-lives and unknown  $\alpha$  branching ratios of the  $^{187}\text{Tl}$  daughters.

For this decay,  $Q_{\text{XBR}}=450$  129.

 $^{183}\text{Hg}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0.0	$1/2^-$		No $\alpha$ branch observed to this level. 1981Mi12 estimate an upper limit of 1.5% of all parent $\alpha$ decays for such a branch; the implied lower limit for its hindrance factor (790 240) is surprisingly high compared with that for the branch to the 67-keV level (13 4) which has been postulated to be a member of the same rotational band.
67.43 25	$3/2^-$	$\leq 16$ ns	other E: 44 19 from $Q(\alpha)$ and $E\alpha$ if parent level energy is 33 13 and 65 19 if E(parent)=2 15.
275.47 25	$(3/2^-)$	$\leq 16$ ns	

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> Based on observation of prompt  $\alpha$ - $\gamma$  coin (FWHM=16 ns time distribution) (1981Mi12).

 $\alpha$  radiations

$E\alpha$ <sup>†</sup>	E(level)	$I\alpha$ <sup>†#</sup>	HF <sup>‡</sup>
5993 10	275.47	40.3 24	2.6 8
6194 10	67.43	59.7 24	13 4

<sup>†</sup> From 1981Mi12. Intensities are given per 100 parent  $\alpha$  decays, based on  $I(6194\alpha):I(5993\alpha)=21.5$  15:14.5 10 (1981Mi12).

<sup>‡</sup> If  $r_0=1.496$  15 (based on  $r_0(^{182}\text{Hg})=1.50$  2,  $r_0(^{184}\text{Hg})=1.491$  14 in 1998Ak04),  $\% \alpha=7$  2,  $Q(\alpha)=6395$  7 and  $T_{1/2}=15.2$  s 3 for  $^{187}\text{Pb}$  parent.

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.07 2.

 $\gamma(^{183}\text{Hg})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
67.4 3	67.43	$3/2^-$	0.0	$1/2^-$	E2	32.1 9	$\alpha(\text{L})=24.0$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=6.26$ 17 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.55$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.256$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000389$ 9 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=26$ 4 from ratio of $I(6197\alpha)$ and $I(6197\alpha-67\gamma)$ coin (1981Mi12). Coincident with 5993 $\alpha$ . $E\gamma$ is comparable to that expected for an otherwise unknown transition from 275 level to a known $5/2^-$ 87 level, but No such branch from the 275 level was reported In the extensive ( $^{32}\text{S}, 4n\gamma$ ) E=159 MeV study by 1995La10.

<sup>x</sup>187

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{187}\text{Pb}$   $\alpha$  decay (15.2 s)    [1981Mi12](#) (continued)** $\gamma(^{183}\text{Hg})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 195							Coincident with 5993 $\alpha$ .
208.0 3	275.47	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	67.43	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)	0.991	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.813$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1366$ 20; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0318$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00797$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001509$ 22; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0001155$ 17 Mult.: $0.87 \leq \alpha(\text{exp}) \leq 5.3$ from intensity balance at the 67 level. D,E2 from RUL.
275.5 3	275.47	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)	0.455	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.374$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0625$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01454$ 21 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00365$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000690$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.29 \times 10^{-5}$ 8 Mult.: M1 favored based on strength of 5993 $\alpha$ -(K x ray) coin ( <a href="#">1981Mi12</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> [Additional information 1.](#)<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

**$^{187}\text{Pb}$   $\alpha$  decay (15.2 s) 1981Mi12**

Decay Scheme

