

¹⁸⁰Tl ε decay (1.09 s) 2011EI07

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan	Citation
		NDS 126, 151 (2015)

Parent: ¹⁸⁰Tl: E=0.0; J π =(5 $^{-}$); T_{1/2}=1.09 s 1; Q(ε)=10990 60; % ε +% β^{+} decay=94 4

2011EI07: ¹⁸⁰Tl activity produced from spallation of UC_x with E(p)=1.4 GeV and separated with resonant laser ionization technique and the High Resolution Separator. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$, E(ce), I(ce) using a Miniball cluster detector (consisting of three HPGe crystals) and two Si detectors.

Other: 2013EI08, deduced asymmetric fission of excited levels populated in ¹⁸⁰Tl decay.

The decay scheme is most likely incomplete, as the highest observed level is at only \approx 2.5 MeV compared with the large Q value for the decay (10.99 MeV). A total energy release of 9.6 MeV 3 is calculated for this decay scheme using the RADLST code, compared with the effective Q value of 10.3 keV 4.

α : Additional information 1.

¹⁸⁰Hg Levels

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J π [‡]
0.0	0 $^{+}$	1031.82 24	6 $^{+}$	1468.62 18	(3 $^{-}$,4 $^{+}$)	2021.90 20	
419.7 4	0 $^{+}$	1091.4 4	(2 $^{+}$,1)	1504.1 3	(6 $^{+}$)	2348.56 19	(4,5 $^{-}$)
434.13 15	2 $^{+}$	1203.87 23		1663.0 5		2487.5 3	
601.47 15	2 $^{+}$	1223.60 19	(3 $^{-}$,4 $^{+}$)	1797.26 19	5 $^{(-)}$		
706.01 18	4 $^{+}$	1399.20 19	(3 $^{-}$)	1840.2 5			

[†] From a least-squares fit to E γ by evaluator.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^{+} radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^{+} ^{‡‡}	I ε [‡]	Log ft	I(ε + β^{+}) ^{†‡‡}	Comments
(8.50×10 ³ 6)	2487.5	1.3 3	0.27 5	6.0	1.6 3	av E β =3427 29; ε K=0.135 3; ε L=0.0233 5; ε M+=0.00747 15
(8.64×10 ³ 6)	2348.56	16.5 13	3.12 25	4.9	19.6 15	av E β =3493 29; ε K=0.1297 25; ε L=0.0223 5; ε M+=0.00715 14
(8.97×10 ³ 6)	2021.90	6.5 9	1.1 1	5.4	7.6 10	av E β =3648 29; ε K=0.1174 22; ε L=0.0202 4; ε M+=0.00647 12
(9.15×10 ³ 6)	1840.2	0.69 8	0.11 1	6.4	0.80 9	av E β =3734 29; ε K=0.1112 20; ε L=0.0191 4; ε M+=0.00613 11
(9.19×10 ³ 6)	1797.26	5.2 11	0.81 18	5.5	6.0 13	av E β =3755 29; ε K=0.1098 20; ε L=0.0189 4; ε M+=0.00605 11
(9.33×10 ³ 6)	1663.0	0.50 13	0.075 19	6.6	0.58 15	av E β =3818 29; ε K=0.1056 19; ε L=0.0182 4; ε M+=0.00582 11
(9.49×10 ³ 6)	1504.1	4.0 12	0.57 17	5.7	4.6 14	av E β =3894 29; ε K=0.1008 18; ε L=0.0173 3; ε M+=0.00555 10
(9.77×10 ³ 6)	1223.60	7.6 14	0.98 18	5.5	8.6 16	av E β =4028 29; ε K=0.0931 16; ε L=0.0160 3; ε M+=0.00512 9
(9.79×10 ³ 6)	1203.87	4.1 4	0.52 5	5.8	4.6 4	av E β =4037 29; ε K=0.0925 16; ε L=0.0159 3; ε M+=0.00509 9
(9.96×10 ³ 6)	1031.82	8.7 10	1.1 1	5.5	9.8 11	av E β =4120 29; ε K=0.0882 15; ε L=0.0152 3; ε M+=0.00485 9
(1.028×10 ⁴ 6)	706.01	21 4	2.3 4	5.2	23 4	av E β =4276 29; ε K=0.0806 14; ε L=0.01386 23; ε M+=0.00444 8

[†] From an intensity balance at each level. Feedings are considered to be upper limits given the large Q value of the decay (10.99

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{180}\text{Tl } \varepsilon$ decay (1.09 s) 2011E107 (continued) **ε, β^+ radiations (continued)**MeV) and the highest observed level at only ≈ 2.5 MeV. \dagger Absolute intensity per 100 decays. **$\gamma(^{180}\text{Hg})$** I $_{\gamma}$ normalization, I($\gamma+ce$) normalization: From $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$ (to g.s.)=94 4. Direct feeding of the ground state is not expected ($\Delta J=5$).

E $_{\gamma} \dagger$	I $_{\gamma} \ddagger @$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. #	α	I $_{(\gamma+ce)} @$	Comments
104.7 5	1.4 4	706.01	4 $^+$	601.47	2 $^+$	[E2]	4.57 12		$\alpha(K)=0.597 9; \alpha(L)=2.97 8;$ $\alpha(M)=0.778 21; \alpha(N)=0.193$ $6; \alpha(O)=0.0320 9$ $\alpha(P)=0.0001041 20$ I $_{\gamma}$: calculated from I $_{\gamma}(272\gamma)$, the relative branching ratio of the 272γ (89%) and 105 γ (11%) determined from $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences, and $\alpha(E2)=4.575$ for the 105 γ .
167.0 2	3.3 2	601.47	2 $^+$	434.13	2 $^+$	E0(+M1+E2)	3.5 4	15 1	ce(K)/ $(\gamma+ce)=0.39 17$; ce(L)/ $(\gamma+ce)=0.13 4$; ce(M)/ $(\gamma+ce)=0.033 11$; ce(N)/ $(\gamma+ce)=0.008 3$; ce(O)/ $(\gamma+ce)=0.0015 5$ ce(P)/ $(\gamma+ce)=5.E-5$ $\alpha(K)=0.9 7; \alpha(L)=0.31 6$; $\alpha(M)=0.076 18; \alpha(N)=0.019$ $5; \alpha(O)=0.0034 6$; $\alpha(P)=0.00012 9$ α : deduced from I($\gamma+ce$). I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence spectrum gated on 622 γ which populates the 602 level.
181.8 5	0.16 1	601.47	2 $^+$	419.7	0 $^+$	[E2]	0.545 10		$\alpha(K)=0.213 4; \alpha(L)=0.249 5$; $\alpha(M)=0.0646 12$; $\alpha(N)=0.0160 3$; $\alpha(O)=0.00270 5$ $\alpha(P)=2.66\times10^{-5} 5$ I $_{\gamma}$: determined from γ spectrum gated on K electron of 421 γ .
272.0 2	54 3	706.01	4 $^+$	434.13	2 $^+$	E2	0.1433		$\alpha(K)=0.0800 12; \alpha(L)=0.0476$ $7; \alpha(M)=0.01213 18$; $\alpha(N)=0.00302 5$; $\alpha(O)=0.000518 8$ $\alpha(P)=1.021\times10^{-5} 15$
325.8 2	15.3 10	1031.82	6 $^+$	706.01	4 $^+$	E2	0.0836		$\alpha(K)=0.0517 8; \alpha(L)=0.0240$ $4; \alpha(M)=0.00607 9$; $\alpha(N)=0.001511 22$; $\alpha(O)=0.000262 4$ $\alpha(P)=6.71\times10^{-6} 10$
326.8 2	5.6 9	2348.56	(4,5 $^-$)	2021.90					
328.6 2	2.7 17	1797.26	5 $^{(-)}$	1468.62	(3 $^-, 4^+$)				
398.2 2	2.9 2	1797.26	5 $^{(-)}$	1399.20	(3 $^-$)	E2	0.0480		$\alpha(K)=0.0324 5; \alpha(L)=0.01182$ $17; \alpha(M)=0.00295 5$;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{180}\text{Tl } \varepsilon \text{ decay (1.09 s) }$ **2011E107 (continued)** $\gamma(^{180}\text{Hg})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger @}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α	$I_{(\gamma+ce)} @$	Comments
421 20		419.7	0^+	0.0	0^+	E0		1.04 5	$\alpha(N)=0.000735$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.0001290$ 19 $\alpha(P)=4.25\times 10^{-6}$ 6 $I_{(\gamma+ce)}/I_{\text{tot}}=0.85$ 18. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from $\Sigma I(\gamma+c.e.)$ feeding the 420 level. Direct feeding of $J^\pi=0^+$ is not expected.
434.0 2	100 3	434.13	2^+	0.0	0^+	E2	0.0383		E_γ : from Si spectrum gated on 272γ and 671γ . $\alpha(K)=0.0266$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00887$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.00220$ 3; $\alpha(N)=0.000548$ 8; $\alpha(O)=9.68\times 10^{-5}$ 14 $\alpha(P)=3.51\times 10^{-6}$ 5
472.5 5	1.1 2	1504.1	(6^+)	1031.82	6^+				
498.1 5	1.3 2	1203.87		706.01	4^+				
517.4 2	3.4 4	1223.60	($3^-, 4^+$)	706.01	4^+				
551.1 2	3.0 4	2348.56	($4, 5^-$)	1797.26	$5^{(-)}$				
553.0 2	2.9 4	2021.90		1468.62	($3^-, 4^+$)				
573.4 2	1.6 2	1797.26	$5^{(-)}$	1223.60	($3^-, 4^+$)				
601.6 2	24.3 12	601.47	2^+	0.0	0^+	E2	0.01748		$\alpha(K)=0.01317$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.00328$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000796$ 12; $\alpha(N)=0.000199$ 3; $\alpha(O)=3.58\times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(P)=1.748\times 10^{-6}$ 25
602.4 5	1.5 2	1203.87		601.47	2^+				
622.0 2	18.5 9	1223.60	($3^-, 4^+$)	601.47	2^+				
657.3 5	1.0 2	1091.4	($2^+, 1$)	434.13	2^+				
671.6 5	0.79 4	1091.4	($2^+, 1$)	419.7	0^+				I_γ : determined from conversion electron spectrum.
692.9 2	2.4 2	1399.20	(3^-)	706.01	4^+	[E1]	0.00459		$\alpha(K)=0.00383$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000587$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.0001349$ 19; $\alpha(N)=3.37\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=6.30\times 10^{-6}$ 9 $\alpha(P)=4.58\times 10^{-7}$ 7
765.4 5	1.5 1	1797.26	$5^{(-)}$	1031.82	6^+	[E1]	0.00379		$\alpha(K)=0.00316$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.000481$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.0001106$ 16; $\alpha(N)=2.76\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(O)=5.17\times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(P)=3.80\times 10^{-7}$ 6
769.7 2	3.5 2	1203.87		434.13	2^+				
789.4	10.4 12	1223.60	($3^-, 4^+$)	434.13	2^+				$\alpha(K)=0.00292$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000444$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.0001019$ 15;
797.7 2	4.5 17	1399.20	(3^-)	601.47	2^+	[E1]	0.00350		$\alpha(N)=2.54\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(O)=4.77\times 10^{-6}$ 7 $\alpha(P)=3.52\times 10^{-7}$ 5
798.0 2	5.1 18	1504.1	(6^+)	706.01	4^+	(E2)	0.00950		$\alpha(K)=0.00747$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.001551$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.000370$ 6; $\alpha(N)=9.25\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(O)=1.695\times 10^{-5}$ 24; $\alpha(P)=9.87\times 10^{-7}$ 14
798.1 2	9.2 6	2021.90		1223.60	($3^-, 4^+$)				
867.1 2	1.9 2	1468.62	($3^-, 4^+$)	601.47	2^+				
880.3 2	5.0 4	2348.56	($4, 5^-$)	1468.62	($3^-, 4^+$)				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{180}Tl ε decay (1.09 s) 2011E107 (continued) $\gamma(^{180}\text{Hg})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger @}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α	Comments
948.9 2	3.5 11	2348.56	(4,5 ⁻)	1399.20	(3 ⁻)			
1034.6 2	7.5 8	1468.62	(3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺)	434.13	2 ⁺			
1091.2 2	2.3 1	1797.26	5 ⁽⁻⁾	706.01	4 ⁺	[E1]	0.00197	$\alpha(K)=0.001650$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.000246$ 4; $\alpha(M)=5.63\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(N)=1.405\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(O)=2.65\times 10^{-6}$ 4 $\alpha(P)=2.00\times 10^{-7}$ 3
1125.1 2	9.7 5	2348.56	(4,5 ⁻)	1223.60	(3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺)			
1134.2 5	1.1 1	1840.2		706.01	4 ⁺			
1228.9 5	0.8 2	1663.0		434.13	2 ⁺			
1316.5 2	3.9 2	2021.90		706.01	4 ⁺			
1455.4 5	0.6 1	2487.5		1031.82	6 ⁺			
1781.5 2	1.6 3	2487.5		706.01	4 ⁺			

[†] Uncertainties taken as 0.2 keV for $I_\gamma > 1.5\%$ and 0.5 keV for $I_\gamma \leq 1.5\%$ from a general statement by 2011E107.[‡] Intensities relative to $I_\gamma(434\gamma)=100$; values do not include a correction for summing.[#] From the Adopted Gammas.

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.73 4.

$^{180}\text{Tl } \varepsilon \text{ decay (1.09 s) 2011El07}$

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays