

$^{18}\text{N} \beta^-$  decay

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Tilley, Weller, Cheves, Chasteler		NP A595, 1 (1995)	31-Oct-1994

Parent:  $^{18}\text{N}$ :  $E=0$ ;  $J^\pi=1^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=624$  ms 12;  $Q(\beta^-)=13899$  20;  $\% \beta^-$  decay=100.0

Branchings to  $\gamma$  decaying levels are from [1982OI01](#). Branchings to  $\alpha$  decaying levels are from [1989Zh04](#). Branchings to neutron decaying levels are from [1994Sc01](#). 12.2% 6 of the  $\beta$  decay branching ratios has been measured to feed  $\alpha$  emitting states [1989Zh04](#). 14.3% 20 has been measured to feed neutron decaying states [1991Re02](#). The  $\beta$  branchings of  $\gamma$  decaying states ([1982OI01](#)) have been renormalized to take these delayed particle branchings into account.

 $^{18}\text{O}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	Comments
0	$0^+$	
1982.050 90	$2^+$	
3554.13 80	$4^+$	
3633.70 11		
3920.42 14	$2^+$	
4455.52 10	$1^-$	
5097.60 60	$3^-$	
5530.17 32	$2^-$	
6198.22 40	$1^-$	
6349.8 10	$(2^-)$	
6880.45 27	$0^-$	
7620	$1^-$	$\% \alpha=100$
7771.07 50	$2^-$	
8040	$1^-$	$\% \alpha=100$
9000	$(1^-)$	$\% \alpha=100$
		Found as a broad bump at 3 MeV in $\beta^-$ delayed $\alpha$ spectra. Origin unclear, can be several unresolved $J^\pi=1^-$ states or a new broad $J^\pi=1^-$ state in $^{18}\text{O}$ <a href="#">1989Zh04</a> .
9090 30	$(0,1,2)^-$	$\% n=100$ Level uncertain.
9270 20	$(0,1,2)^-$	$\% n=100$
9470 20	$(0,1,2)^-$	$\% n=100$
9690 20	$(0,1,2)^-$	$\% n=100$
9910 20	$(0,1,2)^-$	$\% n=100$
10240 30	$(0,1,2)^-$	$\% n=100$
10650 30	$(0,1,2)^-$	$\% n=100$
10990 30	$(0,1,2)^-$	$\% n=100$
11490 30	$(0,1,2)^-$	$\% n=100$

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^- \dagger \ddagger$	Log $ft$	Comments
$(2.41 \times 10^3)$ 4)	11490	0.19 4	4.85 10	av $E\beta=1033$ 18
$(2.91 \times 10^3)$ 4)	10990	0.13 3	5.38 11	av $E\beta=1272$ 18
$(3.25 \times 10^3)$ 4)	10650	0.43 9	5.07 10	av $E\beta=1436$ 18
$(3.66 \times 10^3)$ 4)	10240	0.16 3	5.73 9	av $E\beta=1635$ 18
$(3.99 \times 10^3)$ 3)	9910	0.17 3	5.87 8	av $E\beta=1795$ 14
$(4.21 \times 10^3)$ 3)	9690	0.14 3	6.06 10	av $E\beta=1902$ 14
$(4.43 \times 10^3)$ 3)	9470	0.47 9	5.64 9	av $E\beta=2010$ 14
$(4.63 \times 10^3)$ 3)	9270	0.39 9	5.80 11	av $E\beta=2108$ 14
$(4.81 \times 10^3)$ 4)	9090	0.16 3	6.27 9	av $E\beta=2196$ 18
(4899 20)	9000	$\geq 3.6$	$\leq 5.0$	av $E\beta=2240$ 10
(5859 20)	8040	1.8 2	5.61 5	av $E\beta=2713$ 10

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{18}\text{N}$   $\beta^-$  decay (continued) $\beta^-$  radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger\ddagger}$	Log $ft$	Comments
(6128 20)	7771.07	4.3 4	5.32 5	av $E\beta=2845$ 10
(6279 20)	7620	6.8 5	5.17 4	av $E\beta=2920$ 10
(7019 20)	6880.45	12.8 7	5.13 3	av $E\beta=3284$ 10
(7549 20)	6349.8	1.9 2	6.10 5	av $E\beta=3547$ 10
(7701 20)	6198.22	1.2 2	6.34 8	av $E\beta=3622$ 10
(8369 20)	5530.17	2.7 3	6.16 5	av $E\beta=3952$ 10
(8801 20)	5097.60	<0.4	>7.1	av $E\beta=4166$ 10
(9443 20)	4455.52	47.2 9	5.167 13	av $E\beta=4485$ 10
(9979 20)	3920.42	<0.4	>7.4	av $E\beta=4750$ 10
(10265 20)	3633.70	<0.3	>7.5	av $E\beta=4892$ 10
(10345 20)	3554.13	<0.5	>7.3	av $E\beta=4931$ 10
(11917 20)	1982.050	3.4 13	6.79 17	av $E\beta=5711$ 10

$\dagger$  Branchings do not add up to 100% since neutron decaying levels below 9.00 MeV were not measured by 1994Sc01 and there is a missing 12.1% to neutron decaying levels not listed.

$\ddagger$  Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{18}\text{O})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
535.238 50	2.85 14	4455.52	1 <sup>-</sup>	3920.42	2 <sup>+</sup>	
682.24	<0.35	6880.45	0 <sup>-</sup>	6198.22	1 <sup>-</sup>	$\gamma$ -ray not seen. Intensity deduced from adopted gammas table.
821.708 90	60.6 18	4455.52	1 <sup>-</sup>	3633.70		
901.37	<0.42	4455.52	1 <sup>-</sup>	3554.13	4 <sup>+</sup>	$\gamma$ -ray not seen. Intensity deduced from adopted gammas table.
1074.77 60	0.80 12	5530.17	2 <sup>-</sup>	4455.52	1 <sup>-</sup>	
1177.33 90	0.42 13	5097.60	3 <sup>-</sup>	3920.42	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1350.25	<1.4	6880.45	0 <sup>-</sup>	5530.17	2 <sup>-</sup>	$\gamma$ -ray not seen. Intensity deduced from adopted gammas table.
1543.40	0.10 2	5097.60	3 <sup>-</sup>	3554.13	4 <sup>+</sup>	$\gamma$ -ray not seen. Intensity deduced from adopted gammas table.
1572.00 80	0.64 13	3554.13	4 <sup>+</sup>	1982.050	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1609.58 90	0.85 34	5530.17	2 <sup>-</sup>	3920.42	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1651.564 70	60.5 18	3633.70		1982.050	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1893.93 95	0.37 6	6349.8	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	4455.52	1 <sup>-</sup>	
1938.19 15	4.49 14	3920.42	2 <sup>+</sup>	1982.050	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1981.933 90	98.0 20	1982.050	2 <sup>+</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
2424.78 25	17.53 70	6880.45	0 <sup>-</sup>	4455.52	1 <sup>-</sup>	
2429.67 75	1.41 14	6349.8	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	3920.42	2 <sup>+</sup>	
2473.03 25	20.4 10	4455.52	1 <sup>-</sup>	1982.050	2 <sup>+</sup>	
2672.96 50	1.63 16	7771.07	2 <sup>-</sup>	5097.60	3 <sup>-</sup>	
3114.50 60	0.92 14	5097.60	3 <sup>-</sup>	1982.050	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3315.13 90	0.63 25	7771.07	2 <sup>-</sup>	4455.52	1 <sup>-</sup>	
3547.70 40	2.01 14	5530.17	2 <sup>-</sup>	1982.050	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3633.31	0.18 4	3633.70		0	0 <sup>+</sup>	$\gamma$ -ray not seen. Intensity deduced from adopted gammas table.
3920.10 90	0.65 7	3920.42	2 <sup>+</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
4366.00 80	0.84 21	6349.8	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	1982.050	2 <sup>+</sup>	
4454.93	<0.85	4455.52	1 <sup>-</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	$\gamma$ -ray not seen. Intensity deduced from adopted gammas table.
5788.50 70	3.58 32	7771.07	2 <sup>-</sup>	1982.050	2 <sup>+</sup>	
6197.07 40	1.40 14	6198.22	1 <sup>-</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	




$\dagger$  For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.735 21.

$^{18}\text{N} \beta^-$  decay

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_\gamma$  per 100 parent decays

Legend

-   $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$   
  $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$   
  $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

