

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	R. Spitzer, J. H. Kelley	ENSDF	30-Jun-2021

$Q(\beta^-)=13896$ 19; $S(n)=2828$ 24; $S(p)=15208$ 25 [2021Wa16](#)

Prior reviews: [1972Aj02](#), [1978Aj05](#), [1983Aj01](#), [1987Aj02](#), [1995Ti07](#).

Shell Model analysis of ^{18}N :

The ground state spin of ^{18}N is $J^\pi=1^-$ ([1982OI01](#)) while a simple shell-model analysis predicts $J^\pi=2^-$. Detailed discussion is given ([1983Sh44](#), [1984Ba24](#), [2012Yu07](#), [2018Ji07](#)).

See other relevant theoretical analysis in:

[1988PoZS](#), [1993Pa14](#), [1993Po11](#), [1997Ba54](#), [2000Zh42](#), [2004La24](#), [2004Ne16](#), [2004Su23](#), [2005Fo13](#), [2006Ko02](#), [2016Ma06](#), [2018Fo04](#), [2018Fo17](#), [2019Fo08](#).

Other relevant reaction studies:

[1981SuZS](#), [1987Su06](#): Systematic measurement of μ^- capture rates.

[1983As01](#), [1984As05](#): $^{18}\text{O}(\pi^-, \pi^0)$. Studied isospin dependence of single-charge-exchange reactions for $(\pi^\pm, \pi^0)$ reactions at LAMPF. See also ([1980Ge09](#), [1982ArZT](#)).

[1998Mu17](#): Theoretical analysis on μ capture rates on light nuclei.

[1999He33](#): Theoretical analysis of astrophysically relevant neutron capture in unstable nitrogen nuclei.

[2019Zh29](#): Calculated μ^- spallation yields on Ar leading to ^{18}N , which is a source of background in the DUNE neutrino detector.

 ^{18}N LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

A	$^{18}\text{C} \beta^-$ decay	J	$^9\text{Be}(^{40}\text{Ar}, ^{18}\text{N})$	S	$^{18}\text{O}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{11}\text{C})$
B	$^{19}\text{C} \beta^-n$ decay	K	$^{12}\text{C}(^{22}\text{Ne}, ^{18}\text{N})$	T	$^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{N})$
C	$^2\text{H}(^{17}\text{N}, \text{P})$	L	$^{14}\text{C}(^7\text{Li}, ^3\text{He})$	U	$^{28}\text{Si}(\text{P}, ^{18}\text{N})$:spallation
D	$^9\text{Be}(^{11}\text{B}, 2p\gamma)$	M	$^{14}\text{C}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{N})$	V	$^{181}\text{Ta}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{N}\gamma)$
E	$^{12}\text{C}(^{18}\text{N}, \text{X})$	N	$^{18}\text{O}(\pi^-, \gamma)$	W	$^{181}\text{Ta}(^{22}\text{Ne}, ^{18}\text{N})$
F	$^9\text{Be}(^{18}\text{O}, \text{P2A})$	O	$^{18}\text{O}(n, p)$	X	$^{232}\text{Th}(\text{P}, ^{18}\text{N})$
G	$^9\text{Be}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{N})$:moment	P	$^{18}\text{O}(\text{d}, ^2\text{He})$	Y	$^{232}\text{Th}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{N}), (^{22}\text{Ne}, ^{18}\text{N})$
H	$^9\text{Be}(^{19}\text{N}, ^{18}\text{N})$	Q	$^{18}\text{O}(\text{t}, ^3\text{He})$		
I	$^9\text{Be}(^{22}\text{Ne}, ^{18}\text{N})$	R	$^{18}\text{O}(^7\text{Li}, ^7\text{Be})$		

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0.0	1^-	619 ms 2	AB DEF GHI JKLM NOPQR TUV WXYZ	$\% \beta^- = 100$; $\% \beta^- \alpha = 12.2$ 6 (1989Zh04); $\% \beta^- n = 12.0$ 13 (1994ReZZ) $T=2$ $\mu = 0.3273$ 4 (2009De34) $Q = 0.0123$ 12 (1999Og03) J^π : From $^{18}\text{N} \beta^-$ decay to $^{18}\text{O}_{\text{g.s.}}$ (1982OI01). $T_{1/2}$: From (2005Li60), See also 620 ms 8 (2007Bu01), 625 ms 30, 635 ms 40 and 609 ms 60 (2007Lo05), 620 ms 14 (1999Og03), 0.63 s 2 (1994Sc01), 658 ms 44 (1994ReZZ), 624 ms 12 (1982OI01) and 0.63 s 3 (1964Ch19). μ : From β -NMR (2009De34) and (2019StZV). See also $\mu = 0.3279$ 13 (1998Og04) and (-0.135) 15 (1999Ne01). Q : From (1999Og03) and (Priv. comm. Nick Stone (2021)). This is an update of (2014StZZ). See also +0.027 4 (1999Ne01). See

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

<u>¹⁸N Levels (continued)</u>							
E(level)	J ^π	T _{1/2}	XREF				Comments
114.71 [†] 10	(2 ⁻) [‡]	0.40 ns 11	ABCD	H	P R	V	other discussion in (2003Um02). %IT=100 T _{1/2} : From (2008Wi05).
587.39 [†] 20	(2 ⁻) [‡]		AB D	H	LM	ST V	%IT=100
742.0 [†] 4	(3 ⁻) [‡]		CD	H	L	R V	%IT=100
1170 20	(1 ⁻)		C		N		%IT=100
1734.75 [†] 19	(1,2) [#]		A				E(level),J ^π : From ² H(¹⁷ N,p) (2013Ho21). %IT=100
2210			C			R	J ^π : From ¹⁸ C β ⁻ decay (1991Pr03). %IT=100
2405.0 [†] 9		0.11 ps +51-7				R V	%IT=100 T _{1/2} : From τ=0.16 ⁺⁷⁴ ₋₁₀ ps and E _γ =1663.0 keV 8 (2020Zi03).
2614.35 [†] 21	1 ⁺ [#]		A				%IT=100 J ^π : From ¹⁸ C β ⁻ decay (1991Pr03).
2.9×10 ³ ? 2				N			E(level): From ¹⁸ O(π ⁻ ,γ).
6.9×10 ³ ? 2				N			E(level): From ¹⁸ O(π ⁻ ,γ).
8.5×10 ³ ? 2				N			E(level): From ¹⁸ O(π ⁻ ,γ).
10.1×10 ³ ? 2				N			E(level): From ¹⁸ O(π ⁻ ,γ).

[†] From least squares fit to (E_x : E_γ), (115: 114.7 1), (587: 472.7 2), (742: 154.6 3, 627 1), (1735: 1147.8 4, 1619.9 3, 1734.8 4), (2405: 1663.0 8), (2614: 879.7 2, 2025.3 8, 2499.3 4, 2614.2 4) from averages of γ energies given in ^{18}C β^- (1991Pr03),

$^9\text{Be}(^{11}\text{B}, 2\text{p}\gamma)$ (2008Wi05) and $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{N}\gamma)$ (2020Zi03).

[‡] Suggested by theory (1984Ba24). See also (1982OI01, 1991Pr03).

[#] See (1993Ch06).

 $\gamma(^{18}\text{N})$

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ	I_γ	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
114.71	(2 ⁻)	114.7 1	100	0.0	1 ⁻	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.036 10
587.39	(2 ⁻)	472.7 2	100 16	114.71	(2 ⁻)	
742.0	(3 ⁻)	154.6 3	20	587.39	(2 ⁻)	
		627 1	100	114.71	(2 ⁻)	I_γ : From (2008Wi05).
1734.75	(1,2)	1147.8 4	68 20	587.39	(2 ⁻)	
		1619.9 3	100 25	114.71	(2 ⁻)	
		1734.8 4	100 25	0.0	1 ⁻	I_γ : A discrepancy exists between the (1991Pr03) Table 1 and Table 3 γ -ray intensities for $E_x=1734$ keV; we use values from Table 1. This choice is necessary to reproduce their relative β^- feedings to $^{18}\text{N}^*(1734, 2614)$.
2405.0		1663.0 8	100	742.0	(3 ⁻)	I_γ : From (2020Zi03).
2614.35	1 ⁺	879.7 2	44 4	1734.75	(1,2)	
		2025.3 8	7 5	587.39	(2 ⁻)	
		2499.3 4	41 9	114.71	(2 ⁻)	
		2614.2 4	100 11	0.0	1 ⁻	

Adopted Levels, Gammas**Level Scheme**

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

