#### $^{149}$ Sm( $^{35}$ Cl,5n $\gamma$ ) 2004So08

History							
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date				
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 110, 265 (2009)	15-Nov-2008				

E=180 MeV; enriched <sup>149</sup>Sm target with Pb backing;  $\gamma$  detector array (13 HPGe detectors with BGO anti-Compton shields, three LOAX detectors for low-energy  $\gamma$  rays), detectors At 90°, 72°, 35°; measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ , x- $\gamma(t)$ , excit (E=164, 178, 180 MeV). reliable DCO ratios could not Be extracted due to poor statistics.

## <sup>179</sup>Au Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$
0.0+y	$(9/2^{-})^{\#}$
21.5+y <sup>@</sup> 15	$(7/2^{-})^{\#}$
242.0+y <sup>@</sup> 10	$(11/2^{-})^{\#}$
387.0+y <sup>&amp;</sup> 15	$(13/2^+)$
539.5+y <sup>&amp;</sup> 18	$(17/2^+)$
801.0+y <sup>&amp;</sup> 20	$(21/2^+)$
1154.5+y <sup>&amp;</sup> 23	$(25/2^+)$
1588.5+y <sup>&amp;</sup> 25	$(29/2^+)$
2095+y <sup>&amp;</sup> 3	$(33/2^+)$

<sup>†</sup> From  $E\gamma$ , assigning an uncertainty of 1 keV to all data.

<sup>‡</sup> Authors' values.
<sup>#</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>(a)</sup> Band(A):  $\pi$ =- band fragment.

& Band(B): ( $\pi i_{13/2}$ ),  $\alpha = +1/2$  band. 1/2[660] proton intruder band; assignment supported by observed alignment (5.5 $\hbar$ ). see 2004So20 for discussion of the systematic properties of 1/2[660] bands In odd-A Au nuclei.

## $\gamma(^{179}{\rm Au})$

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	α <b>#</b>	Comments
145.0	387.0+y	(13/2+)	242.0+y	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(E1)	0.1619	transition is presumably a doublet feeding both the $(11/2^-)$ state shown here and the $(13/2^-)$ state in Adopted Levels, Gammas which the E2 242 $\gamma$ deexcites.
							Mult.: based on authors' estimate (unstated) of $\alpha(exp)$ .
152.5	539.5+y	(17/2+)	387.0+y	(13/2+)	(E2)	0.985	Mult.: $\alpha(\exp)=0.9$ , assuming Ti(261.5 $\gamma$ )=Ti(152.5 $\gamma$ ) In spectrum gated on 353.5 $\gamma$ and adopted mult(261.5 $\gamma$ )=(E2); rules out E1 and M2.
220.5	242.0+y	$(11/2^{-})$	21.5+y	$(7/2^{-})$			
242.0	242.0+y	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0+y	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )			placement not adopted; it differs from that In Adopted Levels, Gammas where this $\gamma$ deexcites a (13/2 <sup>-</sup> ) level with almost identical energy.
261.5	801.0+y	$(21/2^+)$	539.5+y	$(17/2^+)$			
353.5	1154.5+y	$(25/2^+)$	801.0+y	$(21/2^+)$			
434.0	1588.5+y	$(29/2^+)$	1154.5+y	$(25/2^+)$			
506.5	2095+y	$(33/2^+)$	1588.5+y	$(29/2^+)$			

<sup>†</sup> From 2004So08; uncertainties unstated by authors.

 $^{\ddagger}$  Authors do not report any I $\gamma$  data but claim that observed intensity balance At each level is consistent with multipolarities

# <sup>149</sup>Sm(<sup>35</sup>Cl,5nγ) 2004So08 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{179}\text{Au})$  (continued)

implied by their level scheme.

<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

## <sup>149</sup>Sm(<sup>35</sup>Cl,5nγ) 2004So08

### Level Scheme

(33/2+)	306.5					2095+y
(29/2+)		0. <sub>86</sub> 8				1588.5+y
(25/2+)		333.5				1154.5+y
(21/2+)			261.5	&		801.0+y
(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )				(S)	(ja	539.5+y
$(13/2^+)$				× ×	<u> </u>	387.0+y
$(11/2^{-})$					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	242.0+y
(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ) (9/2 <sup>-</sup> )						21.5+y 0.0+y

<sup>179</sup><sub>79</sub>Au<sub>100</sub>





<sup>179</sup><sub>79</sub>Au<sub>100</sub>