

$^{177}\text{Hf}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$ 1979Do02

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Achterberg, O. A. Capurro, G. V. Marti		NDS 110, 1473 (2009)	31-May-2008

Target: 91.7% enriched ^{177}Hf , $E(\alpha)=38$ MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\alpha\gamma(\theta)$ at seven angles from $\theta=90^\circ$ to 155° .

Detectors: Ge(Li). Complementary experiments using the $^{164}\text{Dy}(^{18}\text{O},4n)$ reaction. Others: [1976Be47](#), [1969Mi03](#), [1965La02](#).

 ^{178}W Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$
0.0 [#]	0 ⁺	
106.10 [#] 5	2 ⁺	
343.15 [#] 7	4 ⁺	
694.75 [#] 8	6 ⁺	
1044.90 [@] 9	2 ⁻	
1120.69 [@] 8	3 ⁻	
1142.40 [#] 9	8 ⁺	
1225.80 [@] 9	4 ⁻	
1345.25 [@] 8	5 ⁻	
1380.43 8	(4 ⁺)	
1509.27 [@] 9	6 ⁻	
1556.55 ^{&} 13	6 ⁺	
1657.09 [@] 9	7 ⁻	
1665.55 ^a 9	(6 ⁺)	
1666.17 [#] 10	10 ⁺	
1739.33 ^b 10	(7 ⁻)	9.6 ns 5
1827.89 ^b 11	(8 ⁻)	
1836.29 ^a 10	(7 ⁺)	
1889.23 [@] 10	8 ⁻	
1916.68 ^{&} 12	8 ⁺	
1965.20 ^b 11	(9 ⁻)	
2024.40 ^a 10	(8 ⁺)	
2042.86 [@] 9	9 ⁻	
2133.93 ^b 11	(10 ⁻)	
2228.22 ^a 10	(9 ⁺)	
2245.22 [#] 11	12 ⁺	
2328.58 ^b 11	(11 ⁻)	
2340.72 ^{&} 12	10 ⁺	
2357.02 [@] 11	10 ⁻	
2445.59 ^a 10	10 ⁺	
2490.54 [@] 10	11 ⁻	
2547.16 ^b 12	(12 ⁻)	
2673.79 ^a 12	(11 ⁺)	
2785.78 ^b 12	(13 ⁻)	
2804.90 ^{&} 13	12 ⁺	
2859.39 [#] 12	14 ⁺	
2902.78 [@] 13	12 ⁻	
2995.6? [@] 16	(13 ⁻)	
3045.57 ^b 13	(14 ⁻)	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{177}\text{Hf}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ 1979Do02 (continued) ^{178}W Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
3054.89 <i>14</i>			
3141.58 ^a <i>13</i>	(13 ⁺)		
3237.19 <i>15</i>			
3318.81 ^b <i>13</i>	(15 ⁻)		
3320.3 ^{&} <i>3</i>	(14 ⁺)		
3489.16 [#] <i>13</i>	16 ⁺		
3527.60 <i>16</i>		35 ns 3	J ^π : excitation cross section suggests J>10. E(level): order of the 290-182 γ -ray cascade is consistent with coincidence transition intensity balance, but not with singles transition intensity balance. Authors also report inconsistencies with the transition intensity balances between the 182-, 290-, and 921- and 1090-keV delayed γ rays from ($^{18}\text{O}, 4n\gamma$) data, where the 35-ns isomer is more strongly populated. It may be that an additional branching to the $K^\pi=6^+$ band involving high-energy transitions was not observed in the ($\alpha, 3n\gamma$) reaction because of the weaker population to 35-ns isomer.
3614.36 ^b <i>14</i>	(16 ⁻)		

[†] Deduced by evaluator from a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] Assignments were made on the basis of γ -ray angular distributions, rotational structure, and γ -ray decay patterns.

[#] $K^\pi=0^+$ g.s. rotational band.

[@] $K^\pi=2^-$ octupole vibrational band.

[&] $K^\pi=0^+$ β vibrational band.

^a $K^\pi=(6^+)$ band.

^b $K^\pi=(7^-)$ band.

 $\gamma(^{178}\text{W})$

E _{γ}	I _{γ} [†]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [‡]	α ^{&}	Comments
73.78 5	16 1	1739.33	(7 ⁻)	1665.55	(6 ⁺)	E1	0.811	B(E1)(W.u.)=3.06×10 ⁻⁵ <i>16</i> Mult.: from α exp ≤1.0 (determined from transition intensity balance in delayed spectrum); A ₂ =-0.37 2, A ₄ =-0.02 2.
88.59 [#] 5	4.3 2	1827.89	(8 ⁻)	1739.33	(7 ⁻)			
106.10 5	32 2	106.10	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	3.09	Mult.: A ₂ =0.07 1, A ₄ =-0.03 1.
^x 131.15 5	1.00 7							
137.34 5	8.4 5	1965.20	(9 ⁻)	1827.89	(8 ⁻)	M1,E2	1.5 4	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.64 5, A ₄ =0.06 5.
^x 150.11 5	1.00 9							
^x 157.90 5	1.6 1							
163.98 5	0.97 7	1509.27	6 ⁻	1345.25	5 ⁻	E2,M1	0.9 3	Mult.: A ₂ =0.30 6.
168.71 5	3.6 2	2133.93	(10 ⁻)	1965.20	(9 ⁻)	M1,E2	0.8 3	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.79 4, A ₄ =0.04 5.
170.82 5	5.9 3	1836.29	(7 ⁺)	1665.55	(6 ⁺)	M1,E2	0.8 3	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.54 2, A ₄ =0.02 2.
^x 175.95 8	0.76 9							
180.90 5	1.8 1	1225.80	4 ⁻	1044.90	2 ⁻			
182.30 5	1.4 1	3237.19		3054.89				
188.09 5	1.8 1	2024.40	(8 ⁺)	1836.29	(7 ⁺)			Mult.: A ₂ =-0.7 1.
194.69 5	1.8 1	2328.58	(11 ⁻)	2133.93	(10 ⁻)	M1,E2	0.53 19	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.71 3, A ₄ =0.05 5.
^x 201.77 5	2.5 2							Mult.: A ₂ =-0.14 4.
203.73 9	1.1 2	2228.22	(9 ⁺)	2024.40	(8 ⁺)	E2,M1	0.46 18	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.29 9.
^x 208.05 5	1.40 9							
217.55 6	0.56 6	2445.59	10 ⁺	2228.22	(9 ⁺)			
218.86 5	1.35 9	2547.16	(12 ⁻)	2328.58	(11 ⁻)	E2,M1	0.37 15	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.2 1.
224.55 5	2.8 2	1345.25	5 ⁻	1120.69	3 ⁻	E2	0.210	Mult.: A ₂ =0.021 3.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{177}\text{Hf}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ 1979Do02 (continued) $\gamma(^{178}\text{W})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	$\alpha^\&$	Comments
225.83 5	3.5 2	1965.20	(9 ⁻)	1739.33	(7 ⁻)	E2	0.206	Mult.: $A_2=0.30$ 3, $A_4=-0.04$ 4.
228.54 15	1.5 1	2673.79	(11 ⁺)	2445.59	10 ⁺			Mult.: $A_2=-0.3$ 1.
^x 231.17 10	1.6 3							
^x 234.16 [#] 6	4.5 4							
237.01 5	100 6	343.15	4 ⁺	106.10	2 ⁺	E2	0.1765	Mult.: $A_2=0.17$ 1, $A_4=-0.04$ 1.
239.11 [#] 6	4.1 3	2785.78	(13 ⁻)	2547.16	(12 ⁻)			Mult.: $A_2=-0.18$ 5.
260.46 13	0.27 3	3045.57	(14 ⁻)	2785.78	(13 ⁻)			
^x 269.24 5	0.8 1							
283.51 5	6.2 4	1509.27	6 ⁻	1225.80	4 ⁻	E2	0.1008	Mult.: $A_2=0.32$ 2, $A_4=-0.07$ 2.
285.09 5	2.7 2	1665.55	(6 ⁺)	1380.43	(4 ⁺)	E2	0.0991	Mult.: $A_2=0.16$ 3, $A_4=-0.05$ 4.
290.41 [@] 5	4.6 3	3527.60		3237.19				Mult.: $A_2=-0.09$ 2, $A_4=-0.02$ 2.
306.05 5	5.74 3	2133.93	(10 ⁻)	1827.89	(8 ⁻)	E2	0.0801	Mult.: $A_2=0.22$ 4.
311.81 5	5.6 4	1657.09	7 ⁻	1345.25	5 ⁻			Mult.: $A_2=0.3$ 1.
^x 345.63 5	1.3 1							
^x 348.29 9	1.3 1							
351.56 5	66 4	694.75	6 ⁺	343.15	4 ⁺	E2	0.0536	Mult.: $A_2=0.18$ 1, $A_4=-0.06$ 2.
358.76 5	5.4 3	2024.40	(8 ⁺)	1665.55	(6 ⁺)			Mult.: $A_2=0.26$ 2, $A_4=-0.05$ 2.
363.39 6	4.7 4	2328.58	(11 ⁻)	1965.20	(9 ⁻)	E2	0.0488	Mult.: $A_2=0.31$ 9.
379.96 5	4.8 3	1889.23	8 ⁻	1509.27	6 ⁻	E2	0.0431	Mult.: $A_2=0.29$ 2, $A_4=-0.02$ 4.
385.78 5	4.0 2	2042.86	9 ⁻	1657.09	7 ⁻	E2	0.0413	Mult.: $A_2=0.27$ 5, $A_4=0.03$ 3.
392.04 5	4.4 3	2228.22	(9 ⁺)	1836.29	(7 ⁺)	E2	0.0396	Mult.: $A_2=0.29$ 4, $A_4=-0.05$ 5.
413.19 5	3.8 2	2547.16	(12 ⁻)	2133.93	(10 ⁻)	E2	0.0343	Mult.: $A_2=0.33$ 5.
421.11 5	2.1 1	2445.59	10 ⁺	2024.40	(8 ⁺)			Mult.: $A_2=0.3$ 1.
^x 423.94 5	1.05 8							
^x 434.63 5	1.3 1							
445.52 6	1.10 9	2673.79	(11 ⁺)	2228.22	(9 ⁺)			
447.67 ^a 5	37 ^a 2	1142.40	8 ⁺	694.75	6 ⁺	E2	0.0278	Mult.: $A_2=0.23$ 2, $A_4=-0.06$ 2.
447.67 ^a 5	37 ^a 2	2490.54	11 ⁻	2042.86	9 ⁻	E2	0.0278	Mult.: $A_2=0.23$ 2, $A_4=-0.06$ 2.
456.95 5	3.1 2	2785.78	(13 ⁻)	2328.58	(11 ⁻)	E2	0.0264	Mult.: $A_2=0.32$ 4, $A_4=-0.12$ 5.
460.94 22	0.20 2	3320.3	(14 ⁺)	2859.39	14 ⁺			
^x 465.36 6	2.1 1					E2	0.0252	Mult.: $A_2=0.35$ 9, $A_4=0.06$ 8.
467.79 ^a 5	3.3 ^a 3	2357.02	10 ⁻	1889.23	8 ⁻	E2	0.0248	Mult.: $A_2=0.30$ 2, $A_4=-0.01$ 3.
467.79 ^a 5	3.3 ^a 3	3141.58	(13 ⁺)	2673.79	(11 ⁺)	E2	0.0248	Mult.: $A_2=0.30$ 2, $A_4=-0.01$ 3.
^x 496.09 5	0.98 8							
498.31 5	2.3 1	3045.57	(14 ⁻)	2547.16	(12 ⁻)			
505.1 ^b		2995.6?	(13 ⁻)	2490.54	11 ⁻			
514.75 8	0.7 1	1657.09	7 ⁻	1142.40	8 ⁺			
523.77 6	22 1	1666.17	10 ⁺	1142.40	8 ⁺	E2	0.0187	Mult.: $A_2=0.24$ 4, $A_4=-0.08$ 6.
533.03 5	1.4 1	3318.81	(15 ⁻)	2785.78	(13 ⁻)	E2	0.0179	Mult.: $A_2=0.37$ 8.
545.76 6	2.0 1	2902.78	12 ⁻	2357.02	10 ⁻	E2	0.01694	Mult.: $A_2=0.4$ 1.
559.68 6	0.99 9	2804.90	12 ⁺	2245.22	12 ⁺			
568.79 7	1.1 1	3614.36	(16 ⁻)	3045.57	(14 ⁻)			
579.05 5	11.3 6	2245.22	12 ⁺	1666.17	10 ⁺	E2	0.01471	Mult.: $A_2=0.30$ 2, $A_4=-0.04$ 2.
614.17 5	5.3 3	2859.39	14 ⁺	2245.22	12 ⁺	E2	0.01282	Mult.: $A_2=0.29$ 6.
629.77 5	2.3 1	3489.16	16 ⁺	2859.39	14 ⁺			
650.40 6	4.7 3	1345.25	5 ⁻	694.75	6 ⁺	E1	0.00409	Mult.: $A_2=-0.19$ 4.
674.55 7	0.85 7	2340.72	10 ⁺	1666.17	10 ⁺			
774.27 8	0.96 9	1916.68	8 ⁺	1142.40	8 ⁺			
777.53 5	6.1 4	1120.69	3 ⁻	343.15	4 ⁺	E1	0.00287	Mult.: $A_2=-0.09$ 2.
824.38 7	0.9 1	2490.54	11 ⁻	1666.17	10 ⁺			Mult.: $A_2=-0.5$ 2.
861.8 1	1.3 1	1556.55	6 ⁺	694.75	6 ⁺			Mult.: $A_2=-0.42$ 7.
882.8 1	4.3 3	1225.80	4 ⁻	343.15	4 ⁺			Mult.: $A_2=0.10$ 4.
900.4 1	1.7 1	2042.86	9 ⁻	1142.40	8 ⁺	E1,M2	0.017 15	Mult.: $A_2=-0.34$ 7.
920.9 2	1.45 8	3054.89		2133.93	(10 ⁻)			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{177}\text{Hf}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ **1979Do02** (continued) $\gamma(^{178}\text{W})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	$\alpha^\&$	Comments
938.8 <i>I</i>	7.4 <i>4</i>	1044.90	2 ⁻	106.10	2 ⁺			
962.4 <i>I</i>	3.1 <i>2</i>	1657.09	7 ⁻	694.75	6 ⁺			
970.8 <i>I</i>	14.7 <i>8</i>	1665.55	(6 ⁺)	694.75	6 ⁺	(M1+E2)	0.008 <i>3</i>	Mult.: suggested by 1979Do02 based on weak anisotropies observed in their $\gamma(\theta)$ distribution (coefficients not reported).
1002.1 <i>I</i>	2.1 <i>I</i>	1345.25	5 ⁻	343.15	4 ⁺	E1	1.78×10^{-3}	Mult.: $A_2 = -0.17$ <i>6</i> .
1037.0 <i>I</i>	3.1 <i>2</i>	1380.43	(4 ⁺)	343.15	4 ⁺			
1089.7 <i>I</i>	1.3 <i>14</i>	3054.89		1965.20	(9 ⁻)			
^x 1206.4 <i>2</i>	0.8 <i>I</i>							
1274.5 [#] <i>I</i>	5.0 <i>3</i>	1380.43	(4 ⁺)	106.10	2 ⁺			
1322.5 <i>I</i>	20 <i>I</i>	1665.55	(6 ⁺)	343.15	4 ⁺	(E2)	0.00263	Mult.: suggested by 1979Do02 based on weak anisotropies observed in their $\gamma(\theta)$ distribution ($A_2 = -0.06$ <i>2</i>).

[†] Measured at $\theta = 125^\circ$.

[‡] From angular distribution coefficients determined in $\alpha\gamma(\theta)$ and decay scheme arguments.

[#] Doublet.

[@] Possible multiplet.

[&] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^a Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

^b Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{177}\text{Hf}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ 1979Do02

Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative I_γ
& Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

