

¹⁷⁵Er β⁻ decay 1996Zh03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia		NDS 102, 719 (2004)	1-Jun-2004

Parent: ¹⁷⁵Er: E=0; J^π=(9/2⁺); T_{1/2}=1.2 min 3; Q(β⁻)=3700 SY; %β⁻ decay=100.0
 Source produced by ¹⁷⁶Yb(n,2p) E=14 MeV. Measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ coin, X_γ, γ(t).

¹⁷⁵Tm Levels

E(level) [‡]	J ^π [†]
0.0 [#]	(1/2 ⁺)
2.6 [#] 8	(3/2 ⁺)
123.7 [#] 6	(5/2 ⁺)
130.8 [#] 9	(7/2 ⁺)
357.9 [#] 8	(9/2 ⁺)
639.3 10	(11/2 ⁻)
1291.2 9	
1367.7 11	(7/2 ⁺)

[†] From Adopted Levels.

[‡] Deduced by evaluator from a least-squares fit of the γ energies.

[#] Member of the 1/2⁺[411] rotational band. See also: ¹⁷⁶Yb(pol t,α) (1979Lo09).

β⁻ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁻ ^{†‡}	Log ft	Comments
(2332 [#] SY)	1367.7			
(2408 SY)	1291.2	≈48	≈5.4	av Eβ=935.55 40
(3060 SY)	639.3	≈17	≈6.3	av Eβ=1227.19 45
(3342 SY)	357.9	≈35	≈6.2	av Eβ=1354.18 37

[†] From γ-ray transition intensity balance.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(¹⁷⁵Tm)

I_γ normalization: from Σ I(γ+ce) to (g.s.+2.7+124+131)=100, assuming no β⁻ decay to levels below 358 keV. However, β⁻ branch to 7/2⁺ level at 131 keV should surely be expected.

E _γ	I _γ [†]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	α [‡]	Comments
2.6 8		2.6	(3/2 ⁺)	0.0	(1/2 ⁺)			E _γ : Transition not observed; E _γ from level energy difference.
76.5 [#] 6		1367.7	(7/2 ⁺)	1291.2				
120.9 6	≈97	123.7	(5/2 ⁺)	2.6	(3/2 ⁺)	[M1]	1.84	α(K)=1.54; α(L)=0.233; α(M)=0.0518; α(N+..)=0.0151
123.7 6		123.7	(5/2 ⁺)	0.0	(1/2 ⁺)	[E2]	1.41	α(K)=0.630; α(L)=0.593; α(M)=0.144; α(N+..)=0.0401 I _γ : from intensity balance this transition must be very weak.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{175}Er β^- decay **1996Zh03** (continued) $\gamma(^{175}\text{Tm})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\ddagger	Comments
128.5 6		130.8	(7/2 ⁺)	2.6	(3/2 ⁺)	[E2]	1.22	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.570$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.500$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.121$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0337$
227.3 6	≈ 32	357.9	(9/2 ⁺)	130.8	(7/2 ⁺)	[M1]	0.314	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.264$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0395$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0088$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00250$
234.0 6	100	357.9	(9/2 ⁺)	123.7	(5/2 ⁺)	[E2]	0.157	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.105$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0397$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0094$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00259$
281.4 6	≈ 50	639.3	(11/2 ⁻)	357.9	(9/2 ⁺)	[E1]	0.0226	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0190$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00279$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00061$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00017$
1167.5 6	≈ 150	1291.2		123.7	(5/2 ⁺)			

[†] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.32 8.

[‡] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{175}\text{Er} \beta^-$ decay 1996Zh03

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

