

$^{92}\text{Mo}(^{88}\text{Sr},\alpha p\gamma)$ 2019Gi11

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jin Wu	NDS 206,1 (2025)	29-Oct-2024

2019Gi11: The excited states of ^{175}Ir were produced at $E(^{88}\text{Sr})=381$ MeV from the K-130 cyclotron at the Accelerator Laboratory of the University of Jyväskylä, using a target consisting of $600\text{ }\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ self-supporting ^{92}Mo . Recoils were separated using the RITU gas filled separator and implanted into the DSSD of the GREAT spectrometer. Measured delayed $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$ using a segmented planar HPGe detector and prompt $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ using the JUROGAM II array consisting of 23 EUROGAM clover detectors and 15 EUROGAM phase one detectors.

 ^{175}Ir Levels

E(level) ^{†‡}	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$1/2^+$		
26.1 4	$(3/2^+)$		
71.3 5	$(5/2^-)$	$6.58\text{ }\mu\text{s}$ 15	$T_{1/2}$: from implant-45.2 $\gamma(t)$ (2019Gi11).
120.3 22	$(9/2^-)$		
267.3 11	$(7/2^-)$		
350.3 20	$(13/2^-)$		
493.3 15	$(11/2^-)$		
722.3 21	$(17/2^-)$		
731.3 18	$(13/2^+)$		
838.3 18	$(15/2^-)$		
883.3 20	$(17/2^+)$		
1132.3 22	$(21/2^+)$		
1185.3 23	$(21/2^-)$		
1460.3 25	$(25/2^+)$		
1700.3 25	$(25/2^-)$		
1856.3 26	$(29/2^+)$		
2245.3 27	$(29/2^-)$		
2314.3 28	$(33/2^+)$		

[†] As given in 2019Gi11. Authors state that statistics were lower than prior in-beam studies (1991Dr06), thus while their results are in agreement, they were not able to improve on the high-spin portion of the level scheme.

[‡] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s, assuming $\Delta E = 1.0$ keV for the integer values and $\Delta E=0.5$ keV for one-decimal-digit values.

 $\gamma(^{175}\text{Ir})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α [‡]	Comments
26.1 4	100	26.1	$(3/2^+)$	0.0	$1/2^+$	M1	55.5 27	$\alpha(\text{exp})=76$ 14 (2019Gi11) $\alpha(\text{L})=42.8$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=9.9$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.43$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.429$ 21; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0323$ 16 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{tot})\text{exp}$ obtained from intensity balance.
45.2 2	100	71.3	$(5/2^-)$	26.1	$(3/2^+)$	E1	0.676 13	$\alpha(\text{exp})=0.72$ 7 (2019Gi11) $\alpha(\text{L})=0.520$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.1217$ 23; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0290$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00454$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0001725$ 30 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{tot})\text{exp}$ obtained from intensity balance.
(49)		120.3	$(9/2^-)$	71.3	$(5/2^-)$			$\alpha(\text{exp})$: from weighted average of 0.65 13, 0.78 17, 0.72 13, and 0.74 12 obtained from different combinations of $\gamma\gamma$ analysis (2019Gi11).
152		883.3	$(17/2^+)$	731.3	$(13/2^+)$			
161		883.3	$(17/2^+)$	722.3	$(17/2^-)$			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{92}\text{Mo}(^{88}\text{Sr},\alpha\text{p}\gamma)$ **2019Gi11** (continued) $\gamma(^{175}\text{Ir})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	E_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
196	267.3	(7/2 ⁻)	71.3	(5/2 ⁻)	372	722.3	(17/2 ⁻)	350.3	(13/2 ⁻)
226	493.3	(11/2 ⁻)	267.3	(7/2 ⁻)	381	731.3	(13/2 ⁺)	350.3	(13/2 ⁻)
230	350.3	(13/2 ⁻)	120.3	(9/2 ⁻)	396	1856.3	(29/2 ⁺)	1460.3	(25/2 ⁺)
238	731.3	(13/2 ⁺)	493.3	(11/2 ⁻)	458	2314.3	(33/2 ⁺)	1856.3	(29/2 ⁺)
249	1132.3	(21/2 ⁺)	883.3	(17/2 ⁺)	463	1185.3	(21/2 ⁻)	722.3	(17/2 ⁻)
328	1460.3	(25/2 ⁺)	1132.3	(21/2 ⁺)	515	1700.3	(25/2 ⁻)	1185.3	(21/2 ⁻)
345	838.3	(15/2 ⁻)	493.3	(11/2 ⁻)	545	2245.3	(29/2 ⁻)	1700.3	(25/2 ⁻)

[†] **2019Gi11** gives mainly rounded values, which they state are in good agreement with earlier results by **1991Dr06**. The two additions to level scheme involve the 26.1 γ and 45.2 γ .

[‡] **Additional information 1**.

$^{92}\text{Mo}(^{88}\text{Sr}, \alpha p \gamma)$ 2019Gi11

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$

Legend

- $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 $---$ γ Decay (Uncertain)

