#### <sup>170</sup>**Er**(<sup>7</sup>**Li**, $\alpha$ **n** $\gamma$ ) 2008Hu05

	Hi	story	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	08-Dec-2015

2008Hu05:  $E(^{7}Li)=30$  MeV. The <sup>7</sup>Li fragments into  $\alpha+^{3}H$ , then essentially <sup>172</sup>Tm is populated via <sup>170</sup>Er(<sup>3</sup>H,n) reaction. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , delayed  $\gamma$  rays using CAESAR array of nine HPGe detectors and two LEPS detectors. Comparisons with multi-quasiparticle calculations.

### <sup>172</sup>Tm Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	$2^{-}$		
62.6 <sup>‡</sup> 2	3-		
145.90 <sup>‡</sup> 16	4-		$g_{K}-g_{R}=0.67$ 4, sign unknown.
$240.0^{\#} 2$	3-		
249.8 <sup>‡</sup> 2	$5^{-}$		$g_{\rm K}$ - $g_{\rm R}$ =0.68 3, sign unknown.
330.0 <sup>#</sup> 2	4-		
374.4 <sup>‡</sup> 2	6-		$g_{\rm K}$ - $g_{\rm R}$ =0.65 2, sign unknown.
441.7 <sup>#</sup> 2	5-		$g_{\rm K}$ - $g_{\rm R}$ =0.75 14, sign unknown.
476.2 2	6+	132 μs 7	T <sub>1/2</sub> : $\gamma$ (t) (2008Hu05), using chopper beam. Configuration= $\pi$ 7/2[523] $\otimes$ v5/2[512], $K^{\pi}$ =6 <sup>+</sup> . The antiparallel coupling of this configuration gives a level at 1 <sup>+</sup> , 610.1 which is populated only in $\beta$ decay studies. The 6 <sup>+</sup> bandhead of parallel coupling being lower than the 1 <sup>+</sup> bandhead of the antiparallel coupling is consistent with predictions of Gallagher- Moszkowski rules.
519.3 <sup>‡</sup> 5	$7^{-}$		
574.7 <sup>#</sup> 7	6-		
685.3? <sup>‡</sup> 9	8-		
719.5 <sup>#</sup> 11	7-		E(level): 729 in level-scheme figure 2 of 2008Hu05 is a misprint.
871.3? <sup>‡</sup> 10	9-		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  values.

<sup>±</sup> Band(A):  $\pi 1/2[411] \otimes v5/2[512]$ ,  $K^{\pi}=2^{-}$ . Average  $g_{K}-g_{R}=0.67$  3, sign unknown. <sup>#</sup> Band(B):  $\pi 1/2[411] \otimes v5/2[512]$ ,  $K^{\pi}=3^{-}$ .  $g_{K}-g_{R}=0.75$  14, sign unknown.

# $\gamma(^{172}\text{Tm})$

The experimental total conversion coefficients were deduced by 2008HuA from intensity balances. For  $g_K$ - $g_R$  values,  $Q_0=7.7$  assumed. Mixing ratios for  $\Delta J=1$  transitions were deduced from the observed crossover to cascade

branching ratios (see equation 2 in 2008Hu05 for details).

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f  \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	α‡	Comments
34.6 3	17.9 35	476.2	6+	441.7 5-	E1	1.10 3	$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\exp) &= 1.2 \ 4 \\ \alpha(L) &= 0.857 \ 25; \ \alpha(M) &= 0.193 \ 6 \\ \alpha(N) &= 0.0434 \ 13; \ \alpha(O) &= 0.00515 \ 14; \ \alpha(P) &= 0.000152 \ 4 \\ I_{\gamma}: \ I_{\gamma}(34.6) / I_{\gamma}(101.8) &= 12.4 \ 19/69.2 \ 16. \end{aligned}$
62.6 <i>3</i>	12.8 20	62.6	3-	$0.0 \ 2^{-}$			
83.3 2	20.9 30	145.90	$4^{-}$	62.6 3-			
90.0 5	4.1 13	330.0	4-	240.0 3-			
101.8 <i>1</i>	100 6	476.2	6+	374.4 6-	E1	0.318	$\alpha(\exp)=0.4$ I

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### <sup>170</sup>**Er**(<sup>7</sup>**Li**, $\alpha$ **n** $\gamma$ ) 2008Hu05 (continued)

## $\gamma(^{172}\text{Tm})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	$\alpha^{\ddagger}$	Comments
			_					$\alpha(K)=0.264$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0426$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00949$ 14 $\alpha(L)=0.00218$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000280$ 5; $\alpha(M)=1.178 \times 10^{-5}$ 17
102.0.2	20.2.20	240.8	5-	145.00	4-			$\alpha(N)=0.002184; \alpha(O)=0.0002893; \alpha(P)=1.178\times10^{-5}17$
105.9 2	20.5 50	249.8	5-	145.90	4			
111.71 12462	1.0 25	441.7	5 6-	240.8	4 5-			
124.0 2	20.2 33	574.4	6-	249.0 441.7	5-			
155 1		5/4.7	0	441.7	5			
145" [		519.3	7=	374.4	6-			
145 <sup>#</sup> 1		719.5	$7^{-}$	574.7	6-			
145.9 2	7.5 20	145.90	4-	0.0	$2^{-}$			$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(145.9)/I_{\gamma}(83.3)=0.36$ 6.
166 <sup>@</sup> 1		685.3?	8-	519.3	7-			
177.4 2	3.5 11	240.0	3-	62.6	3-			
186 <sup>@</sup> 2		871.3?	9-	685.3?	8-			
187.2 2	18.3 25	249.8	5-	62.6	3-			$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(187.2)/I_{\gamma}(103.9)=0.905$ .
201.7 2	1.8 8	441.7	5-	240.0	3-			$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(201.7)/I_{\gamma}(111.7)=0.23$ 8.
226.3 2	26.6 35	476.2	6+	249.8	5-	E1	0.0390	$\alpha(\exp)=0.1\ 2$
								$\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.0328 5; \ \alpha(\mathbf{L}) = 0.00488 7; \ \alpha(\mathbf{M}) = 0.001082 \ 16$ $\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.00250 4; \ \alpha(\mathbf{O}) = 2.46 \times 10^{-5} 5; \ \alpha(\mathbf{M}) = 1.620 \times 10^{-6} 23$
								$u(\mathbf{N})=0.0002304; u(\mathbf{O})=5.40\times10^{-5} \text{ J}; u(\mathbf{P})=1.050\times10^{-2} \text{ Z}$
220 5 2	50.6	274 4	6-	145.00	4-			$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(220.5)/I_{\gamma}(101.8) = 18.4 \ 18/09.2 \ 10.$
228.3 2	1000	3/4.4	2-	145.90	4			$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(228.5)/I_{\gamma}(124.0)=1.777.$
240.0 2	12.5 51	240.0	5	220.0	∠ ⊿−			
243 1	2211	374.7	4-	550.0 62.6	4 2-			
260 5 4	5.2 11	510.3	4 7-	240.8	5 5-			
209.34		710.5	7-	249.0 441.7	5-			
2112	9620	119.5	5-	441.7	J 4-			
293.63	0.0 50	441.7	5	143.90	4			
311 2		685.3?	8-	374.4	6-			
352 <sup>@</sup> 1		871.3?	9-	519.3	$7^{-}$			

<sup>†</sup> From e-mail reply of April 18, 2008 from G.D. Dracoulis to B. Singh.
<sup>‡</sup> From BrIcc v2.3b (16-Dec-2014) 2008Ki07, "Frozen Orbitals" appr.
<sup>#</sup> Multiply placed.
<sup>@</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



 $^{172}_{69} \mathrm{Tm}_{103}$ 





<sup>172</sup><sub>69</sub>Tm<sub>103</sub>