

^{172}Hf IT decay (163 ns) 1994Wa07

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 75,199 (1995)	31-May-1995

Parent: ^{172}Hf : E=2005.8 4; $J^\pi=(8^-)$; $T_{1/2}=163$ ns 3; %IT decay=?

$^{160}\text{Gd}(^{16}\text{O},4n\gamma)$ E=75 MeV. Measured delayed γ , $\gamma\gamma$.

Level schemes from the decay of the 163-ns and 4.8-ns isomers are established in this study.

^{172}Hf Levels

E(level) [‡]	J^π [†]	E(level) [‡]	J^π [†]	E(level) [‡]	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$ [#]
0.0	0 ⁺	1304.6 4	(4 ⁺)	1684.6 3	(6 ⁺)	4.8 ns 4
95.2 2	2 ⁺	1462.8 4	(5 ⁺)	1727.5 4	(7 ⁻)	
309.2 3	4 ⁺	1503.5 3	(5 ⁻)	1856.8 4	(6 ⁻)	
628.3 3	6 ⁺	1597.4 4	(6 ⁻)	1878.0 4	(7 ⁺ ,8 ⁺ ,9 ⁺)	
1037.4 4	8 ⁺	1621.4 4	(6 ⁺)	2005.8 4	(8 ⁻)	163 ns 3

[†] From Adopted Levels.

[‡] From least-squares fit to E_γ 's, assuming 0.2 keV uncertainty for E_γ 's.

[#] From Adopted Levels.

$\gamma(^{172}\text{Hf})$

The level scheme is not normalized for γ -ray intensities per 100 parent decays. 1994Wa07 give I_γ (per 100 decays)=76.4 for 127.7 γ from 163-ns decay and 55.3 for 1056 γ from 4.8-ns decay.

E_γ	I_γ [†]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α [@]	Comments
63.2	≈ 0.45	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	1621.4	(6 ⁺)	[M1]	2.62	$\alpha(L)=2.03$; $\alpha(M)=0.458$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.135$ E_γ : from level energy difference. Transition required by $\gamma\gamma$. I_γ : from $I_\gamma(63\gamma)/I_\gamma(1375\gamma)=0.3/30$ (table II in 1994Wa07). 1994Wa07 state that intensity of 63 γ is inferred from the intensity of the 993 γ , assuming mult(63 γ)=M1.
87.5	3.6 12	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	1597.4	(6 ⁻)	[E1]	0.51	$\alpha(K)=0.419$; $\alpha(L)=0.0727$; $\alpha(M)=0.0164$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00465$
94.2		1597.4	(6 ⁻)	1503.5	(5 ⁻)			E_γ : from $\gamma\gamma$. Unresolved from 95.2 γ .
95.2	19 6	95.2	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2 [‡]	4.3	$\alpha(K)=1.06$; $\alpha(L)=2.49$; $\alpha(M)=0.618$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.177$
127.7	95 10	2005.8	(8 ⁻)	1878.0	(7 ⁺ ,8 ⁺ ,9 ⁺)	(E1)	0.190	$\alpha(K)=0.157$; $\alpha(L)=0.0257$; $\alpha(M)=0.00577$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00166$
149.4	0.6 3	2005.8	(8 ⁻)	1856.8	(6 ⁻)	[E2]	0.80	$\alpha(K)=0.373$; $\alpha(L)=0.322$; $\alpha(M)=0.0794$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0227$
172.4	0.8 3	1856.8	(6 ⁻)	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	[E1]	0.087	$\alpha(K)=0.0724$; $\alpha(L)=0.0114$; $\alpha(M)=0.00256$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00073$
180.9	3.8 6	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	1503.5	(5 ⁻)	[E1]	0.077	$\alpha(K)=0.0640$; $\alpha(L)=0.0100$; $\alpha(M)=0.00225$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00064$
193.4	75 6	1878.0	(7 ⁺ ,8 ⁺ ,9 ⁺)	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	[M1]	0.63	$\alpha(K)=0.530$; $\alpha(L)=0.0819$; $\alpha(M)=0.0185$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00543$
214.0	130 5	309.2	4 ⁺	95.2	2 ⁺	E2 [‡]	0.232	$\alpha(K)=0.140$; $\alpha(L)=0.0702$; $\alpha(M)=0.0171$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00485$ I_γ : from intensity balance at 309 level (evaluator).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{172}Hf IT decay (163 ns) 1994Wa07 (continued) $\gamma(^{172}\text{Hf})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α°	Comments
221.6	1.6 4	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	1462.8	(5 ⁺)	[M1]	0.44	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.363$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0561$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0126$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00370$
278.2	1.9 5	2005.8	(8 ⁻)	1727.5	(7 ⁻)	[M1]	0.234	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.195$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0300$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00674$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00197$
319.1	100	628.3	6 ⁺	309.2	4 ⁺	E2 [‡]	0.066	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0468$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0150$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00360$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00102$
321.0	2.2 [#] 6	2005.8	(8 ⁻)	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	[M2]	0.61	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.488$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.098$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0228$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00669$
353.4	1.8 5	1856.8	(6 ⁻)	1503.5	(5 ⁻)	[M1]	0.123	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.103$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0156$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00352$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00103$
380.0	2.2 5	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	1304.6	(4 ⁺)	[E2]	0.040	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0297$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00813$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00193$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00055$
408.4	3.1 10	2005.8	(8 ⁻)	1597.4	(6 ⁻)	[E2]	0.033	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0247$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00639$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00151$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00043$
409.2	5.0 10	1037.4	8 ⁺	628.3	6 ⁺	E2 [‡]	0.033	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0246$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00634$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00150$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00043$
647.4	3.3 7	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	1037.4	8 ⁺	[E2]	0.010	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0083$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00161$
834.3	1.2 [#] 4	1462.8	(5 ⁺)	628.3	6 ⁺			
875.4	5.1 9	1503.5	(5 ⁻)	628.3	6 ⁺			
968.2	1.2 [#] 4	2005.8	(8 ⁻)	1037.4	8 ⁺			
993.1	4.4 [#] 8	1621.4	(6 ⁺)	628.3	6 ⁺			
995.4	3.9 10	1304.6	(4 ⁺)	309.2	4 ⁺			
1056.3	82 4	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	628.3	6 ⁺			
1099.1	2.2 7	1727.5	(7 ⁻)	628.3	6 ⁺			
1153.5	1.4 5	1462.8	(5 ⁺)	309.2	4 ⁺			
1194.3	5.1 11	1503.5	(5 ⁻)	309.2	4 ⁺			
1375.5	44 3	1684.6	(6 ⁺)	309.2	4 ⁺			

† For delayed (out-of-beam) γ rays in coincidence with 214 γ .

‡ From adopted gammas.

From $\gamma\gamma$ with 319.1 γ .

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{172}Hf IT decay (163 ns) 1994Wa07

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$
%IT=?

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$

