Adopted Levels, Gammas

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	Tibor Kibedi and Coral M. Baglin	ENSDF	15-Mar-2010					

 $Q(\beta^{-}) = -8.26 \times 10^{3} \ 14$; $S(n) = 9.87 \times 10^{3} \ 8$; $S(p) = -8.1 \times 10^{2} \ 11$; $Q(\alpha) = 6923 \ 11$ 2012Wa38

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record -8200 syst 9790 syst -900 syst 6923 10 2009Ha42,2009AuZZ. Uncertainties: 240 (Q(β^-)), 160 (S(n)), 180 (S(p)) (2003Au03, 2009AuZZ).

Q(α): From E α =6762 10 (2009Ha42) for g.s. to g.s. α decay (cf. 7034 50 from 2003Au03 and 2009AuZZ).

Q(\varepsilon p)=9860 160 (syst; 2003Au03,2009AuZZ).

Identification: 1993Se09 from 106 Cd(70 Ge,p3n) reaction and mass separation of fragments. α decay peak observed at 6860 keV but no proton decay was observed.

 α decay from ¹⁷⁶Tl(5.2 ms) to ¹⁷²Au was searched for, but not observed, by 2004Ke06.

¹⁷²Au Levels

The adopted level scheme is taken from the 96 Ru(78 Kr,pn γ) reaction study by 2009Ha42 and is tentative only. The level structure is irregular and no low-lying collective behavior is observed (2009Ha42).

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A 96 Ru(78 Kr,pn γ)

0.022 ms +6-4A $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	E(level) [†]	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
0.0+x 7.7 ms 14 A % $\alpha \approx 100; \% p < 0.02 (2009Ha42); \% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ =?$ $J^{\pi}: possibly (9^+), analogous to that suggested by 2004GoZZ for 174Au and 176Au; very tentative value (2009Ha42). Possible configurations: \pi h_{11/2} \otimes v i_{13/2} or \pi h_{11/2} \otimes v (f_{7/2},h_{9/2}) (2009Ha42).T_{1/2}: unweighted average of 9 ms +2-1 from 6870\alpha(t) (2009Ha42) and 6.3 ms 15 from 6878\alpha(t) (1996Pa01). Others: 4 ms 1 (1993Se09, from time difference of implanted fragments and decay events); 8 ms +5-2 from 6800\alpha(t) (2009Ha42). %\alpha, \% p: \alpha decay only has been observed. Proton decay is possible, but 2009Ha42 and 1993Se09 set upper limits on \% p of 0.02 (from correlation between 6453\alpha from 171Pt and any preceding 172Au decay) and 2, respectively. No experimental information about \epsilon + \beta^+ decay of 172Au is available, but gross \beta decay theory (1973Ta30) predicts T_{1/2}(\epsilon + \beta^+) \approx 0.9 s which implies \%(\epsilon + \beta^+) \approx 0.9.459.7+x 6 A [24.2+x 10] A E(level): an alternative value of 896.2+x is possible because the order of 289\gamma and 437\gamma has not been established.822.3+x? 5 A [184.98+x? 22] A [184.7+x? 9] A E(level): an alternative value of 1282.0+x is possible because the order of 460\gamma and 545\gamma has not been established.$	0.0	22 ms +6-4	A	$%\alpha \approx 100; %p=?; %ε+%β^+=?$ %α: α decay only has been observed but proton and ε+β ⁺ decay are possible. Calculated T _{1/2} (ε+β ⁺)≈0.9 s (1973Ta30) from gross β decay theory and 0.27 s (1997Mo25) imply %ε+%β ⁺ ≈2.4 and 8.1, respectively. T _{1/2} : from 6762α(t) (2009Ha42). J ^π : possible configurations: π (s _{1/2} ,d _{3/2}) ⊗ ν (f _{7/2} ,h _{9/2}) or π (s _{1/2} ,d _{3/2}) ⊗ ν i _{13/2} (2009Ha42). Possibly J ^π =(3 ⁻), analogous to that suggested by 2004GoZZ for ¹⁷⁴ Au and ¹⁷⁶ Au.
459.7+x 6A $748.42+x 10$ AE(level): an alternative value of $896.2+x$ is possible because the order of 289γ and 437γ has not been established. $822.3+x? 5$ A $870.70+x? 20$ A $1184.98+x? 22$ A $1367.7+x? 6$ AE(level): an alternative value of $1282.0+x$ is possible because the order of 460γ and 545γ has not been established. $1827.4+x? 9$ A	0.0+x	7.7 ms <i>14</i>	Α	 %α≈100; %p<0.02 (2009Ha42); %ε+%β⁺=? J^π: possibly (9⁺), analogous to that suggested by 2004GoZZ for ¹⁷⁴Au and ¹⁷⁶Au; very tentative value (2009Ha42). Possible configurations: π h_{11/2} ⊗ v i_{13/2} or π h_{11/2} ⊗ v (f_{7/2},h_{9/2}) (2009Ha42). T_{1/2}: unweighted average of 9 ms +2-1 from 6870α(t) (2009Ha42) and 6.3 ms 15 from 6878α(t) (1996Pa01). Others: 4 ms 1 (1993Se09, from time difference of implanted fragments and decay events); 8 ms +5-2 from 6800α(t) (2009Ha42). %α,%p: α decay only has been observed. Proton decay is possible, but 2009Ha42 and 1993Se09 set upper limits on %p of 0.02 (from correlation between 6453α from ¹⁷¹Pt and any preceding ¹⁷²Au decay) and 2, respectively. No experimental information about ε+β⁺ decay of ¹⁷²Au is available, but gross β decay theory (1973Ta30) predicts T_{1/2}(ε+β⁺)≈0.9 s which implies %(ε+β⁺)≈0.9.
748.42+x 10AE(level): an alternative value of $896.2+x$ is possible because the order of 289γ and 437γ has not been established.822.3+x? 5A870.70+x? 20A1184.98+x? 22A1367.7+x? 6AE(level): an alternative value of $1282.0+x$ is possible because the order of 460γ and 545γ has not been established.1827.4+x? 9A	459.7+x 6		Α	
$822.3+x? 5$ A $870.70+x? 20$ A $1184.98+x? 22$ A $1367.7+x? 6$ A $E(\text{level}): \text{ an alternative value of } 1282.0+x \text{ is possible because the order of } 460\gamma \text{ and } 545\gamma \text{ has not been established.}$ $1827.4+x? 9$ A	748.42+x 10		A	E(level): an alternative value of 896.2+x is possible because the order of 289γ and 437γ has not been established.
$870.70+x$? 20A $1184.98+x$? 22A $1367.7+x$? 6A $E(\text{level}):$ an alternative value of $1282.0+x$ is possible because the order of 460γ and 545γ has not been established. $1827.4+x$? 9A	822.3+x? 5		Α	
1184.98+x? 22A1367.7+x? 6AE(level): an alternative value of $1282.0+x$ is possible because the order of 460γ and 545γ has not been established.1827.4+x? 9A	870.70+x? 20		Α	
1367.7+x? 6AE(level): an alternative value of $1282.0+x$ is possible because the order of 460γ and 545γ has not been established.1827.4+x? 9A	1184.98+x? 22		Α	
1827.4+x? 9 A	1367.7+x? 6		A	E(level): an alternative value of 1282.0+x is possible because the order of 460γ and 545γ has not been established.
	1827.4+x? 9		A	

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

 $\gamma(^{172}\mathrm{Au})$

E _i (level)	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	I_{γ}^{\dagger}	E_f	Mult. [†]
459.7+x	459.7 [‡] 6	100 [‡]	0.0+x	
748.42+x	288.7 1	100	459.7+x	D
822.3+x?	363.4 ^{‡#} 7	100‡	459.7+x	
870.70+x?	411.0 [#] 2	100	459.7+x	D
1184.98+x?	363.4 ^{‡#} 7	100 [‡] 4	822.3+x?	
	436.5 [#] 2	55 4	748.42+x	
1367.7+x?	545.4 [#] 3	100	822.3+x?	
1827.4+x?	459.7 ^{‡#} 6	100‡	1367.7+x?	

[†] From ⁹⁶Ru(⁷⁸Kr,pnγ). Multipolarity is based on measured γ asymmetry.
[‡] Multiply placed with undivided intensity.
[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas Legend Level Scheme Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level & Multiply placed: undivided intensity given γ Decay (Uncertain) . _ _ _ + 439.7 <u>1827.4+x</u> 001 555 1 ŝ <u>1367.7+x</u> 436.5 33 00100.118 | . <u>1184.98+x</u> 298, 288, 20100 870.70+x 822.3+x 748.42+x 1 439, 2100 1 459.7+x 0.0+x 7.7 ms 14 0.0 22 ms +6-4

