

$^{96}\text{Ru}(\gamma, \text{p}, \text{n}, \gamma)$ **2009Ha42**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Tibor Kibedi and Coral M. Baglin		ENSDF	15-Mar-2010

2009Ha42: E(^{78}Kr)=342, 348 MeV; 96% enriched ^{96}Ru target followed by C charge reset foil; In-flight mass separation using RITU gas-filled separator; fusion-evaporation residues implanted In 2 double-sided Si strip detectors In the GREAT spectrometer (which also includes a multiwire proportional counter, 28 Si PIN diode detectors, a segmented planar Ge detector and a HPGe clover detector) At the RITU focal plane; JUROGAM γ -detector array (43 EUROGAM type escape-suppressed HPGe detectors distributed over 6 angles); recoil-decay tagging technique; measured $E\alpha$, $\alpha(t)$, α branching, α -correlated prompt $I\gamma$, $E\gamma$, angular distribution ratios; total routhian surface calculations.

 ^{172}Au Levels

The level scheme is tentative; No $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence data are available.

E(level) [†]	J ^π	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	(3 ⁻)	22 ms +6-4	T _{1/2} : from 6762 $\alpha(t)$, As stated In table I from 2009Ha42; value In abstract is 22 ms +6-5 and that In fig. 3 is 22 ms 6. J ^π : possible $\pi(s_{1/2}, d_{3/2}) \otimes \nu(f_{7/2}, h_{9/2})$ or $\pi(s_{1/2}, d_{3/2}) \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}$ state (2009Ha42). Possibly J ^π =(3 ⁻) analogous to ^{174}Au and ^{176}Au (2009Ha42).
0.0+x	(9 ⁺)	9 ms +2-1	J ^π : Possible $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes \nu(f_{7/2}, h_{9/2})$ state. Possibly (9 ⁺), analogous to ^{174}Au and ^{176}Au ; very tentative value (2009Ha42).
459.7+x 6			T _{1/2} : from 6870 $\alpha(t)$. other: 8 ms +5-2 from 6800 $\alpha(t)$ (2009Ha42). ADOPTED value is 7.7 ms 15.
748.42+x 10			E(level): an alternative value of 896.2+x is possible because the order of 289 γ and 437 γ has not been established.
822.3+x? 5			
870.70+x? 20			
1184.98+x? 22			
1367.7+x? 6			E(level): an alternative value of 1282.0+x is possible because the order of 460 γ and 545 γ has not been established.
1827.4+x? 9			

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

 $\gamma(^{172}\text{Au})$

E γ	I γ [†]	E t (level)	E f	Mult. [‡]	Comments
$x^{115.6} \# 3$	#				
$x^{143.2} 8$	26 2				
$x^{156.6} 2$	9 1				
$x^{164.1} 2$	9 1				
$x^{207.8} 2$	6 1				
$x^{214.7} \# 3$	#				
$x^{240.4} 2$	7 1				
$x^{268.1} 3$	≤ 5				
$x^{288.7} 1$	14 2	748.42+x	459.7+x	D	Mult.: R=0.62 12.
$x^{335.4} 5$	≤ 5				
$x^{345.4} 3$	7 2				
$x^{351.9} \# 3$	#				
$363.4 @& 7$	47 @ 2	822.3+x?	459.7+x		Mult.: R=1.02 8 for doublet.
$363.4 @& 7$	47 @ 2	1184.98+x?	822.3+x?		Mult.: R=1.02 8 for doublet.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{96}\text{Ru}(^{78}\text{Kr},\text{p}\gamma\gamma)$ 2009Ha42 (continued) **$\gamma(^{172}\text{Au})$ (continued)**

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	Comments
$^{x}377.9$ 4	≤ 5					
$^{x}406.2$ 4	7 2					
411.0 ^{&} 2	22 2	870.70+x?	459.7+x	D	Mult.: R=0.63 15.	
436.5 ^{&} 2	26 2	1184.98+x?	748.42+x			
459.7 [@] 6	100 [@] 4	459.7+x	0.0+x (9 ⁺)		Mult.: R=1.09 6 for doublet.	
459.7 ^{@&} 6	100 [@] 4	1827.4+x?	1367.7+x?		Mult.: R=1.09 6 for doublet.	
$^{x}469.5$ 2	25 9					
$^{x}480.4$ 1	45 2					
$^{x}509.0$ 4	10 2					
$^{x}518.6$ 1	63 3			D	Mult.: R=0.69 7.	
545.4 ^{&} 3	21 2	1367.7+x?	822.3+x?			
$^{x}549.9$ 2	22 2					
$^{x}575.0$ 3	18 2					
$^{x}578.9$ 8	≤ 6					
$^{x}606.6$ 7	≤ 5					
$^{x}626.9$ 1	61 3			Q	Mult.: R=1.30 12.	
$^{x}644.8$ 4	13 2					
$^{x}678.2$ 3	28 2					
$^{x}696.0$ 3	21 2					
$^{x}708.9$ 3	21 3			D	Mult.: R=0.72 22.	
$^{x}818.3$ 6	7 2					
$^{x}913.1$ 10	7 2					
$^{x}943.6$ 10	7 2					
$^{x}991.6$ 5	11 2					

[†] Relative to $I(460\gamma)=100$; from prompt γ spectrum correlated with α decay from the 7.7-ms high-spin isomer of ^{172}Au , unless noted to the contrary.

[‡] Based on measured angular distribution ratio, $R=I\gamma(157^\circ)/(I\gamma(94^\circ)+I\gamma(86^\circ))$.

[#] Observed only In γ spectrum correlated with α decay from ^{172}Au g.s.. if $I(352\gamma)=100$, $I(116\gamma):I(215\gamma):I(352\gamma)=36 18:80 35:100 34$.

[@] Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

