

$^{171}\text{Os}$   $\varepsilon$  decay    1995Hi02

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin, E. A. Mccutchan		NDS 151, 334 (2018)	30-Jun-2018

Parent:  $^{171}\text{Os}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=(5/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=8.3$  s 2;  $Q(\varepsilon)=6950$  30;  $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay=98.20 21

$^{171}\text{Os}-\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay: From [100%-% $\alpha(^{171}\text{Os})$ ] where  $\%\alpha=1.80$  21.

Sources from  $^{140}\text{Ce}(^{36}\text{Ar},xn)$ , E=194 and 203 MeV, 99.3%  $^{140}\text{Ce}$  target.

$\alpha$ : [Additional information 1](#).

 $^{171}\text{Re}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>
0.0	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )
189.8 4	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )
515.8 11	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )
894.8 6	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )

<sup>†</sup> From E $\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+$ <sup>‡</sup>	I $\varepsilon$ <sup>‡</sup>	Log ft <sup>†</sup>	I( $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ ) <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
(6.06×10 <sup>3</sup> 3)	894.8	≈8.5	≈3.5	≈5.3	≈12	av $E\beta=2291$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.240$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0392$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.01217$ 15
(6.43×10 <sup>3</sup> 3)	515.8	≈7	≈2	≈5.5	≈9	av $E\beta=2468$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.2061$ 25; $\varepsilon L=0.0337$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.01046$ 13
(6.76×10 <sup>3</sup> 3)	189.8	≈60	≈17	≈4.7	≈77	av $E\beta=2621$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.1815$ 21; $\varepsilon L=0.0297$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.00920$ 11

<sup>†</sup> Values are shown As approximate because decay scheme may be very incomplete. If correct, these values indicate that  $\varepsilon$  decay from probable 5/2[523] parent ([1995Hi02](#)) to 190, 516 and 895 levels is allowed.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{171}\text{Re})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: from  $\Sigma$  (I( $\gamma+ce$ ) to g.s.)=100; significant feeding of g.s. not expected ( $\Delta J=(2)$ ,  $\Delta \pi=(No)$  transition). However, Q=6957 and highest level energy fed In decay is 895 keV, so decay scheme may be very incomplete.

E $\gamma$	I $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E <sub>f</sub>	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	$\alpha$	Comments
189.8 4	100	189.8	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	[E2]	0.382	$\alpha(K)=0.194$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.1421$ 24; $\alpha(M)=0.0357$ 6; $\alpha(N)=0.00850$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.001240$ 21 $\alpha(P)=1.666\times10^{-5}$ 25 %I $\gamma \approx 71$ assuming adopted normalization.
326 1	11 3	515.8	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	189.8 (5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	[M1]	0.190	$\alpha(K)=0.158$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0249$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00568$ 10; $\alpha(N)=0.001378$ 23; $\alpha(O)=0.000232$ 4 $\alpha(P)=1.70\times10^{-5}$ 3	
705.0 5	17 3	894.8	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	189.8 (5/2 <sup>-</sup> )				

<sup>†</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈0.71.

$^{171}\text{Os } \varepsilon$  decay    1995Hi02Decay Scheme

## Legend

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays